arXiv:1411.3209v2 [math.DG] 4 May 2016

Splitting lemmas for the Finsler energy functional on the space of H^1-curves

Guangcun Lu *
School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University,
Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Ministry of Education,
Beijing 100875, The People's Republic of China
(gclu@bnu.edu.cn)

Revised version, April 28, 2016

Abstract

We establish the splitting lemmas (or generalized Morse lemmas) for the energy functionals of Finsler metrics on the natural Hilbert manifolds of H^1-curves around a critical point or a critical $\mathbb{R}^1$ orbit of a Finsler isometry invariant closed geodesic. They are the desired generalization on Finsler manifolds of the corresponding Gromoll-Meyer's splitting lemmas on Riemannian manifolds ([16, 17]). As an application we extend to Finsler manifolds a result by Grove and Tanaka [22, 58] about the existence of infinitely many, geometrically distinct, isometry invariant closed geodesics on a closed Riemannian manifold.

Keywords: Finsler metric; Isometry invariant geodesics; Splitting theorem; Shifting theorem

1 Introduction and results

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension n endowed with a C^k Finsler metric F, where $k \geq 5$ is an integer or ∞. The fundamental tensor g^F of (M, F) is a Riemannian metric on the pulled-back bundle $\pi^*(T^*M)$ over $TM \setminus 0_{TM}$ defined by

$$g^F(x,y) : T_xM \times T_xM \to \mathbb{R},\ (u,v) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t}\left[F^2(x, y+su+tv)\right]\big|_{s=t=0}$$

for any $(x, y) \in TM \setminus 0_{TM}$. It is of class C^{k-2}, and satisfies $g^F(x,y)[y,y] = (F(x,y))^2$ and $g^F(x,\lambda y) = g^F(x,y)$ for $\lambda > 0$. The length of a Lipschitz continuous curve $\gamma : [a,b] \to M$ on (M,F) is defined by $l_F(\gamma) = \int_a^b F(\gamma(t), \dot\gamma(t))dt$. A differentiable curve $\gamma = \gamma(t)$ is said to have constant speed if $F(\gamma(t), \dot\gamma(t))$ is constant along γ. Call a regular piecewise C^k curve in (M,F) a (Finslerian) geodesic if it minimizes the length between two sufficiently close points on the curve ([4]). From the viewpoint of the calculus of variations the constant speed geodesics are the critical points of the energy (or length) functional of the Finsler metric F on a suitable Hilbert manifold. Precisely, given a Riemannian metric g on M let $W^{1,2}(I,M)$ denote the space

*2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58E05, 53B40, 53C22, 58B20, 53C20.
Partially supported by the NNSF 10971014 and 11271044 of China.

of absolutely continuous curves γ from $I := [0,1]$ to M such that $\int_0^1 \langle\dot\gamma(t),\dot\gamma(t)\rangle dt < \infty$, where $\langle u, v\rangle = g_x(u,v)$ for $u, v \in T_xM$. Then $W^{1,2}(I,M) \subset C^0(I,M)$ and it is a Riemannian-Hilbert manifold with the Riemannian structure on the tangent space $T_\gamma W^{1,2}(I,M) = W^{1,2}(\gamma^*TM)$ (consisting of all $W^{1,2}$-sections of the pull-back bundle $\gamma^*TM \to I$) given by

$$\langle \xi, \eta\rangle_1 = \int_0^1 \langle \xi(t), \eta(t)\rangle dt + \int_0^1 \langle \nabla^g_{\dot\gamma}\xi(t), \nabla^g_{\dot\gamma}\xi(t)\rangle dt \tag{1.1}$$

(using the L^2 covariant derivative along γ associated to the Levi-Civita connection ∇^g of the metric g). Let $\|\cdot\|_1 = \sqrt{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1}$ be the induced norm. A smooth submanifold $N \subset M \times M$ determines a Riemannian-Hilbert submanifold of $W^{1,2}(I,M)$,

$$\Lambda_N(M) := \{\gamma \in W^{1,2}(I,M) \,|\, (\gamma(0),\gamma(1)) \in N\}$$

with tangent space $T_\gamma\Lambda_N(M) = W^{1,2}_N(\gamma^*TM)$ (consisting of all $\xi \in W^{1,2}(\gamma^*TM)$ with $(\xi(0),\xi(1)) \in T_{(\gamma(0),\gamma(1))}N$). The inclusion $\Lambda_N(M) \hookrightarrow C^0_N(I,M) = \{\gamma \in C^0(I,M) \,|\, (\gamma(0),\gamma(1)) \in N\}$ is a homotopy equivalence (cf. [18, Th.1.3]). Both $(W^{1,2}(I,M), \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1)$ and $(\Lambda_N(M), \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1)$ are complete Hilbert-Riemannian manifolds if the metric g is complete and the submanifold N is closed (as a subset). See Appendix C of [45] for details. The energy functional of the metric F given by

$$\mathcal{L} : \Lambda_N(M) \to \mathbb{R},\ \gamma \mapsto \int_0^1 F^2(\gamma(t),\dot\gamma(t))dt, \tag{1.2}$$

is of class C^{2-} by [47], and cannot be twice differentiable at a regular curve $\gamma \in \Lambda_N(M)$ with $N = \{p,q\}$ if F^2 is not the square of the norm of a Riemannian metric along the curve, where a curve $\gamma \in \Lambda_N(M)$ is called regular if $\dot\gamma \neq 0$ a.e. in $[0,1]$ ([7, page 271]). However, it is of class C^{k-2} on a dense open subset consisting of all regular curves in the Banach manifold

$$\mathcal{X}_N := C^1_N(I,M) = \{\gamma \in C^1(I,M) \,|\, (\gamma(0),\gamma(1)) \in N\}.$$

A curve $\gamma \in \Lambda_N(M)$ is a constant (non-zero) speed F-geodesic satisfying the boundary condition

$$g^F(\gamma(0),\dot\gamma(0))[u,\dot\gamma(0)] = g^F(\gamma(1),\dot\gamma(1))[v,\dot\gamma(1)] \quad \forall (u,v) \in T_{(\gamma(0),\gamma(1))}N \tag{1.3}$$

if and only if it is a (non constant) critical point of $\mathcal{L}$ ([47, 33, 10]). In addition, suppose that (M,F) is forward (resp. backward) complete and that N is a closed submanifold of $M \times M$ such that the first projection (resp. the second projection) of N to M is compact; then $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on $\Lambda_N(M)$ provided (M,g) is complete (cf. [10]).

Because of the lack of C^2-smoothness for the functional $\mathcal{L}$ on $\Lambda_N(M)$, the deep and very effective tool in the study of geodesics, the famous splitting lemma of Gromoll-Meyer [16], cannot be directly applied to $\mathcal{L}$ as in the Riemannian case [17]. A workaround is to restrict $\mathcal{L}$ to finite dimensional approximations of $\Lambda_N(M)$. Our aim is to show that in the H^1 settings. When $N = \{p,q\}$ consists of two non-conjugate points (which means that all critical points of $\mathcal{L}$ are nondegenerate), Caponio, Javaloyes and Masiello [8, 9] obtained the Morse inequalities without using finite dimensional approximations recently. In the general case, the author [37] developed an infinite dimensional method to obtain the shifting theorems of the critical groups of critical points and critical orbits for the functional $\mathcal{L}$ without involving finite-dimensional approximations and any Palais' result in [49]. In this paper we establish the generalized Morse lemmas (or splitting lemmas) for the energy functional $\mathcal{L}$ in the H^1-topology around critical points or critical orbits corresponding with Gromoll-Meyer's splitting lemmas in [16, 17].

We only consider the following three cases:

Case 1. $N = M_0 \times M_1$, where M_0 and M_1 are two boundaryless and connected submanifolds of M. In this case the boundary condition (1.3) becomes

$$\begin{cases} g^F(\gamma(0),\dot\gamma(0))[u,\dot\gamma(0)] = 0 \quad \forall u \in T_{\gamma(0)}M_0, \\ g^F(\gamma(1),\dot\gamma(1))[v,\dot\gamma(1)] = 0 \quad \forall v \in T_{\gamma(1)}M_1. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

Case 2. $N = G(\mathbb{I}_g)$ the graph of an isometry $\mathbb{I}_g$ on (M,g), in particular $G(id_M) = \triangle_M$. The boundary condition (1.3) becomes

$$g^F(\gamma(0),\dot\gamma(0))[u,\dot\gamma(0)] = g^F(\mathbb{I}_g(\gamma(0)),\dot\gamma(1))[\mathbb{I}_{g*}u,\dot\gamma(1)] \quad \forall u \in T_{\gamma(0)}M. \tag{1.5}$$

Furthermore, if F is $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant, i.e., $F(\mathbb{I}_g(x),\mathbb{I}_{g*}(u)) = F(x,u)\ \forall (x,u) \in TM$, where $\mathbb{I}_{g*} : TM \to TM$ denotes the differential of $\mathbb{I}_g$, we shall show above Theorem 1.5 that (1.5) becomes $\mathbb{I}_{g*}(\dot\gamma(0)) = \dot\gamma(1)$ and that γ may be extended into an $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant F-geodesic $\gamma^\star : \mathbb{R} \to M$ via

$$\gamma^\star(t) = \mathbb{I}_g^{[t]}(\gamma(t-[t]))\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $[t]$ denotes the greatest integer $\le t$, called the corresponding (maximal) $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant F-geodesic (determined by γ). Here an F-geodesics $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to M$ is said to be $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant if there exists a $s \ge 0$ such that $\alpha(t+s) = \mathbb{I}_g(\alpha(t))\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. (Even if F is the norm of a Riemannian metric different from g this kind of case seems not to be considered in Riemannian geometry.)
Case 3. $N = G(\mathbb{I}_F)$ the graph of an isometry $\mathbb{I}_F$ on (M,F).

Notation. As in [37], for a normed vector space $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ and $\delta > 0$ we put $\mathbf{B}_\delta(E) = \{x \in E \,|\, \|x\| < \delta\}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{B}_\delta(E)} = \{x \in E \,|\, \|x\| \le \delta\}$ (in order to avoid confusion when there are several spaces involved). Denote by $\mathscr{L}(E)$ the space of continuous linear operator from E to itself, and by $\mathscr{L}_s(E)$ the space of continuous linear self-adjoint operator from E to itself if E is a Hilbert space. For a continuous symmetric bilinear form (or the associated self-adjoint operator) B on a Hilbert space we write $\mathbf{H}^-(B)$, $\mathbf{H}^0(B)$ and $\mathbf{H}^+(B)$ for the negative definite, null and positive definite spaces of it. $\mathbb{K}$ always denotes an Abelian group without special statements.

1.1 The case $N = M_0 \times M_1$

Suppose that $\gamma_0 \in \Lambda_N(M)$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{L}$ on $\Lambda_N(M)$ with energy $c > 0$. Then it is a C^k-smooth nonconstant F-geodesics with constant speed $F(\gamma_0(t),\dot\gamma_0(t)) \equiv \sqrt{c} > 0$. Because of technical reasons, we need to make the following assumption on the Riemannian metric g on M:

$$M_0 \text{ (resp. } M_1\text{) is totally geodesic near } \gamma_0(0) \text{ (resp. } \gamma_0(1)\text{)}. \tag{1.7}$$

Let exp denote the exponential map of g, and let $\|\cdot\|_1 = \sqrt{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1}$ with $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1$ given by (1.1). Set $\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M)) := \{\xi \in T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M) \,|\, \|\xi\|_1 < 2\rho\}$ for $\rho > 0$. Since γ_0^*TM is only C^k, so is the map $\gamma_0^*TM \ni (t,v) \mapsto \exp_{\gamma_0(t)} v = \exp(\gamma_0(t),v) \in M$. It follows from Lemma 5.2 that for $\rho > 0$ small enough the map

$$\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0} : \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M)) \to \Lambda_N(M) \tag{1.8}$$

given by $\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}(\xi)(t) = \exp_{\gamma_0(t)}(\xi(t))$, is only a C^{k-3} coordinate chart around γ_0 on $\Lambda_N(M)$. Therefore, $H^1(I,\gamma_0^*TM)$ is a C^{k-3} Hilbert manifold, and $T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M)$ is a C^{k-3} submanifold of $H^1(I,\gamma_0^*TM)$, which implies that $\mathcal{L}\circ\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}$ is C^{2-0} because $k \ge 5$. Clearly, $0 \in W^{1,2}_N(\gamma_0^*TM)$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{L}\circ\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}$. Observe that the tangent space of the Banach manifold $\mathcal{X}_N$ at γ_0 is $T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N = C^1_{TN}(\gamma_0^*TM) = \{\xi \in C^1(\gamma_0^*TM) \,|\, (\xi(0),\xi(1)) \in TN\}$ with usual C^1-norm, and that $\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M)) \cap T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N$ is an open neighborhood of 0 in $T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N$. As above

$T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N \ni \xi \mapsto \mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}\xi \in \mathcal{X}_N$ is also C^{k-3}. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the restriction of the gradient of $\mathcal{L}\circ\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}$ to $\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M)) \cap T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N$. Since γ_0 is C^k and $\dot\gamma_0(t) \neq 0$, $\forall t$, $\mathcal{A}$ is actually a C^{k-3} map from a small neighborhood of $0 \in T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N$ to $T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N$, which can be derived from (2.29) and Lemma 2.3, moreover $\mathcal{A}(0) = \nabla\mathcal{L}(\gamma_0)|_{T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N}$ and

$$\langle d\mathcal{A}(0)[\xi], \eta\rangle_1 = d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0)[\xi,\eta] \quad \forall \xi,\eta \in T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N.$$

This extends results obtained in [8] for the case $N = \{p, q\}$. The key point here is that the continuous symmetric bilinear form $d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0)$ can be extended into a continuous symmetric bilinear form on $T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M)$, also denoted by $d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0)$. The self-adjoint operator associated to the latter is Fredholm, and has finite dimensional negative definite and null spaces $\mathbf{H}^-(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))$ and $\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))$, which are actually contained in $T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N$. There exists an orthogonal decomposition

$$T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M) = \mathbf{H}^-(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0)) \oplus \mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0)) \oplus \mathbf{H}^+(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0)), \tag{1.9}$$

which induces a (topological) direct sum decomposition of Banach spaces

$$T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N = \mathbf{H}^-(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\dot{+}\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\dot{+}\big(\mathbf{H}^+(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0)) \cap T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N\big).$$

Using the implicit function theorem we get $\delta \in (0, 2\rho]$ and a unique C^{k-3}-map

$$h : \mathbf{B}_\delta\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big) \to \mathbf{H}^-(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\dot{+}\big(\mathbf{H}^+(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0)) \cap T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N\big) \tag{1.10}$$

such that $h(0) = 0$, $dh(0) = 0$ and

$$(I - P^0)\mathcal{A}(\xi + h(\xi)) = 0 \ \forall \xi \in \mathbf{B}_\delta\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big), \tag{1.11}$$

where $P^\star : T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M) \to \mathbf{H}^\star(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))$, $\star = -, 0, +$, are the orthogonal projections according to the decomposition (1.9). Define $\mathcal{L}^\circ : \mathbf{B}_\delta\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{L}^\circ(\xi) = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}(\xi + h(\xi)). \tag{1.12}$$

It is C^{k-3} since $T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N \ni \xi \mapsto \mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}\xi \in \mathcal{X}_N$ is also C^{k-3}; moreover $\mathcal{L}^\circ$ has a critical point 0, and $d^2\mathcal{L}^\circ(0) = 0$. Call

$$m^-(\mathcal{L}, \gamma_0) := \dim \mathbf{H}^-(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0)) \quad \text{and} \quad m^0(\mathcal{L}, \gamma_0) := \dim \mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))$$

the **Morse index** and the **nullity** of γ_0, respectively. (Actually, they do not depend on the choice of the Riemannian metric g). Here is our first splitting lemma.

Theorem 1.1 *Under the above notation, if* $\dim M > 1$ *for sufficiently small* $\delta > 0$ *there exists an origin-preserving homeomorphism* ψ *from* $\mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M))$ *to an open neighborhood of* 0 *in* $T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M)$ *such that for all* $\xi \in \mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M))$,

$$\mathcal{L} \circ \mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0} \circ \psi(\xi) = \|P^+\xi\|_1^2 - \|P^-\xi\|_1^2 + \mathcal{L}^\circ(P^0\xi).$$

Moreover, $\psi\big((P^- + P^0)\mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M))\big)$ *is contained in* $T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N$, *and* ψ *is also a homeomorphism from* $(P^- + P^0)\mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M))$ *onto* $\psi\big((P^- + P^0)\mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M))\big)$ *even if the topology on the latter is taken as the induced one by* $T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N$; ψ *restricts to a* C^{k-3} *embedding from* $P^0\mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M))$ *to* $T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_N$ *(and so to* $T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M)$*) because* $\psi(\xi) = \xi + h(\xi)$ *for* $\xi \in P^0\mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M))$.

If the critical point γ_0 is also isolated, then $\mathcal{L}\circ\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}$ and $\mathcal{L}^\circ$ have isolated critical points 0. Let $C_*(\mathcal{L},\gamma_0;\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $C_*(\mathcal{L}^\circ,0;\mathbb{K})$) denote the critical group of the functional $\mathcal{L}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}^\circ$) at γ_0 (resp. 0) with the coefficient group $\mathbb{K}$. By Theorem 1.1 $\mathscr{N}:=\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}\circ\psi\big(P^0\mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M))\big)$ is a C^{k-3} (embedded) submanifold of $\mathcal{X}_N$ (and so $\Lambda_N(M)$) containing γ_0 as an interior point. It is uniquely determined by F and g in the sense of germs (since our h and ψ may be explicitly determined by data only depending on g and F), and is called a characteristic submanifold of $\Lambda_N(M)$ for $\mathcal{L}$ at γ_0. Clearly, $C_*(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathscr{N}},\gamma_0;\mathbb{K})\cong C_*(\mathcal{L}^\circ,0;\mathbb{K})$. $\mathscr{H}^0_*(\mathcal{L},\gamma_0;\mathbb{K}):=C_*(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathscr{N}},\gamma_0;\mathbb{K})$ is often called the characteristic invariant of $\mathcal{L}$ at γ_0. We have the following shifting theorem.

Theorem 1.2 $C_q(\mathcal{L},\gamma_0;\mathbb{K})\cong C_{q-m^-(\mathcal{L},\gamma_0)}(\mathcal{L}^\circ,0;\mathbb{K})\cong\mathscr{H}^0_{q-m^-(\mathcal{L},\gamma_0)}(\mathcal{L},\gamma_0;\mathbb{K})\ \forall q=0,1,\cdots$.

Remark 1.3 Any Riemannian metric g' on M can always be perturbed near $\gamma_0(0)$ and $\gamma_0(1)$ (with local charts around them) to yield a metric g satisfying (1.7). Since g and g' induce the same Hilbert manifold structures on $\Lambda_N(M)$ and equivalent Hilbert-Riemannian structures on $T\Lambda_N(M)$ the assumption (1.7) has almost no effect for application ranges of our theory. It is also obvious that Theorem 1.1 is only related to the behavior of g in a neighborhood of $\gamma_0([0,1])\subset M$.

Theorem 1.4 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let $\tilde\Lambda$ be a Hilbert submanifold of $\Lambda_N(M)$ containing γ_0 and satisfying the following conditions:*

(i) *$\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N:=\mathcal{X}_N\cap\tilde\Lambda$ is a Banach submanifold of $\mathcal{X}_N$, and is also dense in $\tilde\Lambda$;*

(ii) *the chart in (1.8) restricts to a chart around γ_0 on $\tilde\Lambda$, $\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}_{\gamma_0}:\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(T_{\gamma_0}\tilde\Lambda)\to\tilde\Lambda$, and a chart around γ_0 on $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N$, $\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}^X_{\gamma_0}:\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(T_{\gamma_0}\tilde\Lambda)\cap T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N\to\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N$;*

(iii) *$\nabla(\mathcal{L}\circ\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}_{\gamma_0})(\xi)=\nabla(\mathcal{L}\circ\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0})(\xi)$ for any $\xi\in\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(T_{\gamma_0}\tilde\Lambda)$, where the inner product on $T_{\gamma_0}\tilde\Lambda$ is also given by (1.1);*

(iv) *$\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N})(\gamma_0)\big)\subset T_{\gamma_0}\tilde\Lambda$.*

Then (since $d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N})(\gamma_0)[u,v]=d^2(\mathcal{L}\circ\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}^X_{\gamma_0})(0)[u,v]=d^2(\mathcal{L}\circ\mathrm{EXP}^X_{\gamma_0})(0)[u,v]=d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N})(\gamma_0)[u,v]$ for $u,v\in T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N$ implies $\mathbf{H}^*\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N})(\gamma_0)\big)\subset\mathbf{H}^*\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N})(\gamma_0)\big)$, $*=0,+,-$), ψ in Theorem 1.1 restricts to an origin-preserving homeomorphism $\tilde\psi$ from $\mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\tilde\Lambda)$ to an open neighborhood of 0 in $T_{\gamma_0}\tilde\Lambda$ such that for all $\xi\in\mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\tilde\Lambda)$,

$$\mathcal{L}\circ\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}_{\gamma_0}\circ\tilde\psi(\xi)=\|\tilde P^+\xi\|_1^2-\|\tilde P^-\xi\|_1^2+\mathcal{L}^\circ(\tilde P^0\xi),$$

where $\tilde P^*$ are orthogonal projections from

$$T_{\gamma_0}\tilde\Lambda=\mathbf{H}^-(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\oplus\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\oplus\mathbf{H}^+(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))$$

onto $\mathbf{H}^*(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))$, $*=0,-,+$, and we actually have $\tilde P^\star\xi=P^\star\xi$, $\star=-,0,+$. Moreover the inclusion $\tilde\Lambda\hookrightarrow\Lambda_N(M)$ induces isomorphisms

(a) *$\mathscr{H}^0_*(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde\Lambda},\gamma_0;\mathbb{K})\cong\mathscr{H}^0_*(\mathcal{L},\gamma_0;\mathbb{K})$ if $m^0(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde\Lambda},\gamma_0))=m^0(\mathcal{L},\gamma_0))$;*

(b) *$C_*(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde\Lambda},\gamma_0;\mathbb{K})\cong C_*(\mathcal{L},\gamma_0;\mathbb{K})$ if $m^\star(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde\Lambda},\gamma_0))=m^\star(\mathcal{L},\gamma_0))$, $\star=0,-$.*

This result may be, in some sense, viewed as heritability of the splitting lemma. In the Riemannian case, $\mathcal{X}_N$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N$ are chosen as $\Lambda_N(M)$ and $\tilde\Lambda$, respectively; the conditions (i)-(ii) are not needed; (b) is obvious and the corresponding claim with (a) is a direct consequence of Lemma 7 in [17]. Such a result is necessary in many applications.

1.2 The case $N = G(\mathbb{I}_g)$

There exists, as showed in [19, Lem.2.2], a natural continuous $\mathbb{R}$-action by Riemannian-isometries on $\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M)$ induced by g, $\mu : \Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M) \times \mathbb{R} \to \Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M)$ defined by

$$\mu(\gamma, s)(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma(t+s) & \text{for } 0 \le t \le 1-s \\ \mathbb{I}_g(\gamma(t+s-1)) & \text{for } 1-s \le t \le 1 \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

if $s \in [0,1]$, and $\mu(\gamma, s) = \mu(\mathbb{I}_g^m \circ \gamma, s-m))$ when $s \in [m, m+1]$. Correspondingly, we have also a continuous $\mathbb{R}$-action by Hilbert-Riemannian bundle isomorphisms on $T\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M)$, $\hat{\mu} : T\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M) \times \mathbb{R} \to T\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M)$ defined by

$$\hat{\mu}(\xi, s)(t) = \begin{cases} \xi(t+s) & \text{for } 0 \le t \le 1-s \\ \mathbb{I}_{g*}(\xi(t+s-1)) & \text{for } 1-s \le t \le 1 \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

if $s \in [0,1]$, and $\hat{\mu}(\xi, s) = \mu(\mathbb{I}_{g*}^m \circ \xi, s-m))$ when $s \in [m, m+1]$.

The isotropy group $\mathbb{R}_\gamma = \{s \in \mathbb{R} \,|\, \mu(\gamma, s) = \gamma\}$ at a point $\gamma \in \Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M)$ is either $\{0\}$, infinite cyclic or $\mathbb{R}$. The orbit space $\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M)/\mathbb{R}$ is not Hausdorff. Moreover, the orbit $\mu(\gamma, \mathbb{R})$ of each $\gamma \in C^r(I, M) \cap \Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M) \setminus \mathrm{Fix}(\mathbb{I}_g)$ with $\dot{\gamma}(1) = \mathbb{I}_{g*}(\dot{\gamma}(0))$ is an immersed C^{r-1} submanifold of $\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M)$, where $r \ge 1$ is an integer or ∞. Note that the functional $\mathcal{L}$ is not invariant under the above action without further assumptions. When N in Theorem 1.1 is replaced by $G(\mathbb{I}_g)$ the corresponding conclusions also hold, which can be seen from the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.7.

Theorem 1.5 *Let F be a C^k Finsler metric on a smooth manifold M of dimension more than one. Suppose that γ_0 is a nonconstant critical point of $\mathcal{L}$ on $\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M)$. Then for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ there exists an origin-preserving homeomorphism ψ from $\mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M))$ to an open neighborhood of 0 in $T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M))$ such that for all $\xi \in \mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M))$,*

$$\mathcal{L} \circ \mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0} \circ \psi(\xi) = \|P^+\xi\|_1^2 - \|P^-\xi\|_1^2 + \mathcal{L}^\circ(P^0\xi).$$

Moreover, ψ restricts to a C^{k-3} embedding from $P^0\mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M))$ to $T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}$ (and so to $T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M)$); $\psi\left((P^- + P^0)\mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M))\right)$ is contained in $T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}$, and ψ is also a homeomorphism from $(P^- + P^0)\mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M))$ onto $\psi\left((P^- + P^0)\mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M))\right)$ even if the topology on the latter is taken as the induced one by $T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}$.

If the critical point γ_0 is isolated, a shifting theorem follows from Theorem 1.5 directly; and a corresponding result with Theorem 1.4 is also easily given.

From now on we suppose that F is $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant. In this case it is convenient to consider the functional $\mathcal{L}$ on the Hilbert-Riemannian manifold

$$\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g) = \{\gamma \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, M) \,|\, \gamma(t+1) = \mathbb{I}_g(\gamma(t)) \ \forall t\}$$

with the natural Riemannian metric given by (1.1) because there exists a natural Hilbert-Riemannian isometry $\Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M) \ni \gamma \to \gamma^\star \in \Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$, where $\gamma^\star$ is defined by (1.6), whose inverse is given by the restriction map $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g) \ni \gamma \mapsto \gamma|_I \in \Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M)$. Under this correspondence the action in (1.13) corresponds to a continuous $\mathbb{R}$-action on $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ by isometries, $\mathcal{T} : \Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g) \times \mathbb{R} \to \Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ by

$$\mathcal{T}(\gamma, s)(t) = \mathbf{T}_s(\gamma)(t) = \gamma(t+s) \quad \text{for any } t, s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \gamma \in \Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g). \tag{1.15}$$

We also write $\mathbf{T}_s(\gamma)$ as $s \cdot \gamma$ sometimes. (Clearly, $(s \cdot \gamma^\star)|_{[0,1]} = \mu(\gamma, s)$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ if $\gamma \in \Lambda_{G(\mathbb{I}_g)}(M)$ corresponds to $\gamma^\star \in \Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ as above.) Note that if the isometry $\mathbb{I}_g$ is of finite

order $p \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $\mathbb{I}_g^p = id_M$ then the $\mathbb{R}$-action in (1.15) induces a continuous $\mathbb{R}/p\mathbb{Z}$-action by isometries on $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$:

$$[s]_p \cdot \gamma(t) = \gamma(s+t), \quad \forall [s]_p \in \mathbb{R}/p\mathbb{Z},\ \gamma \in \Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g). \tag{1.16}$$

Clearly, the critical points of the functional $\mathcal{L}$ on $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ consist of all $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant F-geodesics plus the constant maps in the fixed point set $\mathrm{Fix}(\mathbb{I}_g)$ of $\mathbb{I}_g$. These critical points are of class C^k, and in particular sit in the Banach manifold

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}(M, \mathbb{I}_g) = \{\gamma \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, M) \,|\, \gamma(t+1) = \mathbb{I}_g(\gamma(t))\ \forall t\}.$$

A nonconstant curve $\gamma \in \Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ can be a non-isolated critical point of $\mathcal{L}$. For each critical point γ of $\mathcal{L}$, corresponding to Proposition 2.3 in [19] we have also:

(i) $\mathbb{R}_\gamma = \{0\}$ if and only if the corresponding $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant F-geodesic on M is non-closed.

(ii) $\mathbb{R}_\gamma$ is infinite cyclic with generator s if and only if the corresponding $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant F-geodesic is closed and the prime period of the geodesic is s.

(iii) $\mathbb{R}_\gamma = \mathbb{R}$ if and only γ is a constant map to $\mathrm{Fix}(\mathbb{I}_g)$.

So the $\mathbb{R}$-orbit of a critical point γ of $\mathcal{L}$ on $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ is either a point, an embedded S^1 or a $1-1$ immersed image of $\mathbb{R}$ (with constant speed) according to the corresponding maximal $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant F-geodesic being a fixed point, a closed geodesic or a non-closed geodesic. The critical points in the same $\mathbb{R}$-orbit correspond to the same $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant F-geodesic with only different initial point. A non-closed $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant F-geodesic is in $1-1$ correspondence with a non-closed $\mathbb{R}$-orbit of critical points in $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$; and a closed $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant F-geodesic is in $1-1$ correspondence with a "tower" of closed $\mathbb{R}$-orbits of critical points in $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$. (Note that each orbit of the tower corresponds to a "covering" of an underlying prime closed $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant geodesic.)

Carefully checking the proof of [19, Th.2.4] and [20, Th.4.1] it is not hard to see that the following result holds true.

Proposition 1.6 *Let $\mathbb{I}_g$ be proper in the sense that the displacement function for it, $\delta_{\mathbb{I}_g} : M \to \mathbb{R},\ x \mapsto d_g(x, \mathbb{I}_g(x))$ is proper. Suppose that F is $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant and that there exists a non-closed (i.e., non-periodic) $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant F-geodesic $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to M$. Then in the closure $\overline{\gamma(\mathbb{R})}$ there are uncountably many $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant F-geodesics $\gamma_\tau : \mathbb{R} \to M$ with $F(\gamma_\tau, \dot\gamma_\tau) = F(\gamma, \dot\gamma)$.*

So if the isometry $\mathbb{I}_g$ is proper, in the studies of multiplicity of $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant F-geodesics, we can assume that there exist finitely many (geometrically different) $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant geodesics (which must all be closed).

Let $\gamma_0 \in \Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ be a closed $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant F-geodesic with $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_0) = c > 0$ (which implies that $\mathbb{R}_{\gamma_0}$ is an infinite cyclic subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ with generator $p > 0$). Then the orbit $\mathcal{O} := \mathbb{R} \cdot \gamma_0$ is an embedded circle $S^1(p) := \mathbb{R}/p\mathbb{Z}$. (The $\mathbb{R}$-action reduces to $S^1(p)$-action on it). We assume that $\mathcal{O}$ is an isolated critical orbit below. It is an $\mathbb{R}$-invariant compact connected C^{k-1} submanifold of $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ (cf. [17, page 499]). Actually, $\mathcal{O} \subset C^k(\mathbb{R}, M) \cap \Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ by Proposition 3.1 in [37], and it is a C^{k-1} submanifold of the Banach manifold $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{I}_g, M)$ by Proposition 5.1.

Let $\pi : N\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}$ be the normal bundle of $\mathcal{O}$ in $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$. This is a C^{k-2} Hilbert vector bundle over $\mathcal{O}$ (because $T\mathcal{O}$ is a C^{k-2} subbundle of $T_{\mathcal{O}}\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$), and $XN\mathcal{O} := T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X} \cap N\mathcal{O}$ is a C^{k-2} Banach vector subbundle of $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X}$ by Proposition 5.1. For $\varepsilon > 0$ we define $N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) := \{(\gamma, v) \in N\mathcal{O} \,|\, \|v\|_1 < \varepsilon\}$,

$$N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)^X := N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \cap T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X} \quad \text{and} \quad XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) := \{(\gamma, v) \in XN\mathcal{O} \,|\, \|v\|_{C^1} < \varepsilon\}$$

as open subsets of $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X}$. Clearly, $XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \subset N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)^X \subset N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$. Note that there exists a natural induced $\mathbb{R}$-actions on these bundles. For sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, as above we derive from Lemma 5.2 that the map

$$\mathrm{EXP} : T\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)(\varepsilon) = \{(\gamma, v) \in T\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g) \,|\, \|v\|_1\} < \varepsilon\} \to \Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g) \tag{1.17}$$

defined by $\mathrm{EXP}(\gamma, v)(t) = \exp_{\gamma(t)} v(t)\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ via the exponential map exp of g, restricts to a C^{k-3} diffeomorphism $\digamma : N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \to \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O},\varepsilon)$, where $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O},\varepsilon)$ is an open neighborhood of $\mathcal{O}$ in $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$. Similarly, $\digamma$ restricts to a C^{k-3} diffeomorphism from $XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ to an open neighborhood $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O},\varepsilon)$ of $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$ (shrinking $\varepsilon > 0$ if necessary), which implies that $\mathcal{L} \circ \digamma|_{XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)}$ is C^{k-3} as in the proof of Lemma 2.3. For $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}$ let $N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)_\gamma$, $N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)^X_\gamma$ and $XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)_\gamma$ be the fibers of fiber bundles $N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$, $N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)^X$ and $XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ at $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}$, respectively. Define

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L} \circ \digamma, \qquad \mathcal{F}_\gamma = \mathcal{F}|_{N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)_\gamma}, \qquad \mathcal{F}^X = \mathcal{F}|_{N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)^X}.$$

Denote by A_γ the restriction of the gradient $\nabla\mathcal{F}_\gamma$ to $N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)^X_\gamma$. It takes values in $XN\mathcal{O}_\gamma$, and for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough A_γ is a C^{k-3} map from $XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)_\gamma$ to $XN\mathcal{O}_\gamma$ by Lemma 3.1, (3.25) and (3.35). Moreover the bundle map

$$XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \ni (\gamma, v) \mapsto (\gamma, A_\gamma(v)) \in XN\mathcal{O} \quad \text{is} \quad C^{k-4}. \tag{1.18}$$

(Indeed, since $\mathcal{F}|_{XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)}$ is C^{k-3}, its fiberwise differential $d_F(\mathcal{F}|_{XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)})$ is a C^{k-4} bundle map from $XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ to $XN\mathcal{O}^*$. So any C^{k-4} sections $\mathfrak{V} : \mathcal{O} \to XN\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathfrak{U} : \mathcal{O} \to XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ give rise to a C^{k-4} function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O} \ni \gamma \mapsto d_F(\mathcal{F}|_{XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)})(\mathfrak{V}(\gamma))[\mathfrak{U}(\gamma)] &= d(\mathcal{F}|_{XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)_\gamma})(\mathfrak{V}(x))[\mathfrak{U}(\gamma)] \\ &= \langle A_\gamma(\mathfrak{V}(\gamma)), \mathfrak{U}(\gamma)\rangle_{1,\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

By using a local trivialization argument, (1.18) follows from this because the Riemannian metric by given (1.1) on $XN\mathcal{O}$ is of class C^{k-2}.) It is clear that

$$A_{s\cdot\gamma}(s \cdot v) = s \cdot A_\gamma(v) \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R},\ v \in N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)^X_\gamma \tag{1.19}$$

since $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are $\mathbb{R}$-invariant. Denote by B_γ the natural extension of the symmetric bilinear form $d^2(\mathcal{F}|_{XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)_\gamma})(0)$ on $N\mathcal{O}_\gamma$ and the associated self-adjoint operator with it. The latter is Fredholm, and has finite dimensional negative definite and null spaces $\mathbf{H}^-(B_\gamma)$ and $\mathbf{H}^0(B_\gamma)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{H}^-(B_\gamma) + \mathbf{H}^0(B_\gamma)$ is contained in $XN\mathcal{O}_\gamma$, and B_γ (as an element of $\mathscr{L}(N\mathcal{O}_\gamma)$) also restricts to a bounded linear operator $dA_\gamma(0) \in \mathscr{L}(XN\mathcal{O}_\gamma)$. Because $B_{s\cdot\gamma}(s \cdot u) = s \cdot B_\gamma(u)$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(\gamma, u) \in N\mathcal{O}$, we deduce that $\dim \mathbf{H}^0(B_\gamma)$ and $\dim \mathbf{H}^-(B_\gamma)$ are independent of $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}$, and call

$$m^0(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O}) := \dim \mathbf{H}^0(B_\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad m^-(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O}) := \dim \mathbf{H}^-(B_\gamma) \tag{1.20}$$

the **nullity** and **Morse index** of $\mathcal{O}$, respectively. In the case $m^0(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O}) = 0$ the critical orbit $\mathcal{O}$ is said to be **nondegenerate**. Note that we have always $0 \le m^0(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O}) \le 2n-1$. Observe that there exists $\mathfrak{a} > 0$ such that

$$\sigma(B_\gamma) \cap ([-2\mathfrak{a}, 2\mathfrak{a}] \setminus \{0\}) = \emptyset, \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathcal{O} \tag{1.21}$$

since $B_{s\cdot\gamma}(s \cdot u) = s \cdot B_\gamma(u)$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(\gamma, u) \in N\mathcal{O}$. By this and Lemma 7.3 on the page 70 of [11], for the critical manifold $\mathcal{O}$ we have a natural C^{k-2} Hilbert vector bundle orthogonal decomposition

$$N\mathcal{O} = \mathbf{H}^-(B) \oplus \mathbf{H}^0(B) \oplus \mathbf{H}^+(B) \tag{1.22}$$

with $\mathbf{H}^\star(B)_\gamma = \mathbf{H}^\star(B_\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\star = +, 0, -$, and hence (by Proposition 5.1) a C^{k-2} Banach vector bundle direct sum decomposition

$$XN\mathcal{O} = \mathbf{H}^-(B)\dot{+}\mathbf{H}^0(B)\dot{+}(\mathbf{H}^+(B) \cap XN\mathcal{O}). \tag{1.23}$$

Let $\mathbf{P}^\star$ be the orthogonal bundle projections from $N\mathcal{O}$ onto $\mathbf{H}^\star(B)$, $\star = +, 0, -$, and let $\mathbf{H}^0(B)(\delta) = \mathbf{H}^0(B) \cap N\mathcal{O}(\delta)$ for $\delta > 0$. Note that $\mathbf{H}^0(B)(\delta) \subset XN\mathcal{O}$ and that $\delta > 0$ can be chosen so small that $\mathbf{H}^0(B)(\delta) \subset XN\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ since $\mathbf{H}^0(B)$ has finite rank and $B_{s\cdot\gamma}(s \cdot u) = s \cdot B_\gamma(u),\ \forall(s, u, \gamma)$. By a local trivialization argument and the compactness of $\mathcal{O}$ we may use the implicit function theorem and (1.18) to get a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ and a unique $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant C^{k-4} bundle map

$$\mathfrak{h} : \mathbf{H}^0(B)(\delta) \to \mathbf{H}^-(B)\dot{+}(\mathbf{H}^+(B) \cap XN\mathcal{O}) \tag{1.24}$$

whose restriction $\mathfrak{h}_\gamma$ on each fibre $\mathbf{H}^0(B)(\delta)_\gamma$ is of class C^{k-3}, $\mathbb{R}_\gamma$-equivariant and satisfies

$$\mathfrak{h}_\gamma(0) = 0, \quad (\mathbf{P}^+_\gamma + \mathbf{P}^-_\gamma) \circ A_\gamma\big(v + \mathfrak{h}_\gamma(v)\big) = 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathbf{H}^0(B)(\delta)_\gamma. \tag{1.25}$$

(Here the C^{k-4} (resp. C^{k-3}) smoothness of $\mathfrak{h}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{h}_\gamma$) comes from the fact that the bundle map in (1.18) (resp. A_γ) is of class C^{k-4} (resp. C^{k-3})). Moreover, the $\mathbb{R}$-invariant functional

$$\mathcal{L}^\circ_\triangle : \mathbf{H}^0(B)(\delta) \ni (\gamma, v) \to \mathcal{L} \circ \mathrm{EXP}_\gamma\big(v + \mathfrak{h}_\gamma(v)\big) \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.26}$$

is C^{k-4}, and restricts to an $\mathbb{R}_\gamma$-invariant and C^{k-3} functional in each fiber $\mathbf{H}^0(B)(\delta)_\gamma$, denoted by $\mathcal{L}^\circ_{\triangle\gamma}$.

Theorem 1.7 *Under the above notation there exist $\varrho \in (0, \delta)$, an $\mathbb{R}$-invariant open neighborhood U of the zero section of $N\mathcal{O}$, an $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant C^{k-4} fiber map $\mathfrak{h}$ given by (1.24), and an $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant fiber-preserving homeomorphism $\Upsilon : N\mathcal{O}(\varrho) \to U$ such that for all $(\gamma, u) \in N\mathcal{O}(\varrho)$,*

$$\mathcal{L} \circ \mathrm{EXP} \circ \Upsilon(\gamma, u) = \|\mathbf{P}^+_\gamma u\|^2_1 - \|\mathbf{P}^-_\gamma u\|^2_1 + \mathcal{L}^\circ_\triangle(\gamma, \mathbf{P}^0_\gamma u).$$

Moreover, Υ also satisfies the following properties:

- **(i)** *for any $(\gamma, u^0 \oplus u^+ \oplus u^-) \in N\mathcal{O}(\varrho) \cap \big(\mathbf{H}^0(B) \oplus \mathbf{H}^-(B) \oplus \mathbf{H}^+(B)\big)$, $\Upsilon_\gamma(0) = 0$, $\Upsilon_\gamma(u^0 \oplus u^- \oplus u^+) - \mathfrak{h}_\gamma(u^0) - u^0 \in \mathbf{H}^-(B)_\gamma \oplus \mathbf{H}^+(B)_\gamma$, $\Upsilon_\gamma(u^0 \oplus u^- \oplus u^+) \in \mathbf{H}^-(B)_\gamma$ if and only if $u^0 = 0$ and $u^+ = 0$, and $\Upsilon_\gamma(u^0 \oplus u^- \oplus u^+) \in \mathbf{H}^-(B)_\gamma \oplus \mathbf{H}^+(B)_\gamma$ if and only if $u^0 = 0$;*
- **(ii)** *Υ restricts to a C^{k-4} bundle embedding from $N\mathcal{O}(\varrho) \cap \mathbf{H}^0(B)$ to $XN\mathcal{O}$ (and so to $N\mathcal{O}$) because $\Upsilon(\gamma, v) = (\gamma, v + \mathfrak{h}_\gamma(v))$ for $(\gamma, v) \in N\mathcal{O}(\varrho) \cap \mathbf{H}^0(B)$;*
- **(iii)** *$\Upsilon\big((\mathbf{P}^- + \mathbf{P}^0)N\mathcal{O}(\varrho)\big)$ is contained in $XN\mathcal{O}$, and Υ is also a homeomorphism from $(\mathbf{P}^- + \mathbf{P}^0)N\mathcal{O}(\varrho)$ onto $\Upsilon\big((\mathbf{P}^- + \mathbf{P}^0)N\mathcal{O}(\varrho)\big)$ even if the topology on the latter is taken as the induced one by $XN\mathcal{O}$. (This implies that $N\mathcal{O}$ and $XN\mathcal{O}$ induce the same topology in $\Upsilon\big((\mathbf{P}^- + \mathbf{P}^0)N\mathcal{O}(\varrho)\big)$.)*

Since every curve in a small neighborhood of $\mathcal{O}$ in $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ has image near the compact subset $\gamma_0(\mathbb{R}) \subset M$, as showed in Remark 1.3, Theorem 1.7 only depends on the values of the metric g near $\gamma_0(\mathbb{R})$.

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.7 let $\mathbf{H}^{0-}(B) := \mathbf{H}^0(B) \oplus \mathbf{H}^-(B)$ and $\mathbf{H}^{0-}(B)(\epsilon) := (\mathbf{H}^0(B) \oplus \mathbf{H}^-(B)) \cap N\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$. Then $\mathbf{H}^{0-}(B) \subset XN\mathcal{O}$. Define $\mathfrak{L} : \mathbf{H}^{0-}(B)(\epsilon) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathfrak{L}(\gamma, v) = -\|\mathbf{P}^-_\gamma v\|^2_1 + \mathcal{L}^\circ_{\triangle\gamma}(\mathbf{P}^0_\gamma v). \tag{1.27}$$

Let $C_*(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O};\mathbb{K})$ and $C_*(\mathfrak{L},\mathcal{O};\mathbb{K})$ denote the critical groups of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}$ at isolated critical orbits $\mathcal{O}$ with the coefficient group $\mathbb{K}$, respectively. By some standard deformation arguments we may derive from Theorem 1.7

$$C_*(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O};\mathbb{K}) \cong C_*(\mathfrak{L},\mathcal{O};\mathbb{K}). \tag{1.28}$$

Define $\mathscr{N}(\mathcal{O}) := \mathrm{EXP}\circ\Upsilon\big(N\mathcal{O}(\varrho)\cap\mathbf{H}^0(B)\big)$. This is an $\mathbb{R}$-invariant C^{k-4} (embedded) submanifold of $\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$ (and so of $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$) which has dimension $1+m^0(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O})$ and contains $\mathcal{O}$ in its interior. But $\mathscr{N}(\mathcal{O})_\gamma := \mathrm{EXP}_\gamma\circ\Upsilon_\gamma\big(N\mathcal{O}(\varrho)_\gamma\cap\mathbf{H}^0(B)_\gamma\big)$, for each $\gamma\in\mathcal{O}$, is a C^{k-3} (embedded) submanifold of $\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$ (and so of $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$) which has dimension $m^0(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O})$ and contains γ as an interior point. We call $\mathscr{N}(\mathcal{O})$ (resp. $\mathscr{N}(\mathcal{O})_\gamma$) a characteristic submanifold of $(\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g),\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1)$ for $\mathcal{L}$ at $\mathcal{O}$ (resp. $\gamma\in\mathcal{O}$), and call

$$\mathscr{H}^0_*(\mathcal{L},\gamma;\mathbb{K}) := C_*(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathscr{N}(\mathcal{O})_\gamma},\gamma;\mathbb{K})$$

the characteristic invariant of $\mathcal{L}$ at $\gamma\in\mathcal{O}$. Clearly, $\mathscr{H}^0_*(\mathcal{L},\gamma;\mathbb{K})\cong C_*(\mathcal{L}^\circ_{\triangle\gamma},0;\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathscr{H}^0_q(\mathcal{L},\gamma;\mathbb{K})=0$ for all $q\geq 2\dim M-1$. Theorem 1.7 also implies the following shifting theorem,

$$C_{q+m^-(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O})}(\mathcal{F}_\gamma,0;\mathbb{K})\cong C_q(\mathcal{L}^\circ_{\triangle\gamma},0;\mathbb{K})\cong\mathscr{H}^0_*(\mathcal{L},\gamma;\mathbb{K})\quad\forall q=0,1,\cdots. \tag{1.29}$$

By Meyer-Vietoris theorem (cf. [58]), if the Abelian group $\mathbb{K}$ is a field we get the following important inequalities

$$\dim C_q(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O};\mathbb{K})\leq 2\sum_{i=0}^{1}\dim\mathscr{H}^0_{q-m^-(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O})-i}(\mathcal{L},\gamma;\mathbb{K})\quad\forall q, \tag{1.30}$$

which are sufficient for most of applications.

For a curve $c:\mathbb{R}\to M$ and $\tau\in\mathbb{R}$ we define a curve $c^\tau:\mathbb{R}\to M$ by

$$c^\tau(t)=c(\tau\cdot t)\quad\forall t\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{1.31}$$

In particular, for each $m\in\mathbb{N}$ we have an iteration map

$$\varphi_m:\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)\to\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m) \tag{1.32}$$

given by $\varphi_m(c)=c^m$. For any closed $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant F-geodesic γ_0 and every $m\in\mathbb{N}$, γ_0^m is a closed $\mathbb{I}_g^m$-invariant F-geodesic, and so a critical point of the functional $\mathcal{L}$ defined by (1.2) on $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)$. Let $T\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)$ be equipped with the equivalent Riemannian-Hilbert structure

$$(\xi,\eta)_m=m^2\int_0^1\langle\xi(t),\eta(t)\rangle dt+\int_0^1\langle\nabla^g_\gamma\xi(t),\nabla^g_\gamma\xi(t)\rangle dt. \tag{1.33}$$

Denote by $\|\cdot\|_m$ the norm of it. Let

$$\mathrm{EXP}^m:T\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)(\varepsilon)=\{(\gamma,v)\in T\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)\,|\,\|v\|_m\}<\varepsilon\}\to\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m) \tag{1.34}$$

be defined as in (1.17). Suppose that $\mathcal{O}^m:=\mathbb{R}\cdot\gamma_0^m$ is also an isolated critical orbit of $\mathcal{L}$ in $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)$. Denote by $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m$ the normal bundle of $\mathcal{O}^m$ in $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)$ with respect to the Riemannian-Hilbert structure (1.33), and by $X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m:=T_{\mathcal{O}^m}\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)\cap\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m$ the Banach vector subbundle of $T_{\mathcal{O}^m}\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)$. Correspondingly, we have also $X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon)$, $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon)^X$ and $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon)$. Then

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}:\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon)\to\mathbb{R},\ (y,v)\mapsto\mathcal{L}\circ\mathrm{EXP}^m(y,v) \tag{1.35}$$

is an $\mathbb{R}$-invariant functional of class C^{2-0}, and also restricts to a C^{k-3} map on $X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough. For each $y\in\mathcal{O}^m$ let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_y$ denote the restriction of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ to $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon)_y$, and let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_y^X$ be the restrictions of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_y$ to $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon)_y\cap X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}_y^m$. Denote by $\hat{\nabla}\hat{\mathcal{F}}_y$ the gradient of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_y$ with respect to the inner product in (1.33) on $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}_y^m$, and by $\hat{A}_y$ be the restriction of $\hat{\nabla}\hat{\mathcal{F}}_y$ to $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon)_y\cap X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}_y^m$. Clearly,

$$\hat{A}_{s\cdot y}(s\cdot v)=s\cdot\hat{A}_y(v)\quad\forall s\in\mathbb{R},\ (y,v)\in\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon)\cap X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m. \tag{1.36}$$

Moreover, if $\delta\in(0,\varepsilon)$ is small enough we have:
(i) $X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\delta)\ni(y,v)\mapsto(y,\hat{A}_y(v))\in X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m$ is a C^{k-4} bundle map;
(ii) the map $\hat{A}_y$ is C^{k-3}-smooth from $X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\delta)_y$ to $X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}_y^m$ (and so $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_y^X$ is C^{k-2} in $X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\delta)_y$);
(iii) the symmetric bilinear form $d^2\hat{\mathcal{F}}_y^X(0)$ has a continuous extension $\hat{B}_y$ on $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}_y^m$;
(iv) $\mathbf{H}^-(\hat{B}_y)+\mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B}_y)\subset X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}_y^m$ is of finite dimension and there exist a C^{k-2} Hilbert vector bundle orthogonal decomposition

$$\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m=\mathbf{H}^-(\hat{B})\hat{\oplus}\mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B})\hat{\oplus}\mathbf{H}^+(\hat{B}) \tag{1.37}$$

with respect to the inner product in (1.33) and an induced C^{k-2} Banach space bundle direct sum decomposition $X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m=\mathbf{H}^-(\hat{B})\dot{+}\mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B})\dot{+}(\mathbf{H}^+(\hat{B})\cap X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m)$. Let $m^-(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O}^m)$ (resp. $m^0(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O}^m)$) be the Morse index (resp. the nullity) defined by (1.20) when $\mathcal{O}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{O}^m$. (Precisely, $m^0(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O}^m):=\dim\mathbf{H}^0(B_y)$ and $m^-(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O}^m):=\dim\mathbf{H}^-(B_y)$ for $y\in\mathcal{O}^m$). Then

$$m^-(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O}^m)=\dim\mathbf{H}^-(\hat{B}_y)\quad\text{and}\quad m^0(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O}^m)=\dim\mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B}_y) \tag{1.38}$$

because two sides of the first (resp. second) equality are equal to the Morse index (resp. nullity minus one) of the symmetric bilinear form $d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)})(\gamma_0^m)$. Let $\hat{\mathbf{P}}_y^\star$ be the orthogonal projections from $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}_y^m$ onto $\mathbf{H}^\star(\hat{B}_y)$ in (1.37), $\star=+,0,-$. Since $\dim\mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B}_y)$ is finite we may take $\epsilon\in(0,\delta)$ so small that

$$\mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B})(\epsilon)_y:=\mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B}_y)\cap\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\epsilon)_y\subset X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\delta)_y$$

and use the implicit function theorem to get a unique C^{k-3} map

$$\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_y:\mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B})(\epsilon)_y\to\mathbf{H}^-(\hat{B}_y)\dot{+}(\mathbf{H}^+(\hat{B}_y)\cap X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}_y^m) \tag{1.39}$$

satisfying $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_y(0)=0$, $d\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_y(0)=0$ and

$$(\hat{\mathbf{P}}_y^+ + \hat{\mathbf{P}}_y^-)\circ\hat{A}_y\big(v+\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_y(v)\big)=0\quad\forall v\in\mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B})(\epsilon)_y. \tag{1.40}$$

By (1.36) the map $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_y$ is also $\mathbb{R}_y$-equivariant; moreover the bundle map

$$\hat{\mathfrak{h}}:\mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B})(\epsilon)\to\mathbf{H}^-(\hat{B})\dot{+}(\mathbf{H}^+(\hat{B})\cap X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m),(y,v)\mapsto(y,\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_y)$$

is C^{k-4}. Define the functional

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}^\circ_{\triangle y}:\mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B})(\epsilon)_y\ni v\to\hat{\mathcal{F}}_y\big(v+\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_y(v)\big)\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{1.41}$$

It is C^{k-3} and has the isolated critical point 0. On the other hand the functional

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}^\circ_{\triangle}:\mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B})(\epsilon)\to\mathbb{R}$$

defined by $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^\circ_{\triangle}(y,v)=\hat{\mathcal{L}}^\circ_{\triangle y}(v)$ is only C^{k-4}. Define the map $\hat{\mathscr{J}}_y:\overline{\mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B})(\epsilon)_y}\times\big(\mathbf{H}^-(\hat{B})(\epsilon)_y\oplus\mathbf{H}^+(\hat{B})(\epsilon)_y\big)\to\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\hat{\mathscr{J}}_y(u,v)=\hat{\mathcal{F}}_y(u+\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_y(u)+v)-\hat{\mathcal{F}}_y(u+\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_y(u)). \tag{1.42}$$

Combining the proof method of [37, Claim 6.3] with that of Theorem 1.7 we can show that $\hat{\mathscr{J}}_y$ satisfies an analogous result to Proposition 3.8. So shrinking $\epsilon > 0$ (if necessary) as in the proof of [36, Lemma 3.6], we obtain a $\mathbb{R}_y$-invariant origin-preserving homeomorphism $\widehat{\Upsilon}_y$ from $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\epsilon)_y$ onto a neighborhood of $0_y \in \hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m_y$ and satisfying

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}_y \circ \widehat{\Upsilon}_y(u) = \|\hat{\mathbf{P}}^+_{y_0} u\|^2_m - \|\hat{\mathbf{P}}^-_y u\|^2_1 + \hat{\mathcal{L}}^\circ_{\triangle y}(\hat{\mathbf{P}}^0_y u) \quad \forall u \in \hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\epsilon)_y.$$

Summing up, we have:

Theorem 1.8 *Under the above notation, by shrinking the above $\epsilon > 0$ (if necessary) there exist an $\mathbb{R}$-invariant open neighborhood U of the zero section of $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m$, an $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant C^{k-4} fiber map $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ given by (1.39), and an $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant fiber-preserving homeomorphism $\widehat{\Upsilon} : \hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\epsilon) \to \widehat{U}$ such that for all $(\gamma, u) \in \hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\epsilon)$,*

$$\mathcal{L} \circ \mathrm{EXP}^m \circ \widehat{\Upsilon}(y, u) = \|\hat{\mathbf{P}}^+_y u\|^2_m - \|\hat{\mathbf{P}}^-_y u\|^2_m + \hat{\mathcal{L}}^\circ_\triangle(y, \hat{\mathbf{P}}^0_y u).$$

Moreover, $\widehat{\Upsilon}$ also satisfies similar properties to "moreover" part of Theorem 1.7; in particular $\widehat{\Upsilon}$ restricts to a C^{k-4} bundle embedding from $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\epsilon) \cap \mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B})$ to $X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m$ (and so to $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m$) because $\widehat{\Upsilon}(y, u) = (y, u + \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_y(u))$ for $(y, u) \in \hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\epsilon) \cap \mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B})$;

$\hat{\mathscr{N}}(\mathcal{O}^m) := \mathrm{EXP}^m \circ \widehat{\Upsilon}\big(\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\epsilon) \cap \mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B})\big)$ is a C^{k-4} (embedded) submanifold of $\mathcal{X}(M, \mathbb{I}^m_g)$ (and so of $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}^m_g)$) which contains $\mathcal{O}^m$ in its interior. But for each $y \in \mathcal{O}^m$, $\hat{\mathscr{N}}(\mathcal{O}^m)_y := \mathrm{EXP}^m_y \circ \widehat{\Upsilon}_y\big(\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\epsilon)_y \cap \mathbf{H}^0(\hat{B})_y\big)$ is a C^{k-3} (embedded) submanifold of $\mathcal{X}(M, \mathbb{I}^m_g)$ (and so of $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}^m_g)$) which has dimension $m^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}^m)$ and which contains y as an interior point, and is called a characteristic submanifold of the Hilbert-Riemannian manifold $(\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}^m_g), (\cdot, \cdot)_m)$ for $\mathcal{L}$ at $y \in \mathcal{O}^m$. We also call

$$\hat{\mathscr{H}}^0_*(\mathcal{L}, y; \mathbb{K}) := C_*(\mathcal{L}|_{\hat{\mathscr{N}}(\mathcal{O}^m)_y}, y; \mathbb{K})$$

the characteristic invariant of $\mathcal{L}$ at $y \in \mathcal{O}^m$ because as in the proof of [37, (6.31)], we can show that

$$C_*(\mathcal{L}|_{\hat{\mathscr{N}}(\mathcal{O}^m)_y}, y; \mathbb{K}) = C_*(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathscr{N}(\mathcal{O}^m)_y}, y; \mathbb{K}) \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{O}^m,$$

where $\mathscr{N}(\mathcal{O}^m)_y$ is the characteristic submanifold of the Hilbert-Riemannian manifold $(\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}^m_g), \langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_1)$ for $\mathcal{L}$ at $y \in \mathcal{O}^m$.

As for Theorem 1.4 we have

Theorem 1.9 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.8, let $\tilde{\Lambda}$ be a Riemannian-Hilbert submanifold of $\big(\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}^m_g), (\cdot, \cdot)_m\big)$ containing $\mathcal{O}^m$ and satisfying the following conditions:*

(i) *$\tilde{\mathcal{X}} := \mathcal{X}(M, \mathbb{I}^m_g) \cap \tilde{\Lambda}$ is a Banach submanifold of $\mathcal{X}(M, \mathbb{I}^m_g)$;*

(ii) *EXP^m in (1.34) restricts to a diffeomorphism $\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}^m$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}^{mX}$) from*

$$\tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon) := \hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon) \cap T_{\mathcal{O}^m}\tilde{\Lambda} \quad (\text{resp. } X\tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon) := X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon) \cap T_{\mathcal{O}^m}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\ )$$

to an open neighborhood of $\mathcal{O}^m$ in $\tilde{\Lambda}$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$) (by shrinking $\varepsilon > 0$ if necessary);

(iii) *$\hat{\nabla}(\mathcal{L} \circ \widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}_y)(u) = \hat{\nabla}\hat{\mathcal{F}}_y(u)$ for any $(y, u) \in \tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon)$;*

(iv) *$\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}^m_g)})(\gamma^m_0)\big) \subset T_{\gamma^m_0}\tilde{\Lambda}$ (which is equivalent to the fact $\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2\hat{\mathcal{F}}^X_{\gamma^m_0}(0)\big) \subset X\tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{\gamma^m_0}$).*

Then the splitting lemma in Theorem 1.8 restricts to that of $\mathcal{L} \circ \widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}$ around $\mathcal{O}^m$ in $\tilde{\Lambda}$, precisely $\widehat{\Upsilon}$ in Theorem 1.8 restricts to the $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant fiber-preserving homeomorphism $\widetilde{\Upsilon}$ from $\tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\epsilon) := \hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\epsilon) \cap T_{\mathcal{O}^m}\tilde{\Lambda}$ to $\widehat{U} \cap \tilde{\Lambda}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L} \circ \widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}^m \circ \widetilde{\Upsilon}(y, u) = \|\hat{\mathbf{P}}^+_y u\|^2_m - \|\hat{\mathbf{P}}^-_y u\|^2_m + \hat{\mathcal{L}}^\circ_\triangle(y, \hat{\mathbf{P}}^0_y u)$$

for all $(y, u) \in \tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\epsilon)$ (by shrinking $\epsilon > 0$ if necessary). Moreover the inclusion $\tilde{\Lambda} \hookrightarrow \Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}^m_g)$ induces isomorphisms

(a) $\hat{\mathscr{H}}^0_*(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\Lambda}}, y; \mathbb{K}) \cong \hat{\mathscr{H}}^0_*(\mathcal{L}, y; \mathbb{K})\ \forall y \in \mathcal{O}^m$ *if* $m^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}^m) = m^0(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\Lambda}}, \mathcal{O}^m)$*;*

(b) $C_*(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\Lambda}}, \mathcal{O}^m; \mathbb{K}) \cong C_*(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}^m; \mathbb{K})$ *if* $m^\star(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}^m) = m^\star(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\Lambda}}, \mathcal{O}^m)$, $\star = -, 0$.

Remark 1.10 (I) If $F^2(v) = g(v, v)$ for all $v \in TM$, this result follows from [16, Lemma 7] immediately. (II) Assume that there exists a Hilbert space isomorphism on $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{\gamma_0^m}$ which preserves $X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{\gamma_0^m}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\gamma_0^m}$, such that $\tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{\gamma_0^m}$ is the fixed point set of it. Then (iii) of Theorem 1.9 is satisfied, and (iv) of Theorem 1.9 can also hold if $m^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}^m) = m^0(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\Lambda}}, \mathcal{O}^m)$. The following corollary may be easily seen from the proof of Theorem 1.12 below.

Corollary 1.11 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.8 suppose for some* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *that* $\mathbb{I}_g^k(\gamma_0(t)) = \gamma_0(t)\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$*. Let* S *be the connected component of* $\mathrm{Fix}(\mathbb{I}_g^k)$ *containing* $\gamma_0(\mathbb{R})$*, which is a totally geodesic submanifold of* M*, and let* $\Lambda(S, \mathbb{I}_g)$ *be equipped with the Riemannian-Hilbert structure defined by* $g|_S$*. Then the inclusion* $\Lambda(S, \mathbb{I}_g) \hookrightarrow \Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ *induces isomorphisms*

$$\mathscr{H}^0_*(\mathcal{L}|_{\Lambda(S,\mathbb{I}_g)}, \gamma; \mathbb{K}) \cong \mathscr{H}^0_*(\mathcal{L}, \gamma; \mathbb{K}) \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathcal{O}$$

provided that $\mathbb{I}_g \in I(M, F)$ *and* $m^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}) = m^0(\mathcal{L}|_{\Lambda(S,\mathbb{I}_g)}, \mathcal{O})$*.*

In the Riemannian case this result also holds if S is a totally geodesic submanifold of (M, g) with $\gamma_0(\mathbb{R}) \subset S = \mathbb{I}_g(S)$ (Proposition 3.5 in [22]), which is very important for studies of Riemannian isometry-invariant geodesics [22, 58]. When $F^2(\cdot) \neq g(\cdot, \cdot)$ we are not able to prove that the gradient $\nabla\mathcal{L}$ is tangent to the submanifold $\Lambda(S, \mathbb{I}_g)$ at any $\gamma \in \Lambda(S, \mathbb{I}_g)$.

From Theorems 1.8, 1.9 we may obtain

Theorem 1.12 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.8, suppose that* $\mathcal{O}^m := \mathbb{R} \cdot \gamma_0^m$ *is also an isolated critical submanifold of* $\mathcal{L}$ *in* $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g^m)$*. Then the iteration map in (1.32) induces isomorphisms*

$$(\varphi_m)_* : \mathscr{H}^0_*(\mathcal{L}, \gamma; \mathbb{K}) \to \hat{\mathscr{H}}^0_*(\mathcal{L}, \gamma^m; \mathbb{K}) \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathcal{O} \tag{1.43}$$

if $m^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}) = m^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}^m)$*, and*

$$(\varphi_m)_* : C_*(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}; \mathbb{K}) \to C_*(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}^m; \mathbb{K}) \tag{1.44}$$

if $m^\star(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}) = m^\star(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}^m)$, $\star = -, 0$.

When $\mathbb{I}_g = id_M$, $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ is equal to $\Lambda M = W^{1,2}(S^1, M)$, where $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = [0, 1]/\{0, 1\}$. If $\gamma \in \Lambda M$ is a closed F-geodesic such that its orbit $\mathcal{O} = S^1 \cdot \gamma$ of the S^1-action defined by (1.16) with $p = 1$ is an isolated critical one, all corresponding results above hold true when the $\mathbb{R}$-actions are replaced by the S^1-actions.

In applications we also need variants of some of the results above. For $\tau > 0$, define a map $\mathbf{T}_\tau : W^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, M) \to W^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, M)$ by $(\mathbf{T}_\tau\xi)(t) = \xi(t + \tau)\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\gamma_0 \in \Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ has the least irrational period $\alpha > 0$. Following [58, Section 3], for each $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ we introduce the Hilbert manifolds

$$\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M, \mathbb{I}_g) = \big\{x \in W^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, M) \,|\, \mathbb{I}_g x = \mathbf{T}_{m\alpha+1} x\ \big\},$$

with the Riemannian metric $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{m\alpha+1}$ defined by

$$\langle\!\langle \xi, \eta \rangle\!\rangle_{m\alpha+1} = \frac{1}{m\alpha + 1} \int_0^{m\alpha+1} \langle \xi(t), \eta(t) \rangle dt + (m\alpha + 1) \int_0^{m\alpha+1} \langle \nabla^g_x \xi(t), \nabla^g_x \xi(t) \rangle dt$$

for $\xi, \eta \in T_x\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$, and

$$\Lambda^{m\alpha}(M) = \big\{x \in W^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, M) \,|\, \mathbf{T}_{m\alpha} x = x\big\},$$

with the Riemannian metric $\langle\!\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\!\rangle_{m\alpha}$ as above. Correspondingly, we have also the Banach manifolds $\mathcal{X}^{m\alpha+1}(M, \mathbb{I}_g) = \{x \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, M) \,|\, \mathbb{I}_g x = \mathbf{T}_{m\alpha+1} x\}$ and

$$\mathcal{X}^{m\alpha}(M) = \{x \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, M) \,|\, \mathbf{T}_{m\alpha} x = x\}.$$

For each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{T}_{l\alpha}$ gives not only an isometry from $\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ to itself, but also one from $\Lambda^{l\alpha}(M)$ to itself. Moreover the fixed point sets of both the isometries are $\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M, \mathbb{I}_g) \cap \Lambda^{l\alpha}(M)$. This set can be understood as totally geodesic submanifolds of both $\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ and $\Lambda^{l\alpha}(M)$, and these two manifolds are diffeomorphic. Define the energy functionals

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}(x) &:= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{m\alpha+1} F^2(x, \dot{x})dt, \quad \forall x \in \Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M, \mathbb{I}_g),\\
{}^{l\alpha}\mathcal{L}(x) &:= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{l\alpha} F^2(x, \dot{x})dt, \quad \forall x \in \Lambda^{l\alpha}(M),\\
{}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}^{l\alpha} &:= {}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}|_{\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I}_g)\cap\Lambda^{l\alpha}(M)},\\
{}^{l\alpha}\mathcal{L}^{m\alpha+1} &:= {}^{l\alpha}\mathcal{L}|_{\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I}_g)\cap\Lambda^{l\alpha}(M)}.
\end{aligned}$$

There exists a Riemannian isometry

$$\psi_m : (\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \to (\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M, \mathbb{I}_g), \langle\!\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\!\rangle_{m\alpha+1})$$

defined by $\psi_m(x)(t) = x(t/(m\alpha + 1))$ $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, whose inverse is given by $\psi_m^{-1}(y) = y^{m\alpha+1}$ for $y \in \Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$. It is clear that

$$(m\alpha + 1)\mathcal{L} = {}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L} \circ \psi_m \quad \text{on } \Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g).$$

Note that $\gamma_0^{m\alpha+1}$ also sits in $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ and that $\psi_m(\gamma_0^{m\alpha+1}) = \gamma_0$. When $\mathbb{R} \cdot \gamma_0^{m\alpha+1}$ is an isolated critical submanifold in $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$, so is $\mathbb{R} \cdot \gamma_0$ in $\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$; and

$$m^*(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{R} \cdot \gamma_0^{m\alpha+1}) = m^*({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{R} \cdot \gamma_0), \quad * = 0, -. \tag{1.45}$$

It follows that ψ_m induces isomorphisms

$$\mathscr{H}_*^0(\mathcal{L}, \gamma_0^{m\alpha+1}; \mathbb{K}) \cong \mathscr{H}_*^0({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}, \gamma_0; \mathbb{K}). \tag{1.46}$$

By Remark 1.10(II) and Theorem 1.9 we can arrive at the following result which is analogous to [58, Lemma 3.2].

Theorem 1.13 *Suppose that $\mathbb{R} \cdot \gamma_0^{m\alpha+1}$ is an isolated critical submanifold for $\mathcal{L}$ in $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ (and hence $\mathbb{R} \cdot \gamma_0$ is not only one for ${}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}$ in $\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M, \mathbb{I}_g)$ but also for ${}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}^{l\alpha}$ in $\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M, \mathbb{I}_g) \cap \Lambda^{l\alpha}(M)$). Then*

$$\mathscr{H}_*^0({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}, \gamma_0; \mathbb{K}) \cong \mathscr{H}_*^0({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}^{l\alpha}, \gamma_0; \mathbb{K})$$

if $m^0\big(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{R} \cdot \gamma_0^{m\alpha+1}\big) = m^0\big({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}^{l\alpha}, \mathbb{R} \cdot \gamma_0\big)$.

1.3 The case $N = G(\mathbb{I}_F)$

By an isometry on a Finsler manifold (M, F) we mean a diffeomorphism of M onto itself whose differential preserves the Finsler metric F. Clearly, such an isometry preserves the (possibly nonsymmetric) Finsler distance of each pair of points of M. The converse is the Finslerian version of the Myers-Steenrod theorem, which states that a distance preserving mapping of M onto itself is an isometry. This result was proved by Brickell [6] and Deng and

Hou [12]. Recently, Aradi and Kertesz [2] gave a simple proof of it, and also showed that the isometries preserve geodesics. As in the Riemannian case it follows from the above result that the compact-open topology turns the isometry group $I(M,F)$ of a connected Finsler manifold (M,F) into a locally compact transformation group of M (and so being a Lie transformation group) whose isotropy group $I_x(M,F)$ at each $x \in M$ is compact (see [12]). In particular, if M is compact so is $I(M,F)$. Suppose that $\mathbb{I} \in \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ is contained in a compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$, which implies that $\mathbb{I}$ is homotopic to an element of $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ of finite order. (In particular, an isometry $\mathfrak{I} \in I(M,F)$ of compact type, i.e., the subgroup generated by $\mathfrak{I}$ is relatively compact in $I(M,F)$, is such a diffeomorphism. Observe that an isometry on (M,F) is of compact type if either it has fixed points or M is compact.)

Theorem 1.14 *Let $\gamma_0 \in \Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})$ be a closed $\mathbb{I}$-invariant F-geodesic with $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_0) = c > 0$ such that $\mathbb{R}_{\gamma_0}$ is infinite cyclic with generator $p > 0$. All results in Section 1.2 hold if there exists an $\mathbb{I}$-invariant Riemannian metric g on M, i.e., $\mathbb{I} \in I(M,g)$, and the associated Hilbert manifolds are endowed with the Hilbert-Riemannian structure induced by this metric.*

1.4 An application

For an isometry $\mathbb{I}$ of finite order (resp. any isometry $\mathbb{I}$) on a simply connected and closed Riemannian mainfold M, as an extension of a theorem by Gromoll and Meyer it was proved by Grove and Tanaka [22] (resp. by Tanaka [58]) that there exist infinitely many distinct $\mathbb{I}$-invariant closed geodesics if $C^0(I,M)^{\mathbb{I}} := \{x \in C^0([0,1],M) \,|\, \mathbb{I}(x(0)) = x(1)\}$ with the compact-open topology has an unbounded sequence of Betti numbers. The following is a generalization of their result on Finsler manifolds.

Theorem 1.15 *Assume that F is a C^k Finsler metric on a connected and closed manifold M of dimension at least 2 for $k \geq 5$. If an isometry $\mathbb{I} \in I(M,F)$ has at most finitely many $\mathbb{I}$-invariant geodesics on M, and there exists an $\mathbb{I}$-invariant Riemannian metric g on M, then $\{\dim H_k(C^0(I,M)^{\mathbb{I}};\mathbb{K}) \,|\, k \geq 2\dim M\}$ is bounded for any field $\mathbb{K}$.*

As in the Riemannian case, for example [21, 23, 24, 58, 44, 59] etc., this theorem may lead to many interesting results. We list a few of them.

Because of the compactness of $I(M,F)$ every isometry $\mathbb{I} \in I(M,F)$ is isotopic to a finite order F-isometry which commutes with $\mathbb{I}$ as in the proof of [24, Proposition 2.1(iii)], and hence rigid at 1 by [21, Theorem 3.9]. Then as in [24, Theorem 2.6], the second theorem of [21], Theorem 1.15 and the theory of minimal models lead to

Corollary 1.16 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.15, if M is also simply connected then*

(i) $\dim \sum_k (\pi_k(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$*;*

(ii) $\dim(\pi_{\mathrm{even}}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{\mathbb{I}} \leq \dim(\pi_{\mathrm{odd}}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{\mathbb{I}} \leq 1$*, where $(\pi_*(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{\mathbb{I}}$ denote the $\mathbb{I}$-invariant part of rational homotopy.*

Corollary 1.17 *Let M be a simply connected and closed manifold and let F be a C^k Finsler metric on M with $k \geq 5$. Then every isometry $\mathbb{I} \in I(M,F)$ has infinitely many $\mathbb{I}$-invariant geodesics provided that the sequence of Betti numbers of the space $C^0(I,M)^{\mathbb{I}}$ for some field $\mathbb{K}$ is unbounded. In particular, every isometry $\mathbb{I} \in I(M,F)$ which is homotopic to id_M has infinitely many $\mathbb{I}$-invariant geodesics if one of the following three equivalent conditions is satisfied:*

(i) $\sup_j \dim H_j(C^0(S^1,M);\mathbb{Q}) = \infty$*;*

(ii) *the cohomology algebra $H^*(M;\mathbb{Q})$ requires at least two generators;*

(iii) $\dim(\pi_{\mathrm{odd}}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \geq 2$.

The part of "In particular" is straightforward by Sullivan-Vigué theorem [59] (cf. [14, Proposition 5.14]), which covers all rational hyperbolic manifolds. Recall that a simply connected and closed manifold M with $\dim\sum_k(\pi_k(M)\otimes\mathbb{Q})<\infty$ is called rational elliptic, and rational hyperbolic otherwise, see [13, 14]. Actually, the second class is vastly bigger than the first; for example, every simply connected and closed 4-manifold with second Betti number $k\geq 3$ is rational hyperbolic [14, Example 3.8]. But all compact simply connected homogeneous spaces belong to the first class; in particular, if M is one of only four simply connected compact symmetric spaces of rank one, S^n, $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $\mathbb{H}P^n$ and $\mathbb{C}aP^2$, $\dim H_j(C^0(S^1,M);\mathbb{K})$ is always bounded for any field $\mathbb{K}$. Fortunately, an important theorem by McCleary and Ziller [44] and the first part of Corollary 1.17 lead to

Corollary 1.18 *Let M be a connected closed manifold which has the same homotopy type as a compact simply-connected homogeneous space which is not diffeomorphic to a symmetric space of rank 1, and let F be a C^k Finsler metric on M with $k\geq 5$. Then each isometry $\mathbb{I}$ on (M,F) which is homotopic to id_M has infinitely many $\mathbb{I}$-invariant geodesics of constant speed.*

Very recently, Jones and McCleary [28] proved that each manifold in Corollary 1.18 satisfies: there exists a prime integer $p>0$ such that the algebra $H^*(M;\mathbb{F}_p)$ cannot be generated by one element and that $\sum_{i\leq n}\dim H_i(\Omega M;\mathbb{F}_p)\leq Cn^K$, $\forall n\in\mathbb{N}$, for some constant C and an integer K, where $\mathbb{F}_p$ is the finite field with p elements and ΩM refers to the based loop space of M; and their proof of [28, Theorem 1.2] also shows that these two conditions imply that the sequence $\dim H_j(C^0(S^1,M);\mathbb{F}_p)$ is unbounded and hence Corollary 1.18 holds true on such manifolds.

Many conclusions on isometric invariant geodesics on Riemannian manifolds can be directly generalized to Finsler manifolds, for instance, Proposition 2.7, Lemma 3.4, Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8, Proposition 3.9 in [18], and [19, Theorem 2.6] (with negative sectional curvature replaced by negative flag curvature) and [23, Theorem B]. Furthermore, it is not too hard to generalize some of results in [25, 42, 43, 52, 54] to Finsler manifolds.

Recently, the famous theorem by Gromoll and Meyer was also generalized from viewpoint of contact geometry by Hryniewicz and Macarini [27] using contact homology and by Mclean [46] using symplectic homology, respectively. It is not very hard to generalize their methods and to get the corresponding contact analogy of Theorem 1.15 for contactomorphisms of finite order. We shall consider such extensions in a general setting.

1.5 Concluding remarks

The results and methods in this paper may be extended toward different directions, for instance, pseudo-Finsler manifolds considered in [29, 30, 31], and the Lagrange geometry introduced by J. Kern [32] and developed by R. Miron and M. Anastasiei [48]. A *Lagrange manifold* $L^n=(M,L(x,y))$ is a pair consisting of a smooth n-dimensional manifold M and a fundamental (or metric) function $L:TM\to\mathbb{R}$ which is a *regular Lagrangian* in the following sense: a) L is of class C^∞ on $TM\setminus 0_M$ and continuous on TM, b) the matrix with the entries $g_{ij}(x,y)=\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial y^i\partial y^j}$ has rank n on $TM\setminus 0_M$. It is a Finsler manifold if and only if its fundamental function $L(x,y)$ is positive and 2-homogeneous with respect to the fiber variable of $TM\setminus 0_M\to M$. For a closed Lagrange manifold $L^n=(M,L(x,y))$, if $L:TM\to\mathbb{R}$ is of class C^k $(k\geq 5)$ and satisfies the conditions (L1)-(L2) on $TM\setminus 0_M$ below (2.4), the method in this paper is still effective near every regular solution. A class of important examples of such manifolds is the so-called *almost Finslerian Lagrange manifold* (AFL-manifold). This is a Lagrange manifold $(M,L(x,y))$ whose fundamental function is given by $L(x,y)=F^2(x,y)+A(x)(y)+U(x)$, where A is a smooth 1-form on M (called

magnetic vector potential) and U is a smooth function on M (called potential function). For applications of our method to some variational problems of higher dimension, see [38].

Organization of this paper. In Section 2 we give the proofs of Theorem 1.1, 1.4. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.7. The proofs of Theorem 1.12 and Corollary 1.11 are given in Section 4. In Section 5 we give some propositions and the proofs of Lemmas 2.2, 2.3. Finally, the proof of Theorem 1.15 is completed in Section 6.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks an anonymous referee for helpful comments, pointing out innumerable mistakes, raising several delicate points which I had overlooked, and suggesting ways to improve the exposition.

2 Proofs of Theorem 1.1, 1.4

2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

2.1.1 The proof in a suitable chart

For conveniences of computations we need to consider a coordinate chart around γ_0 different from that of (1.8), which appeared in [1] and were intensively used in the context of Finsler geometry in [7, 9, 37]. Since γ_0 is C^k, for the Riemannian metric g on M satisfying (1.7) we may choose a parallel orthogonal C^k frame field along γ_0 with respect to it, $I \ni t \to (e_1(t), \cdots, e_n(t))$. For some small open ball $\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ centered at 0 and of radius 2ρ we get a C^k map $\phi : I \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to M$ given by

$$\phi(t,x) = \exp_{\gamma_0(t)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i(t)\right). \tag{2.1}$$

Since M_0 (resp. M_1) is totally geodesic near $\gamma_0(0)$ (resp. $\gamma_0(1)$) with respect to g there exist linear subspaces $V_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $i = 0, 1$, such that $x \in V_i$ if and only if $\sum_{s=1}^n x_s e_s(i) \in T_{\gamma_0(i)}M_i$, $i = 0, 1$. By shrinking $\rho > 0$ we get that $x \in V_i \cap \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $\phi(i, x) \in M_i$, $i = 0, 1$. Set $V := V_0 \times V_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} H_V &= W^{1,2}_V(I, \mathbb{R}^n) := \{\xi \in W^{1,2}(I, \mathbb{R}^n) \,|\, (\xi(0), \xi(1)) \in V\}, \\ X_V &= C^1_V(I, \mathbb{R}^n) := \{\xi \in C^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n) \,|\, (\xi(0), \xi(1)) \in V\}. \end{aligned}$$

They are equipped with norms $\|\xi\|_{H_V} = \|\xi\|_1$ and $\|\xi\|_{X_V} = \|\xi\|_\infty + \|\dot\xi\|_\infty$, respectively. Then $\|\xi\|_{H_V} \le \|\xi\|_{X_V}$ $\forall \xi \in X_V$. Let $\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(H_V) := \{\xi \in H_V \,|\, \|\xi\|_{H_V} < 2\rho\}$. For $\rho > 0$ small enough the map

$$\Phi : \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(H_V) \to \Lambda_N(M) \tag{2.2}$$

defined by $\Phi(\xi)(t) = \phi(t, \xi(t))$, gives a C^{k-3} coordinate chart around γ_0 on $\Lambda_N(M)$ by [53, Theorem 4.3], and we can require that all curves in $\mathrm{Im}(\Phi)$ have images contained in a compact neighborhood of $\gamma_0([0, 1])$. Define $\tilde F : I \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tilde F(t, x, v) = F\big(\phi(t, x), d\phi(t, x)[(1, v)]\big).$$

We have $\tilde F(t, 0, 0) = F(\phi(t, 0), \partial_t\phi(t, 0)[1]) = F(\gamma_0(t), \dot\gamma_0(t)) \equiv \sqrt{c}$. Moreover the C^{2-0} function $\tilde L := \tilde F^2$ satisfies $\tilde L(t, x, v) = L\big(\phi(t, x), d\phi(t, x)[(1, v)]\big)$, and it is C^k in $(I \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \mathcal{Z}$, where

$$\mathcal{Z} := \big\{(t, x, v) \in I \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \,|\, \partial_x\phi(t, x)[v] = -\partial_t\phi(t, x)\big\}, \tag{2.3}$$

a closed subset in $I \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, see [9, p. 861]. Furthermore, we have

Claim 2.1 *The set $\mathcal{Z}$ is a submanifold of dimension $n+1$.*

Proof. Let $\pi_2 : I \times M \to M$ be the natural projection. Since the map ϕ in (2.1) yields an embedding of codimension zero

$$\overline{\phi} : I \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to I \times M$$

given by $\overline{\phi}(t,x) = (t, \phi(t,x))$, we obtain a bundle embedding of codimension zero covering $\overline{\phi}$,

$$\overline{\phi}^\star : I \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \pi_2^* TM \tag{2.4}$$

given by $\overline{\phi}^\star(t,x,v) = d\phi(t,x)[(1,v)]$. Observe that $\mathcal{Z}$ is the inverse image of the zero section $0_{\pi_2^*TM}$ of π_2^*TM under $\overline{\phi}^\star$, i.e., $\mathcal{Z} = (\overline{\phi}^\star)^{-1}(0_{\pi_2^*TM})$, which is not necessarily the zero section of the trivial bundle $I \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to I \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The claim follows. □

Since F^2 is fiberwise positively homogeneous of degree 2 and strongly convex there exist positive constants $C_1 < C_2$ such that for all $(t,x,v) \in I \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{Z}$,

(L1) $\sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v_i \partial v_j} \tilde{L}(t,x,v) u_i u_j \geq C_1 |\mathbf{u}|^2 \quad \forall \mathbf{u} = (u_1, \cdots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

(L2) $\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \tilde{L}(t,x,v) \right| \leq C_2(1+|v|^2), \quad \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial v_j} \tilde{L}(t,x,v) \right| \leq C_2(1+|v|), \quad$ and $\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v_i \partial v_j} \tilde{L}(t,x,v) \right| \leq C_2$

(cf. [9, (1) & (2)]).

For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $C_N^m(I,M) := \{\gamma \in C^m(I,M) \,|\, (\gamma(0),\gamma(1)) \in N\}$ is a Banach manifold and dense in $\mathcal{X}$ and $\Lambda_N(M)$. In order to distinguish from the regularity of the curve $\gamma \in \Lambda_N(M)$ defined below (1.2), a curve $\gamma \in C_N^m(I,M)$ is called **strongly regular** if $\{t \in I \,|\, \dot{\gamma}(t) = 0\}$ is empty. Let $C_N^m(I,M)_{\rm reg}$ denote the subset of all strongly regular curves in $C_N^m(I,M)$. Let $C_V^m(I,\mathbb{R}^n) := \{\xi \in C^m(I,\mathbb{R}^n) \,|\, (\xi(0),\xi(1)) \in V\}$ and

$$C_V^m(I, \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)) := \{\xi \in C^m(I, \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \,|\, (\xi(0),\xi(1)) \in V\}.$$

Note that every $\xi \in (\Phi|_{\mathbf{B}_{\sqrt{2}\rho}(H_V)})^{-1}(C_N^m(I,M)_{\rm reg})$ sits in $\mathbf{B}_{\sqrt{2}\rho}(H_V) \cap C_V^m(I, \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ because $\|\xi\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{2}\|\xi\|_1 < 2\rho$ by the integral mean value theorem and the Hölder inequality. (*Here* $\|\xi\|_1 := \sqrt{\|\xi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^2}^2}$. *If* $\xi\|_1 := \|\xi\|_{L^2} + \|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^2}$ *it holds that* $\|\xi\|_\infty \leq \|\xi\|_1$). Hence it determines a map

$$\check{\xi} : I \to I \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \mapsto \check{\xi}(t) = (t, \xi(t), \dot{\xi}(t)). \tag{2.5}$$

Clearly, $\check{\xi} \in C^{m-1}(I, I \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and with $\gamma = \Phi(\xi)$ it holds that

$$(\check{\xi})^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}) = \{t \in I \,|\, (t, \xi(t), \dot{\xi}(t)) \in \mathcal{Z}\} = \{t \in I \,|\, \dot{\gamma}(t) = 0\}.$$

This shows that

$$(\Phi|_{\mathbf{B}_{\sqrt{2}\rho}(H_V)})^{-1}(C_N^m(I,M)_{\rm reg}) = C_V^m(I, \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\rm reg} \cap \mathbf{B}_{\sqrt{2}\rho}(H_V), \tag{2.6}$$

where $C_V^m(I, \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\rm reg}$ is the set of all $\xi \in C_V^m(I, \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that $(\check{\xi})^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}) = \{t \in I \,|\, (t, \xi(t), \dot{\xi}(t)) \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ is empty, i.e., ξ is an immersion. If $n > 1$, by Theorem 2.12 in [26, page 53] the set of all C^m immersions from I to $\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $C^m(I, \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. However we need a stronger result.

Taking $m = k+1$ we have C^k maps

$$\mathbf{E} : I \times C_V^{k+1}(I, \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \to I \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \ (t,\xi) \mapsto \check{\xi}(t) \tag{2.7}$$

and $\mathbf{E}_\xi : I \to I \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ given by $\mathbf{E}_\xi(t) = \mathbf{E}(t,\xi)$ for $\xi \in C_V^{k+1}(I, \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

Lemma 2.2 *There exists a residual subset $C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))^\circ_{\rm reg}$ in $C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, which contains $C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\rm reg}$ and is equal to the latter in case $n>1$, such that for every $\xi\in C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))^\circ_{\rm reg}$ the set $(\mathbf{E}_\xi)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})$ is a C^k submanifold of dimension $1-n$, and so empty (in case $n>1$) and at most a finite set (in case $n=1$). Moreover, if $\xi_i\in C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))^\circ_{\rm reg}$, $i=1,2$, then for a generic C^{k+1} path $\mathrm{p}:[0,1]\to C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ connecting ξ_0 to ξ_1 the set $\{(s,t)\in[0,1]\times I\,|\,\mathbf{E}(t,\mathrm{p}(s))\in\mathcal{Z}\}$ is a C^k submanifold of $[0,1]\times I$ of dimension $2-n$, and so empty for $n>2$, and a finite set set for $n=2$.*

We postpone the proof of it to Section 5.

Since γ_0 is strongly regular, i.e., $\partial_t\phi(t,0)=\dot\gamma_0(t)\neq 0$ at each $t\in I$, and $\partial_x\phi(t,x)$ is injective, we deduce that $(t,0,0)\notin\mathcal{Z}\ \forall t\in I$. It follows that

$$I\times\mathbf{B}_{2r}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\mathbf{B}_{2r}(\mathbb{R}^n)\subset(I\times\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\mathbb{R}^n)\setminus\mathcal{Z} \tag{2.8}$$

for some $0<r<\rho/2$. Let $\mathbf{B}_\tau(X_V)=\{\xi\in X_V\,|\,\|\xi\|_{X_V}<\tau\}$ for $\tau>0$. Then

$$\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)\subset\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_V),\quad(\check\xi)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})=\emptyset\ \forall\xi\in\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V) \tag{2.9}$$

by (2.8). Define the action functional

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}:\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_V)\to\mathbb{R},\ \xi\mapsto\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\xi)=\int_0^1\tilde L(t,\xi(t),\dot\xi(t))dt, \tag{2.10}$$

that is, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}=\mathcal{L}\circ\Phi$. Since Φ is of class C^{k-3}, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is C^{2-0} for $k\geq 5$, and has $0\in\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_V)$ as a critical point. (But $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is C^{k-2} on $\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)$ by the last claim of Lemma 2.3). For any $\zeta\in\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_V)$ and $\xi\in H_V$, we have

$$d\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\zeta)[\xi]\ =\ \int_0^1\big[\partial_q\tilde L(t,\zeta(t),\dot\zeta(t))\cdot\xi(t)+\partial_v\tilde L(t,\zeta(t),\dot\zeta(t))\cdot\dot\xi(t)\big]dt$$

(cf. [35, §3]). As in [37, (4.14)] we can compute the gradient

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\zeta)(t)\ &=\ e^t\int_0^t\left[e^{-2s}\int_0^s e^\tau f(\tau)d\tau\right]ds+c_1e^t+c_2e^{-t}\\&\qquad+\int_0^t\partial_v\tilde L(s,\zeta(s),\dot\zeta(s))ds,\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where $c_1,c_2\in\mathbb{R}^n$ are suitable constant vectors and

$$\begin{aligned}f(t)\ &=\ -\partial_q\tilde L(t,\zeta(t),\dot\zeta(t))+G(\zeta)(t)+c_0t\\&=\ -\partial_q\tilde L(t,\zeta(t),\dot\zeta(t))+\int_0^t\partial_v\tilde L(s,\zeta(s),\dot\zeta(s))ds\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

because $G(\zeta)(t):=\int_0^t\big[\partial_v\tilde L(s,\zeta(s),\dot\zeta(s))ds-c_0\big]dt$.

From now on we take $m=3$. Then $\min\{k-3,m-1\}>1$ because $k\geq 5$. Let $U=\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_V)$, $U_X:=\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_V)\cap X_V$ as an open subset of X_V and

$$U_X^{\rm reg}:=U_X\cap C^3_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\rm reg}=\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_V)\cap C^3_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\rm reg}. \tag{2.13}$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)\subset U_X$. By (2.6), $U_X^{\rm reg}=(\Phi|_{\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_V)})^{-1}(C^3_N(I,M)_{\rm reg})$ since $2r<\rho<\sqrt{2}\rho$. Lemma 2.2 implies that $U_X^{\rm reg}$ is dense in U_X (and hence in U). Let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_X$ be the restriction of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ to U_X. By (2.9) we deduce that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_X$ has with respect to the C^1-topology second Frechét

derivative at each $\zeta \in U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)$,

$$\begin{aligned} d^2\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_X(\zeta)[\xi,\eta] = \int_0^1 \Big(& \partial_{vv}\tilde{L}\big(t,\zeta(t),\dot{\zeta}(t)\big)\big[\dot{\xi}(t),\dot{\eta}(t)\big] \\ & +\partial_{qv}\tilde{L}\big(t,\zeta(t),\dot{\zeta}(t)\big)\big[\xi(t),\dot{\eta}(t)\big] \\ & +\partial_{vq}\tilde{L}\big(t,\zeta(t),\dot{\zeta}(t)\big)\big[\dot{\xi}(t),\eta(t)\big] \\ & +\partial_{qq}\tilde{L}\big(t,\zeta(t),\dot{\zeta}(t)\big)\big[\xi(t),\eta(t)\big]\Big)\,dt \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

for any $\xi,\eta \in X_V$. Moreover, for every $\zeta \in U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)$ it is easily seen from (2.11) that the function $\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\zeta)(t)$ is continuous differentiable, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\zeta)(t) &= e^t\int_0^t\left[e^{-2s}\int_0^s e^{\tau}f(\tau)d\tau\right]ds + e^{-t}\int_0^t e^{\tau}f(\tau)d\tau \\ &\quad +c_1e^t - c_2e^{-t} + \partial_v\tilde{L}\big(t,\zeta(t),\dot{\zeta}(t)\big) \quad \forall t\in I. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Let $\tilde{A}$ be the restriction of the gradient $\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ to U_X. By (2.11) and (2.15) we can deduce that $\tilde{A}(\zeta) \in X_V$ for $\zeta \in U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V) \subset U_X$, and that $U_X \supseteq U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V) \ni \zeta \mapsto \tilde{A}(\zeta) \in X_V$ is continuous. Furthermore we shall prove in Section 5.

Lemma 2.3 *The map $U_X \supseteq U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V) \ni \zeta \mapsto \tilde{A}(\zeta) \in X_V$ is Frechét differentiable, and $U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V) \ni \zeta \to d\tilde{A}(\zeta) \in \mathscr{L}(X_V)$ is continuous. In particular, $\tilde{A}$ restricts to a C^{k-3} map from $\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)$ to X_V.*

From (2.14) and the conditions (**L1**) and (**L2**) below (2.4) it easily follows that for any $\zeta \in U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)$ there exists a constant $C(\zeta) > 0$ such that

$$|d^2\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_X(\zeta)[\xi,\eta]| \le C(\zeta)\|\xi\|_{H_V}\cdot\|\eta\|_{H_V} \quad \forall \xi,\eta \in X_V;$$

This shows that the right side of (2.14) is also a bounded symmetric bilinear form on H_V. As in [35, §3], from these we obtain a map $\tilde{B} : U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V) \to \mathscr{L}_s(H_V)$ such that

$$\big(d\tilde{A}(\zeta)[\xi],\eta\big)_{H_V} = d^2\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_X(\zeta)[\xi,\eta] = \big(\tilde{B}(\zeta)\xi,\eta\big)_{H_V} \tag{2.16}$$

for any $\zeta \in U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)$ and $\xi,\eta \in X_V$. Moreover, $\tilde{B}(0)(X_V) \subset X_V$, $(\tilde{B}(0))^{-1}(X_V) \subset X_V$, and $\tilde{B}(0) \in \mathscr{L}_s(H_V)$ is a Fredholm operator with finite dimensional negative definite and null spaces H_V^- and H_V^0 (which are contained in X_V). The map $\tilde{B} : U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V) \to \mathscr{L}_s(H_V)$ has also a decomposition

$$\tilde{B}(\zeta) = \tilde{P}(\zeta) + \tilde{Q}(\zeta) \quad \forall \zeta \in U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V),$$

where $\tilde{P}(\zeta) \in \mathscr{L}_s(H_V)$ is a positive definitive linear operator defined by

$$(\tilde{P}(\zeta)\xi,\eta)_{H_V} = \int_0^1 \Big(\partial_{vv}\tilde{L}\big(t,\zeta(t),\dot{\zeta}(t)\big)\big[\dot{\xi}(t),\dot{\eta}(t)\big] + \big(\xi(t),\eta(t)\big)\Big)\,dt,$$

and $\tilde{Q}(\zeta) \in \mathscr{L}_s(H_V)$ is a compact linear operator given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{Q}(\zeta)\xi,\eta)_{H_V} &= \int_0^1 \Big(\partial_{qv}\tilde{L}\big(t,\zeta(t),\dot{\zeta}(t)\big)\big[\xi(t),\dot{\eta}(t)\big] + \partial_{vq}\tilde{L}\big(t,\zeta(t),\dot{\zeta}(t)\big)\big[\dot{\xi}(t),\eta(t)\big] \\ &\quad +\partial_{qq}\tilde{L}\big(t,\zeta(t),\dot{\zeta}(t)\big)\big[\xi(t),\eta(t)\big] - \big(\xi(t),\eta(t)\big)\Big)\,dt. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4 *The operators $\tilde{P}(\zeta)$ and $\tilde{Q}(\zeta)$ have the following properties:*

(P1) *For any sequence* $(\zeta_k) \subset U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)$ *with* $\|\zeta_k\|_{H_V} \to 0$ *it holds that* $\|\tilde{P}(\zeta_k)\xi - \tilde{P}(0)\xi\|_{H_V} \to 0$ *for any* $\xi \in H_V$*;*

(P2) *For any sequence* $(\zeta_k) \subset U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)$ *with* $\|\zeta_k\|_{H_V} \to 0$ *there exist constants* $C_0 > 0$ *and* $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $(\tilde{P}(\zeta_k)\xi, \xi)_{H_V} \geq C_0\|\xi\|_{H_V}^2$ *for all* $\xi \in H_V,\ k \geq n_0$*;*

(Q) *The map* $\tilde{Q} : U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V) \to \mathscr{L}_s(H_V)$ *is continuous at* 0 *with respect to the topology induced from* H_V *on* $U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)$*.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of [35, pages 568-569]. We only prove (**P1**) for the sake of clearness. (**P2**) directly follows from (**P1**) and positivity of $\tilde{P}(0)$ by (**L2**).

For any $\xi, \eta \in H_V$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\tilde{P}(\zeta_k)\xi - \tilde{P}(0)\xi, \eta)_{H_V} \\
=\ & \int_0^1 \left(\partial_{vv}\tilde{L}\big(t, \zeta_k(t), \dot{\zeta}_k(t)\big)\left[\dot{\xi}(t), \dot{\eta}(t)\right] - \partial_{vv}\tilde{L}\,(t,0,0)\left[\dot{\xi}(t), \dot{\eta}(t)\right]\right) dt, \\
=\ & \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}}{\partial v_i \partial v_j}\left(t, \zeta_k(t), \dot{\zeta}_k(t)\right) - \frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}}{\partial v_i \partial v_j}\,(t,0,0)\right)\dot{\xi}_i(t)\right] \cdot \dot{\eta}_j(t)\, dt,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\tilde{P}(\zeta_k)\xi - \tilde{P}(0)\xi\|_{H_V} \\
\leq\ & \sqrt{n}\left(\int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^n \left|\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}}{\partial v_i \partial v_j}\left(t, \zeta_k(t), \dot{\zeta}_k(t)\right) - \frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}}{\partial v_i \partial v_j}\,(t,0,0)\right)\dot{\xi}_i(t)\right|^2 dt\right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

So it suffices to prove that for each fixed pair (i,j),

$$\int_0^1 \left|\left(\frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}}{\partial v_i \partial v_j}\left(t, \zeta_k(t), \dot{\zeta}_k(t)\right) - \frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}}{\partial v_i \partial v_j}\,(t,0,0)\right)\dot{\xi}_i(t)\right|^2 dt \to 0$$

as $k \to \infty$. By a contradiction suppose that there exist $c_0 > 0$ and a subsequence of (ζ_k), still denoted by (ζ_k), such that

$$\int_0^1 \left|\left(\frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}}{\partial v_i \partial v_j}\left(t, \zeta_k(t), \dot{\zeta}_k(t)\right) - \frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}}{\partial v_i \partial v_j}\,(t,0,0)\right)\dot{\xi}_i(t)\right|^2 dt \geq c_0, \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

Since $\|\zeta_k\|_{H_V} \to 0$, (ζ_k) converges uniformly to zero on $[0,1]$ by [41, Prop.1.2], and there exist a subsequence (ζ_{k_s}) and a function $h \in L^2[0,1]$ such that $\dot{\zeta}_{k_s}(t) \to 0$ a.e. on $[0,1]$, and $|\dot{\zeta}_{k_s}(t)| \leq h(t)\ \forall s$ a.e. on $[0,1]$ by [5, Th.4.9]. From this we deduce

$$\left|\left(\frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}}{\partial v_i \partial v_j}\left(t, \zeta_{k_s}(t), \dot{\zeta}_{k_s}(t)\right) - \frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}}{\partial v_i \partial v_j}\,(t,0,0)\right)\dot{\xi}_i(t)\right|^2 \to 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } [0,1]$$

as $s \to \infty$. Moreover, (**L2**) below (2.4) implies

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}}{\partial v_i \partial v_j}\left(t, \zeta_k(t), \dot{\zeta}_k(t)\right) - \frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}}{\partial v_i \partial v_j}\,(t,0,0)\right| \leq 2C_2, \quad \forall t \in [0,1],\ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The dominated convergence theorem leads to

$$\int_0^1 \left|\left(\frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}}{\partial v_i \partial v_j}\left(t, \zeta_{k_s}(t), \dot{\zeta}_{k_s}(t)\right) - \frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}}{\partial v_i \partial v_j}\,(t,0,0)\right)\dot{\xi}_i(t)\right|^2 dt \to 0$$

as $s \to \infty$. This contradiction affirms (**P1**). □

Let H_V^+ be the positive definite space of $\tilde{B}(0)$. By [35] there exists $a_0 > 0$ such that

$$(\tilde{B}(0)\xi,\xi)_{H_V} \geq 2a_0\|\xi\|^2_{H_V}\ \forall \xi \in H_V^+, \quad (\tilde{B}(0)\xi,\xi)_{H_V} \leq -2a_0\|\xi\|^2_{H_V}\ \forall \xi \in H_V^-. \tag{2.17}$$

As in the proof of [35, Theorem 1.1] (or in the proof of Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 in [36]), we can use these to prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.5 *There exists a function $\omega : U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V) \to [0,\infty)$ such that $\omega(\zeta) \to 0$ as $\zeta \in U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)$ and $\|\zeta\|_{H_V} \to 0$, and that*

$$|(\tilde{B}(\zeta)\xi,\eta)_{H_V} - (\tilde{B}(0)\xi,\eta)_{H_V}| \leq \omega(\zeta)\|\xi\|_{H_V}\cdot\|\eta\|_{H_V}$$

for any $\zeta \in U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)$, $\xi \in H_V^0 \oplus H_V^-$ and $\eta \in H_V$.

Lemma 2.6 *By shrinking U (or equivalently $r > 0$ in (2.8)), we can obtain a number $a_1 \in (0, 2a_0]$ such that for any $\zeta \in U_X^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)$, $\omega(\zeta) < \min\{a_0, a_1\}/2$ and*

(i) $(\tilde{B}(\zeta)\xi,\xi)_{H_V} \geq a_1\|\xi\|^2_{H_V}\ \forall \xi \in H_V^+$;

(ii) $|(\tilde{B}(\zeta)\xi,\eta)_{H_V}| \leq \omega(\zeta)\|\xi\|_{H_V}\cdot\|\eta\|_{H_V}\ \forall \xi \in H_V^+, \forall \eta \in H_V^- \oplus H_V^0$;

(iii) $(\tilde{B}(\zeta)\xi,\xi)_{H_V} \leq -a_0\|\xi\|^2_{H_V}\ \forall \xi \in H_V^-$.

From now on we assume $\dim H_V^0 > 0$ (because the nondegenerate case is simpler). Consider the C^{k-3} map $\tilde{A} : \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V) \to X_V$. We have known that $d\tilde{A}(0) = \tilde{B}(0)|_{X_V}$ has kernel $X_V^0 = H_V^0 = \mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{B}(0))$ as sets. Observe that the orthogonal decomposition $H_V = H_V^- \oplus H_V^0 \oplus H_V^+$ induces a (topological) direct sum decomposition $X_V = X_V^- \dot{+} X_V^0 \dot{+} X_V^+$, where $X_V^- = H_V^-$ and $X_V^+ = X_V \cap H_V^+$, and that H_V and X_V induce equivalent norms on $H_V^0 = X_V^0$. By the implicit function theorem we get a $\tau \in (0, r]$ and a C^{k-3}-map $\tilde{h} : \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)} \to X_V^- \dot{+} X_V^+$ with $\tilde{h}(0) = 0$ and $d\tilde{h}(0) = 0$ such that for each $\xi \in \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)}$,

$$\xi + \tilde{h}(\xi) \in \mathbf{B}_r(X_V) \quad \text{and} \quad (I - P_V^0)\tilde{A}(\xi + \tilde{h}(\xi)) = 0, \tag{2.18}$$

where $\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)}$ denotes the closure of $\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)$ and $P_V^0 : H_V \to H_V^0$ is the orthogonal projection. It is not hard to prove that the Morse index $m^-(\mathcal{L},\gamma_0)$ and nullity $m^0(\mathcal{L},\gamma_0)$ of γ_0 are equal to $\dim X_V^-$ and $\dim X_V^0$, respectively. (See (2.28)-(2.31) in Section 2.1.2).

Since the map $\mathfrak{M} : \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)} \oplus H_V^\pm \to H_V^0 \oplus H_V^\pm,\ \xi + \zeta \mapsto \xi + \tilde{h}(\xi) + \zeta$, satisfies $d\mathfrak{M}(0) = \mathrm{id}$, we may shrink the above $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\mathfrak{M}\left(\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)} \oplus \mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^-) \oplus \mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^+)\right) \subset \mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_V) = U$$

and that $\mathfrak{M}$ is a C^{k-3} diffeomorphism from $\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)} \oplus \mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^-) \oplus \mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^+)$ onto its image. Recall that $U_X^{\rm reg}$ is dense in U_X (and hence in U) by (2.13) and Lemma 2.2. We deduce that $W_X^{\rm reg} := \mathfrak{M}^{-1}(U_X^{\rm reg})$ is a dense subset in $\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)} \oplus \mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^-) \oplus \mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^+)$.

Consider the functional $\mathcal{J} : \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)} \times \left(\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^-) \oplus \mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^+)\right) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{J}(\xi,\zeta) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\xi + \tilde{h}(\xi) + \zeta) - \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\xi + \tilde{h}(\xi)) \tag{2.19}$$

for $\xi \in \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^-) \oplus \mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^+)$. It is C^{2-0}, and it holds that

$$D_2\mathcal{J}(\xi,\zeta)[\eta] = ((I - P_V^0)\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\xi + \tilde{h}(\xi) + \zeta), \eta)_{H_V}, \tag{2.20}$$

$$\mathcal{J}(\xi,0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_2\mathcal{J}(\xi,0)[\eta] = 0 \tag{2.21}$$

for every $(\xi,\zeta)\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)}\times\big(\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^-)\oplus\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^+)\big)$ and $\eta\in H_V^\pm$. We can require that $\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)}+\tilde h(\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)})$ is contained in $\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)$. Then the functional

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\circ:\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\tau(H_V^0)\to\mathbb{R},\ \xi\mapsto\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\xi+\tilde h(\xi)) \tag{2.22}$$

is C^{k-3}, and as in the proof Lemma 3.1 of [36] we may deduce that

$$d\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\circ(\xi)[\zeta]=(\tilde A(\xi+\tilde h(\xi)),\zeta)_{H_V}\ \forall\zeta\in H_V^0\quad\text{and}\quad d^2\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\circ(0)=0.$$

Let us prove that Theorem A.1 in [36] can be applied to the functional $\mathcal{J}$. By (2.20) and (2.21) we only need to prove

Proposition 2.7 **(i)** *For any $(\xi,\zeta)\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)}\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^+)$, $\eta_1,\eta_2\in\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^-)$,*

$$\big(D_2\mathcal{J}(\xi,\zeta+\eta_2)-D_2\mathcal{J}(\xi,\zeta+\eta_1)\big)[\eta_2-\eta_1]\le-\frac{a_0}{2}\|\eta_2-\eta_1\|_{H_V}^2.$$

(ii) *$D_2\mathcal{J}(\xi,\zeta+\eta)[\zeta-\eta]\ge\frac{a_1}{2}\|\zeta\|_{H_V}^2+\frac{a_0}{2}\|\eta\|_{H_V}^2$ for any $(\xi,\zeta,\eta)\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)}\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^+)\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^-)$; in particular we have $D_2\mathcal{J}(\xi,\zeta)[\zeta]\ge\frac{a_1}{2}\|\zeta\|_{H_V}^2$ for any $(\xi,\zeta)\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)}\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^+)$.*

The last inequality in (ii) shows that the non-decreasing function $p:(0,\tau]\to(0,\infty)$ in Theorem A.1(iv) of [36] can be chosen as $p(t)=\frac{a_1}{2}t^2$.

Proof of Proposition 2.7. Recalling that $n>1$, Lemma 2.2 implies

$$U_X^{\rm reg}=U_X\cap C_V^{k+1}(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\rm reg}=U_X\cap C_V^{k+1}(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\rm reg}^\circ.$$

Step 1. Proving (i). Assume $\eta_1\ne\eta_2$. By (2.20),

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(D_2\mathcal{J}(\xi,\zeta+\eta_2)-D_2\mathcal{J}(\xi,\zeta+\eta_1)\big)[\eta_2-\eta_1]\\ =\ &(\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\xi+\tilde h(\xi)+\zeta+\eta_2),\eta_2-\eta_1)_{H_V}-(\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\xi+\tilde h(\xi)+\zeta+\eta_1),\eta_2-\eta_1)_{H_V}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.23}$$

Suppose that $(\xi,\zeta,\eta_i)\in W_X^{\rm reg}$, $i=1,2$, i.e.,

$$\xi_i:=\xi+\tilde h(\xi)+\zeta+\eta_i\in U_X^{\rm reg}=U_X\cap C_V^{k+1}(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\rm reg}^\circ,\quad i=1,2.$$

Since $U_X\cap C_V^{k+1}(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is convex the smooth curve

$$[0,1]\ni s\to\mathbb{p}(s):=\xi+\tilde h(\xi)+\zeta+(1-s)\eta_1+s\eta_2\in C_V^{k+1}(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

also sits in U_X. By Lemma 2.2 we have a C^{k+1} path $\mathbb{q}:[0,1]\to C_V^{k+1}(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ connecting $\mathbb{p}(0)$ to $\mathbb{p}(1)$, which also takes values in U_X, such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1}\sup_{s\in[0,1]}\left\|\frac{d^j}{ds^j}\mathbb{p}(s)-\frac{d^j}{ds^j}\mathbb{q}(s)\right\|_{C_V^{k+1}(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))}<\|\eta_2-\eta_1\|_{H_V},\tag{2.24}$$

and that the set $\{(s,t)\in[0,1]\times I\,|\,\mathbf{E}(t,\mathbb{q}(s))\in\mathcal{Z}\}$ is at most finite . The final claim implies that there exists a partition of $[0,1]$, $0=s_0<s_1<\cdots<s_m=1$, such that $\mathbb{q}(s)\in U_X^{\rm reg}$ for each $s\in[0,1]\backslash\{s_0,s_1,\cdots,s_m\}$. It follows that the map $\tilde A$ is Frechét differentiable at every $\mathbb{q}(s)$ with $s\in[0,1]\backslash\{s_0,s_1,\cdots,s_m\}$, and that the map $[0,1]\backslash\{s_0,s_1,\cdots,s_m\}\ni s\to d\tilde A(\mathbb{q}(s))\in\mathscr{L}(X_V)$ is continuous by Lemma 2.3. This implies that the continuous function

$$\Gamma:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R},\ s\mapsto\big(\tilde A(\mathbb{q}(s)),\eta_2-\eta_1\big)_{H_V}.$$

is differentiable in $[0,1]\setminus\{s_0,s_1,\cdots,s_m\}$. By the Newton-Leibniz formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\tilde{A}(\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)+\zeta+\eta_2),\eta_2-\eta_1\big)_{H_V}-\big(\tilde{A}(\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)+\zeta+\eta_1),\eta_2-\eta_1\big)_{H_V}\\
=\;&\Gamma(1)-\Gamma(0)=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}(\Gamma(s_{j+1})-\Gamma(s_j))=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\Gamma'(s)ds\\
=\;&\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\Big(d\tilde{A}(\mathfrak{q}(s))\big[\mathfrak{q}'(s)\big],\eta_2-\eta_1\Big)_{H_V}ds\\
=\;&\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\Big(\tilde{B}(\mathfrak{q}(s))\mathfrak{q}'(s),\eta_2-\eta_1\Big)_{H_V}ds \qquad (2.16)\\
=\;&\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\Big(\tilde{B}(\mathfrak{q}(s))(\eta_2-\eta_1),\eta_2-\eta_1\Big)_{H_V}ds+\\
+\;&\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\Big(\tilde{B}(\mathfrak{q}(s))\big(\mathfrak{q}'(s)-(\eta_2-\eta_1)\big),\eta_2-\eta_1\Big)_{H_V}ds\\
\le\;&-a_0\|\eta_2-\eta_1\|_{H_V}^2+\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\Big(\tilde{B}(\mathfrak{q}(s))\big(\mathfrak{q}'(s)-(\eta_2-\eta_1)\big),\eta_2-\eta_1\Big)_{H_V}ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $\mathfrak{p}'(s)=\eta_2-\eta_1$, Lemma 2.6(ii) and (2.24) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\Big(\tilde{B}(\mathfrak{q}(s))\big(\mathfrak{q}'(s)-(\eta_2-\eta_1)\big),\eta_2-\eta_1\Big)_{H_V}\Big|\\
\le\;&\omega(\mathfrak{q}(s)))\left\|\frac{d}{ds}(\mathfrak{q}(s)-\mathfrak{p}(s))\right\|_{H_V}\cdot\|\eta_2-\eta_1\|_{H_V}\\
\le\;&\frac{a_0}{2}\left\|\frac{d}{ds}(\mathfrak{q}(s)-\mathfrak{p}(s))\right\|_{X_V}\cdot\|\eta_2-\eta_1\|_{H_V}\\
\le\;&\frac{a_0}{2}\left\|\frac{d}{ds}(\mathfrak{q}(s)-\mathfrak{p}(s))\right\|_{C_V^3(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))}\cdot\|\eta_2-\eta_1\|_{H_V}\le\frac{a_0}{2}\|\eta_2-\eta_1\|_{H_V}^2
\end{aligned}$$

for each $s\in[0,1]\setminus\{s_0,s_1,\cdots,s_m\}$, and hence

$$\left|\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\Big(\tilde{B}(\mathfrak{q}(s))\big(\mathfrak{q}'(s)-(\eta_2-\eta_1)\big),\eta_2-\eta_1\Big)_{H_V}ds\right|\le\frac{a_0}{2}\|\eta_2-\eta_1\|_{H_V}^2.$$

From this and (2.23) It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big(D_2\mathcal{J}(\xi,\zeta+\eta_2)-D_2\mathcal{J}(\xi,\zeta+\eta_1)\big)[\eta_2-\eta_1]\big|\\
=\;&\Big|\big(\tilde{A}(\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)+\zeta+\eta_2),\eta_2-\eta_1\big)_{H_V}-\big(\tilde{A}(\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)+\zeta+\eta_1),\eta_2-\eta_1\big)_{H_V}\Big|\\
\le\;&-\frac{a_0}{2}\|\eta_2-\eta_1\|_{H_V}^2\quad\forall(\xi,\zeta,\eta_i)\in W_X^{\rm reg},i=1,2.
\end{aligned}$$

By the density of $W_X^{\rm reg}$ in $\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)}\oplus\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^-)\oplus\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^+)$ this yields (i).

Step 2. Proving (ii). By (2.20) and (2.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_2\mathcal{J}(\xi,\zeta+\eta)[\zeta-\eta]\\
=\;&\big(\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)+\zeta+\eta),\zeta-\eta\big)_{H_V}-\big(\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)),\zeta-\eta\big)_{H_V}. \qquad (2.25)
\end{aligned}$$

For a given $(\xi,\zeta,\eta)\in W_X^{\rm reg}$ with $(\zeta,\eta)\neq(0,0)$, i.e.,

$$\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)+\zeta+\eta\in U_X^{\rm reg}\quad\text{and}\quad\|\zeta\|_{H_V}+\|\eta\|_{H_V}\neq0,$$

since $\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)\in \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)\subset U_X$ and $U_X^{\rm reg}$ is dense in U_X there exists a sequence $(\zeta_l)\subset X_V$ with $\|\zeta_l\|_{X_V}\to 0$ as $l\to\infty$, such that $\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)+\zeta_l\in U_X^{\rm reg}$ for all $l\in\mathbb{N}$. By the inequalities that $\|\zeta_l\|_{H_V}\le\|\zeta_l\|_{X_V}\ \forall l$ we can find an integer $l_0>0$ such that

$$\|\zeta_l\|_{H_V}<(\|\zeta\|_{H_V}+\|\eta\|_{H_V})/4\quad\forall l>l_0. \tag{2.26}$$

Fix a $l\in\mathbb{N}$ with $l>l_0$. Consider the smooth curves

$$[0,1]\ni s\to \mathfrak{p}_l(s):=\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)+(1-s)\zeta_l+s(\zeta+\eta)\in U_X.$$

By Lemma 2.2 we can choose a C^{k+1} path $\mathfrak{q}_l:[0,1]\to C_V^{k+1}(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ connecting $\mathfrak{p}_l(0)$ to $\mathfrak{p}_l(1)$, which also takes values in U_X, such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1}\sup_{s\in[0,1]}\left\|\frac{d^j}{ds^j}\mathfrak{p}_l(s)-\frac{d^j}{ds^j}\mathfrak{q}_l(s)\right\|_{C_V^{k+1}(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))}<\frac{1}{4}(\|\zeta\|_{H_V}+\|\eta\|_{H_V}), \tag{2.27}$$

and that each set $\{(s,t)\in[0,1]\times I\,|\,\mathbf{E}(t,\mathfrak{q}_l(s))\in\mathcal{Z}\}$ is at most finite. So we have a partition of $[0,1]$, $0=s_0<s_1<\cdots<s_m=1$, such that $\mathfrak{q}_l(s)\in U_X^{\rm reg}$ for each $s\in[0,1]\setminus\{s_0,s_1,\cdots,s_m\}$. It follows that the continuous function

$$\Gamma_l:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R},\ s\mapsto\big(\tilde{A}(\mathfrak{q}_l(s)),\zeta-\eta\big)_{H_V}$$

is differentiable at each point $s\in[0,1]\setminus\{s_0,s_1,\cdots,s_m\}$. As in Step 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\tilde{A}(\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)+\zeta+\eta),\zeta-\eta\big)_{H_V}-\big(\tilde{A}(\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)+\zeta_l),\zeta-\eta\big)_{H_V}\\
=\ &\Gamma_l(1)-\Gamma_l(0)=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\Gamma_l'(s)ds\\
=\ &\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\Big(d\tilde{A}(\mathfrak{q}_l(s))[\mathfrak{q}_l'(s)],\zeta-\eta\Big)_{H_V}ds\\
\overset{(2.16)}{=}\ &\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\big(\tilde{B}(\mathfrak{q}_l(s))\mathfrak{q}_l'(s),\zeta-\eta\big)_{H_V}ds\\
=\ &\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\Big(\tilde{B}(\mathfrak{q}_l(s))(\zeta+\eta),\zeta-\eta\Big)_{H_V}ds+\\
&\qquad+\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\Big(\tilde{B}(\mathfrak{q}_l(s))\big(\mathfrak{q}_l'(s)-(\zeta+\eta)\big),\zeta-\eta\Big)_{H_V}ds\\
=\ &\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\big(\tilde{B}(\mathfrak{q}_l(s))\zeta,\zeta\big)_{H_V}ds-\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\big(\tilde{B}(\mathfrak{q}_l(s))\eta,\eta\big)_{H_V}ds\\
&\qquad+\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\Big(\tilde{B}(\mathfrak{q}_l(s))\big(\mathfrak{q}_l'(s)-(\zeta+\eta)\big),\zeta-\eta\Big)_{H_V}ds\\
\ge\ &a_1\|\zeta\|_{H_V}^2+a_0\|\eta\|_{H_V}^2+\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\Big(\tilde{B}(\mathfrak{q}_l(s))\big(\mathfrak{q}_l'(s)-(\zeta+\eta)\big),\zeta-\eta\Big)_{H_V}ds
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.6. As in Step 1 we can derive from Lemma 2.6, (2.26) and (2.27) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\Big(\tilde{B}(\mathfrak{q}_l(s))\big(\mathfrak{q}_l'(s)-(\zeta+\eta)\big),\zeta-\eta\Big)_{H_V}ds\right|\\
\le\ &\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\omega(\mathfrak{q}_l(s)))\,\|\mathfrak{q}_l'(s)-\mathfrak{p}_l'(s)+\zeta_l\|_{H_V}\cdot\|\zeta-\eta\|_{H_V}ds\\
\le\ &\frac{\min\{a_0,a_1\}}{2}\left(\frac{\|\zeta\|_{H_V}+\|\eta\|_{H_V}}{4}+\|\zeta_l\|_{H_V}\right)\|\zeta-\eta\|_{H_V}\\
\le\ &\frac{\min\{a_0,a_1\}}{2}(\|\zeta\|_{H_V}^2+\|\eta\|_{H_V}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\tilde{A}(\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)+\zeta+\eta),\zeta-\eta\big)_{H_V}-\big(\tilde{A}(\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)+\zeta_l),\zeta-\eta\big)_{H_V}\\
&\ge\frac{\min\{a_0,a_1\}}{2}(\|\zeta\|_{H_V}^2+\|\eta\|_{H_V}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $l>l_0$ is arbitrary. Let $l\to\infty$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\tilde{A}(\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)+\zeta+\eta),\zeta-\eta\big)_{H_V}-\big(\tilde{A}(\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)),\zeta-\eta\big)_{H_V}\\
&\ge\frac{\min\{a_0,a_1\}}{2}(\|\zeta\|_{H_V}^2+\|\eta\|_{H_V}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$D_2\mathcal{J}(\xi,\zeta+\eta)[\zeta-\eta]\ge\frac{\min\{a_0,a_1\}}{2}(\|\zeta\|_{H_V}^2+\|\eta\|_{H_V}^2)$$

by (2.25). This can also hold for any (ξ,ζ,η) in $\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)}\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^+)\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^-)$ because $\{(\xi,\zeta,\eta)\in W_X^{\rm reg}\,|\,(\zeta,\eta)\ne(0,0)\}$ is dense in $\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)}\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^+)\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^-)$. (ii) is proved. □

Then repeating the arguments in Step 4 of proof of [35, Theorem 1.1] (or the proof of Lemma 3.6 in [36]) we obtain the following splitting theorem.

Theorem 2.8 *Under the notation above, there exists an origin-preserving local homeomorphism $\tilde{\psi}$ from $\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V)$ to an open neighborhood of $0\in H_V$ such that*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\circ\tilde{\psi}(\xi)=\|P_V^+\xi\|_{H_V}^2-\|P_V^-\xi\|_{H_V}^2+\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\circ(P_V^0\xi)\quad\forall\xi\in\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V).$$

2.1.2 Completing the proof of Theorem 1.1

The completion of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is done by repeating of Step 2 in [37, §4]. Let us outline it. The differential at 0 of the chart Φ in (2.2), $d\Phi(0):H_V\to T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M)$, given by $d\Phi(0)[\xi]=\sum_{i=1}^n\xi_ie_i$, is a Hilbert space isomorphism and $\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}\circ d\Phi(0)=\Phi$ on $\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_V):=\{\xi\in H_V\,|\,\|\xi\|_1<2r\}$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}=\mathcal{L}\circ\Phi$ on $\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_V)$ by (2.10), we get

$$\mathcal{L}\circ\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}\circ d\Phi(0)=\mathcal{L}\circ\Phi=\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\quad\text{on }\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_V)\tag{2.28}$$

and so $\nabla(\mathcal{L}\circ\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0})(d\Phi(0)[\xi])=d\Phi(0)[\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\xi)]$ for all $\xi\in\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_V)$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{A}(d\Phi(0)\xi)=d\Phi(0)\tilde{A}(\xi)\quad\forall\xi\in\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_V)\cap X_V,\tag{2.29}$$

$$d\mathcal{A}(0)\circ d\Phi(0)=d\Phi(0)\circ d\tilde{A}(0)\tag{2.30}$$

because the Hilbert space isomorphism $d\Phi(0): H_V \to T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M)$ induces a Banach space isomorphism from X_V to $T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X} = T_{\gamma_0}C^1_N(I,M)$. (2.30) implies that $d\Phi(0)(H_V^\star) = \mathbf{H}^\star(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))$ for $\star = -, 0, +$, and therefore

$$d\Phi(0)\circ P_V^\star = P^\star \circ d\Phi(0), \quad \star = -, 0, +. \tag{2.31}$$

Shrinking $\delta > 0$ above (1.12) so that $\delta < \tau$, as below [37, (4.30)] we obtain

$$0 = (I - P^0)\circ \mathcal{A}\big(\zeta + d\Phi(0)\circ \tilde{h}(d\Phi(0)^{-1}\zeta)\big) \quad \forall \zeta \in \mathbf{B}_\delta\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)$$

by (2.31), (2.30) and (2.18). This and (1.11) yield $h(\zeta) = d\Phi(0)\circ\tilde{h}(d\Phi(0)^{-1}\zeta)$ by the uniqueness of h. From it, (1.12), (2.28) and the definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\circ$ in (2.22) we derive

$$\mathcal{L}^\circ(d\Phi(0)\xi) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}\big(\xi + \tilde{h}(\xi)\big) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\circ(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbf{B}_\delta(H_V^0) \tag{2.32}$$

as in [37, (4.31)]. Since $d\Phi(0)\,(\mathbf{B}_\delta(H_V)) = \mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M))$, (2.31) implies that

$$(P_V^\star\xi, P_V^\star\xi)_{H_V} = \langle d\Phi(0)\circ P_V^\star\xi, d\Phi(0)\circ P_V^\star\xi\rangle_1 = \langle P^\star\circ d\Phi(0)\xi, P^\star\circ d\Phi(0)\xi\rangle_1 \tag{2.33}$$

for $\xi \in \mathbf{B}_\delta(H_V) \subset \mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V)$ and $\star = +, -$. Define $\psi : \mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M)) \to T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M)$ by $\psi = d\Phi(0)\circ\tilde{\psi}\circ[d\Phi(0)]^{-1}$. For $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}_\delta(T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda_N(M))$ and $\xi = [d\Phi(0)]^{-1}\zeta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}\circ \mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}\circ\psi(\zeta) = \mathcal{L}\circ\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}\circ d\Phi(0)\circ\tilde{\psi}\circ[d\Phi(0)]^{-1}\zeta = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}\circ\tilde{\psi}(\xi),\\
&\mathcal{L}^\circ(P^0\zeta) = \mathcal{L}^\circ(P^0\circ d\Phi(0)\xi) = \mathcal{L}^\circ(d\Phi(0)\circ P_V^0\xi) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\circ(P_V^0\xi)
\end{aligned}$$

by (2.28) and (2.32). These, (2.33) and Theorem 2.8 give Theorem 1.1. □

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Define the functional

$$\mathscr{J} : \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)}\times\Big(\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^-(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)\oplus\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^+(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)\Big)\to\mathbb{R}$$

by $\mathscr{J}(x,y) = \mathcal{L}\circ\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}(x + h(x) + y) - \mathcal{L}\circ\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}(x + h(x))$, where h is as in (2.32), i.e., $h(x) = d\Phi(0)\circ\tilde{h}(d\Phi(0)^{-1}x)$. Note that the Hilbert space isomorphism $d\Phi(0)$ maps $\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^*)$ onto $\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^*(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)$, $* = 0, +, -$. We have

$$\mathcal{J}(\xi,\zeta) = \mathscr{J}(d\Phi(0)\xi, d\Phi(0)\zeta) \quad \forall\xi\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^0)} \quad \& \quad \forall\zeta\in\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^-)\oplus\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_V^+),$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ is given by (2.19). Moreover, (2.20) and (2.21) imply

$$D_2\mathscr{J}(\xi,\zeta)[\eta] = ((I-P^0)\nabla(\mathcal{L}\circ\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0})(\xi + h(\xi) + \zeta), \eta)_{H_V}, \tag{2.34}$$

$$\mathscr{J}(\xi,0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_2\mathscr{J}(\xi,0)[\eta] = 0 \tag{2.35}$$

for any $\xi \in \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)}$, $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^-(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)\oplus\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^+(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)$ and $\eta\in\mathbf{H}^-(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\oplus\mathbf{H}^+(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))$. From Proposition 2.7 we deduce

Proposition 2.9 **(i)** *For any* $(\xi,\zeta)\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)}\times\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^+(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)$ *and* $\eta_1,\eta_2\in\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^+(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)$,

$$\big(D_2\mathscr{J}(\xi,\zeta+\eta_2) - D_2\mathscr{J}(\xi,\zeta+\eta_1)\big)[\eta_2-\eta_1] \le -\frac{a_0}{2}\|\eta_2-\eta_1\|_1^2.$$

(ii) *For any* $\xi \in \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)}$, $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^+(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)$ *and* $\eta \in \mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^+(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)$ *it holds that*

$$D_2\mathscr{J}(\xi,\zeta+\eta)[\zeta-\eta] \geq \frac{a_1}{2}\|\zeta\|_1^2 + \frac{a_0}{2}\|\eta\|_1^2;$$

in particular we have

$$D_2\mathscr{J}(\xi,\zeta)[\zeta] \geq \frac{a_1}{2}\|\zeta\|_1^2$$

for any $(\xi,\zeta) \in \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)} \times \mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^+(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)$.

(Theorem 1.1 may also follow from this as in the proof of Theorem 2.8.)

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ be the restriction of $\nabla(\mathcal{L}\circ\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}_{\gamma_0})$ to $\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\Lambda})\cap T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N$. Then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \mathcal{A}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\Lambda})\cap T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N \tag{2.36}$$

by the assumption (iii), where $\mathcal{A}$ is the map below (1.7). So $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}: \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\Lambda})\cap T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N \to T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N$ is C^{k-3} near $0\in T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N$. (2.36) implies $\langle\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(tx),y\rangle_1 = \langle\mathcal{A}(tx),y\rangle_1$ for any $y\in T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\Lambda}$ and $t\in\mathbb{R}$ with small $|t|$. On both sides taking derivatives at $t=0$ yields

$$d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N})(\gamma_0)[x,y] = \langle d\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(0)x,y\rangle_1 = \langle d\mathcal{A}(0)x,y\rangle_1 = d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N})(\gamma_0)[x,y]. \tag{2.37}$$

As for $d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N})(\gamma_0)$ we also use $d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N})(\gamma_0)$ to denote the continuous symmetric bilinear extension of it on $T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\Lambda}$. Then (2.37) also holds for all $x\in T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\Lambda}$ by the density of $T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N$ in $T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\Lambda}$. Note that the conditions (i) and (iv) imply $\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N})(\gamma_0)\big)\subset T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N$. It follows that

$$\mathbf{H}^*\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N})(\gamma_0)\big)\subset\mathbf{H}^*\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N})(\gamma_0)\big), \quad *=0,+,-. \tag{2.38}$$

(Actually, for $*=+,-$ these are obvious by (2.37).) Shrinking $\delta>0$ in (1.10) (if necessary) and using the implicit function theorem yield a unique C^{k-3} map

$$\tilde{h}: \mathbf{B}_\delta\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\big) \to \mathbf{H}^-(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\dot{+}\big(\mathbf{H}^+(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\cap T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N\big)$$

such that $\tilde{h}(0)=0$, $d\tilde{h}(0)=0$ and

$$(\tilde{P}^+ + \tilde{P}^-)\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)) = 0 \ \forall \xi\in\mathbf{B}_\delta\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\big), \tag{2.39}$$

where $\tilde{P}^\star$ $(\star=-,0,+)$ are the orthogonal projections,

$$T_{\gamma_0}\tilde{\Lambda} = \mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\oplus\mathbf{H}^+(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\oplus\mathbf{H}^-(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0)) \to \mathbf{H}^\star(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0)).$$

By (2.36) and (2.38) we deduce that (2.39) is equal to the equality

$$(P^+ + P^-)\mathcal{A}(\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi)) = 0 \ \forall\xi\in\mathbf{B}_\delta\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\big).$$

By uniqueness, this and (1.11) lead to

$$\tilde{h}(\xi) = h(\xi) \quad \forall\xi\in\mathbf{B}_\delta\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\big),$$

which implies that for $\mathcal{L}^\circ$ in (1.12) and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\circ$ in (2.22) we have

$$\mathcal{L}^\circ(\xi) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\circ(\xi) = \mathcal{L}\big(\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}(\xi+\tilde{h}(\xi))\big) \quad \forall\xi\in\mathbf{B}_\delta\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\big). \tag{2.40}$$

By (2.22), $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\circ$ is C^{k-3}, has an isolated critical point 0, and $d^2\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\circ(0)=0$. Define

$$\tilde{\mathscr{J}}: \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)}\times\Big(\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^-(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)\oplus\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^+(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)\Big)\to\mathbb{R}$$

by $\tilde{\mathscr{J}}(x,y) = \mathcal{L}\circ\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}_{\gamma_0}(x+\tilde{h}(x)+y) - \mathcal{L}\circ\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}_{\gamma_0}(x+\tilde{h}(x))$. It is easily checked that $\tilde{\mathscr{J}}(x,y) = \mathscr{J}(x,y)$ and hence that Proposition 2.9 also holds if $\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)}$, $\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^\star(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)$ are replaced by $\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^0(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)}$ and $\mathbf{B}_\tau\big(\mathbf{H}^\star(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))\big)$, $\star = +, -$, respectively. Checking Step 4 of proof of [35, Theorem 1.1] (or the proof of Lemma 3.6 in [36]) the first claim is easily obtained. As a consequence we have

$$C_q(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \gamma_0; \mathbb{K}) \cong C_{q-m^-(\tilde{\mathcal{L}},\gamma_0)}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\circ, 0; \mathbb{K}) \quad \forall q = 0, 1, \cdots, \tag{2.41}$$

where $m^-(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \gamma_0) = \dim \mathbf{H}^-(d^2\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N}(\gamma_0))$.

If $m^0(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\Lambda}}, \gamma_0) = m^0(\mathcal{L}, \gamma_0)$, (2.38) leads to $\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_N})(\gamma_0)\big) = \mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_N})(\gamma_0)\big)$ and so $\mathcal{L}^\circ = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\circ$ by (2.40). The latter implies the claim in Theorem 1.4(a).

Furthermore, if $m^-(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\Lambda}}, \gamma_0)$ is also equal to $m^-(\mathcal{L}, \gamma_0)$, Theorem 1.2 and (2.41) give the claim in Theorem 1.4(b). □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.7

The basic idea is similar to that of Theorem 1.1 in Section 2, but more complex. We shall complete the proof in three steps.

Step 1. Since γ_0 is a C^k-map to M with $k \geq 6$, starting with a unit orthogonal frame at $T_{\gamma(0)}M$ and using the parallel transport along γ_0 with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric g we get a unit orthogonal parallel C^k frame field $\mathbb{R} \to \gamma_0^*TM,\ t \mapsto (e_1(t), \cdots, e_n(t))$. Note that there exists a unique orthogonal matrix E_{γ_0} such that $(e_1(1), \cdots, e_n(1)) = (\mathbb{I}_{g*}e_1(0), \cdots, \mathbb{I}_{g*}e_n(0))E_{\gamma_0}$. (All vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$ will be understood as row vectors.) By the elementary matrix theory there exists an orthogonal matrix Ξ such that

$$\Xi^{-1}E_{\gamma_0}\Xi = \mathrm{diag}(S_1, \cdots, S_\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n} \quad \text{with } \mathrm{ord}(S_1) \geq \cdots \geq \mathrm{ord}(S_\sigma),$$

where each S_j is either 1, or -1, or $\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_j & \sin\theta_j \\ -\sin\theta_j & \cos\theta_j \end{pmatrix}$, $0 < \theta_j < \pi$. So replacing $(e_1, \cdots, e_n)$ by $(e_1, \cdots, e_n)\Xi$ we may assume

$$E_{\gamma_0} = \mathrm{diag}(S_1, \cdots, S_\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}. \tag{3.1}$$

Since $\gamma_0(t+1) = \mathbb{I}_g(\gamma_0(t))\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto (\mathbb{I}_{g*}(e_1(t)), \cdots, \mathbb{I}_{g*}(e_n(t)))E_{\gamma_0}$ is also a unit orthogonal parallel C^k frame field along $\mathbb{I}_g \circ \gamma_0$, it is easily proved that

$$(e_1(t+1), \cdots, e_n(t+1)) = (\mathbb{I}_{g*}(e_1(t)), \cdots, \mathbb{I}_{g*}(e_n(t)))E_{\gamma_0} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.2}$$

Consider the subspaces of $C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W^{1,2}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\gamma_0} &= C^1_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) = \{\xi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) \,|\, \xi(t+1)^T = E_{\gamma_0}\xi(t)^T\ \forall t\}, \\ H_{\gamma_0} &= W^{1,2}_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) = \{\xi \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) \,|\, \xi(t+1)^T = E_{\gamma_0}\xi(t)^T\ \forall t\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\xi(t)^T$ denotes the transpose of the matrix $\xi(t)$ as usual. The former is a Banach space according to the usual C^1-norm because $E_{\gamma_0} \in O(n)$, and the latter is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product

$$\langle \xi, \eta\rangle_{1,2} = \int_0^1 [(\xi(t), \eta(t))_{\mathbb{R}^n} + (\dot{\xi}(t), \dot{\eta}(t))_{\mathbb{R}^n}]dt. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$ be the induced norm. Clearly, X_{γ_0} is dense in H_{γ_0}, and there exists a Hilbert space isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0} : (H_{\gamma_0}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{1,2}) \to (T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda(\mathbb{I}_g, M), \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1), \quad \xi \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j e_j.$$

Moreover we have a small open ball $B^n_{2\rho}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a C^k map

$$\phi_{\gamma_0} : \mathbb{R} \times B^n_{2\rho}(0) \to M,\ (t,x) \mapsto \exp_{\gamma_0(t)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i(t) \right), \tag{3.4}$$

which satisfies $\phi_{\gamma_0}(t+1,x) = \phi_{\gamma_0}(t,(E_{\gamma_0}x^T)^T)$ and

$$d\phi_{\gamma_0}(t+1,x)[(1,v)] = d\phi_{\gamma_0}(t,(E_{\gamma_0}x^T)^T)[(1,(E_{\gamma_0}v^T)^T)]$$

for $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times B^n_{2\rho}(0)$. This yields a coordinate chart around γ_0 on $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$,

$$\Phi_{\gamma_0} : \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(H_{\gamma_0}) := \{\xi \in H_{\gamma_0} \mid \|\xi\|_{1,2} < 2\rho\} \to \Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g) \tag{3.5}$$

given by $\Phi_{\gamma_0}(\xi)(t) = \phi_{\gamma_0}(t,\xi(t))$ for $\xi \in \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(H_{\gamma_0})$. Φ_{γ_0} is only C^{k-3} by Lemma 5.2, and $d\Phi_{\gamma_0}(0) = \mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0}$.

Shrink $\varepsilon > 0$ in (1.17) so that $\varepsilon < \sqrt{2}\rho$. Then

$$\mathrm{EXP}_{\gamma_0}\big(T\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)(\varepsilon)_{\gamma_0}\big) \subset \Phi_{\gamma_0}\big(\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(H_{\gamma_0})\big).$$

(Indeed, for $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i e_i \in T\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)(\varepsilon)_{\gamma_0}$ write $\mathbf{v} = (v_1,\cdots,v_n)^T$ then $\|\mathbf{v}\|_\infty \le \sqrt{2}\|\mathbf{v}\|_{1,2} = \sqrt{2}\|v\|_1 < \sqrt{2}\varepsilon < 2\rho$.) Define $L_{\gamma_0} : \mathbb{R} \times B^n_{2\rho}(0) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$L_{\gamma_0}(t,x,v) = L\big(\phi_{\gamma_0}(t,x), d\phi_{\gamma_0}(t,x)[(1,v)]\big). \tag{3.6}$$

Then $L_{\gamma_0}(t,0,0) \equiv c\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\gamma_0}(t+1,x,v) &= L\big(\phi_{\gamma_0}(t+1,x), d\phi_{\gamma_0}(t+1,x)[(1,v)]\big) \\
&= L\big(\phi_{\gamma_0}(t,(E_{\gamma_0}x^T)^T), d\phi_{\gamma_0}(t,(E_{\gamma_0}x^T)^T)[(1,(E_{\gamma_0}v^T)^T)]\big) \\
&= L_{\gamma_0}\big(t,(E_{\gamma_0}x^T)^T,(E_{\gamma_0}v^T)^T\big) \quad \text{and} \\
\partial_x L_{\gamma_0}(t+1,x,v) &= \partial_x L_{\gamma_0}\big(t,(E_{\gamma_0}x^T)^T,(E_{\gamma_0}v^T)^T\big)E_{\gamma_0}, \\
\partial_v L_{\gamma_0}\big(t+1,x,v) &= \partial_v L_{\gamma_0}\big(t,(E_{\gamma_0}x^T)^T,(E_{\gamma_0}v^T)^T\big)E_{\gamma_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

So for any $\xi \in \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(H_{\gamma_0})$, since $\xi(t+1)^T = E_{\gamma_0}\xi(t)^T$ and $\dot\xi(t+1)^T = E_{\gamma_0}\dot\xi(t)^T$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\gamma_0}(t+1,\xi(t+1),\dot\xi(t+1)) &= L_{\gamma_0}(t,(E_{\gamma_0}\xi(t+1)^T)^T,(E_{\gamma_0}\dot\xi(t+1)^T)^T) \\
&= L_{\gamma_0}(t,\xi(t),\dot\xi(t)), &(3.7)\\
\partial_x L_{\gamma_0}(t+1,\xi(t+1),\dot\xi(t+1)) &= \partial_x L_{\gamma_0}(t,\xi(t),\dot\xi(t))E_{\gamma_0}, &(3.8)\\
\partial_v L_{\gamma_0}(t+1,\xi(t+1),\dot\xi(t+1)) &= \partial_v L_{\gamma_0}(t,\xi(t),\dot\xi(t))E_{\gamma_0}. &(3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Define the action functional $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0} : \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(H_{\gamma_0}) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}(\xi) = \int_0^1 L_{\gamma_0}(t,\xi(t),\dot\xi(t))dt.$$

Then $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0} = \mathcal{L} \circ \Phi_{\gamma_0}$ on $\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(H_{\gamma_0})$. It is C^{2-0}, and its gradient is given by (B.34) and (B.35) in [37], that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}(\xi)(t) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_t^\infty e^{t-s}\left[\partial_x L_{\gamma_0}\big(s,\xi(s),\dot\xi(s)\big) - \mathfrak{R}^\xi(s)\right] ds \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^t e^{s-t}\left[\partial_x L_{\gamma_0}\big(s,\xi(s),\dot\xi(s)\big) - \mathfrak{R}^\xi(s)\right] ds + \mathfrak{R}^\xi(t), \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

where as $2 = \mathrm{ord}(S_p) > \mathrm{ord}(S_{p+1})$ for some $p \in \{0, \cdots, \sigma\}$,

$$\mathfrak{R}^{\xi}(t) = \int_0^t \partial_v L_{\gamma_0}\big(s, \xi(s), \dot{\xi}(s)\big) ds + \int_0^1 \partial_v L_{\gamma_0}\big(s, \xi(s), \dot{\xi}(s)\big) ds \times$$
$$\times \left(\oplus_{l \le p} \frac{\sin\theta_l}{2 - 2\cos\theta_l} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} I_{2p} \right) \oplus \mathrm{diag}(a_{p+1}(t), \cdots, a_\sigma(t)) \tag{3.11}$$

with $a_j(t) = \frac{2tS_j + 2t + 1 - S_j}{4}$, $j = p+1, \cdots, \sigma = n - p$. (As usual $p = 0$ or $p = \sigma$ means there is no the first or second term in (3.11).)

Corresponding to (2.3) let

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma_0} := \big\{(t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \,|\, \partial_x \phi_{\gamma_0}(t, x)[v] = -\partial_t \phi_{\gamma_0}(t, x)\big\}. \tag{3.12}$$

It is a submanifold in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension $n+1$, and also a closed subset. Note that L_{γ_0} is C^k in $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\gamma_0}$. Moreover, there exist positive constants $C_1 < C_2$ such that for all $(t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\gamma_0}$,

(LL1) $\sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v_i \partial v_j} L_{\gamma_0}(t, x, v) u_i u_j \ge C_1 |\mathbf{u}|^2 \quad \forall \mathbf{u} = (u_1, \cdots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

(LL2) $\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} L_{\gamma_0}(t, x, v) \right| \le C_2(1 + |v|^2)$, $\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial v_j} L_{\gamma_0}(t, x, v) \right| \le C_2(1 + |v|)$, and $\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v_i \partial v_j} L_{\gamma_0}(t, x, v) \right| \le C_2$

(cf. [9, (1) & (2)]).

Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we call a curve

$$\gamma \in C^m(\mathbb{R}, M, \mathbb{I}_g) := \{\gamma \in C^m(\mathbb{R}, M) \,|\, \gamma(t+1) = \mathbb{I}_g(\gamma(t)),\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

strongly regular if $\{t \in \mathbb{R} \,|\, \dot{\gamma}(t) = 0\}$ is empty. Let $C^m(\mathbb{R}, M, \mathbb{I}_g)_{\mathrm{reg}}$ denote the subset of all strongly regular curves in $C^m(\mathbb{R}, M, \mathbb{I}_g)$, and let

$$C^m_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) := \{\xi \in C^m(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) \,|\, \xi(t+1)^T = E_{\gamma_0} \xi(t)^T,\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

which is a Banach space with the usual C^m-norm because $E_{\gamma_0} \in O(n)$. Then

$$\Phi_{\gamma_0}^{-1}\big(C^m(\mathbb{R}, M, \mathbb{I}_g)_{\mathrm{reg}}\big) = \left\{\xi \in \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(H_{\gamma_0}) \cap C^m_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) \,|\, (\check{\xi})^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma_0}) = \emptyset\right\},$$

where $\check{\xi} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by $\check{\xi}(t) = (t, \xi(t), \dot{\xi}(t))$. As in (2.8), we can choose $0 < r < \rho/2$ so small that

$$\mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{B}_{2r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbf{B}_{2r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\gamma_0}. \tag{3.13}$$

Put $U = \mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_{\gamma_0})$, $U_X := \mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_{\gamma_0}) \cap X_{\gamma_0}$ as an open subset of X_{γ_0}, and

$$U^{\mathrm{reg}}_{X,k} := U_X \cap (\Phi_{\gamma_0}|_{\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_{\gamma_0})})^{-1}\big(C^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}, M, \mathbb{I}_g)_{\mathrm{reg}}\big). \tag{3.14}$$

Then $\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0}) \subset U_X$, and $(\check{\xi})^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma_0}) = \emptyset$, $\forall \xi \in U^{\mathrm{reg}}_{X,k} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})$ by (3.13) and (3.14). For $\xi \in U^{\mathrm{reg}}_{X,k} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0}) \subset U_X$, from (3.10) and (3.11) we see that $t \mapsto \nabla \mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}(\xi)(t)$ is continuous

differentiable, and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\nabla\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}(\xi)(t) &= \frac{e^t}{2}\int_t^\infty e^{-s}\Big(\partial_x L_{\gamma_0}\big(s,\xi(s),\dot\xi(s)\big)-\mathfrak{R}^\xi(s)\Big)\,ds\\ &- \frac{e^{-t}}{2}\int_{-\infty}^t e^{s}\Big(\partial_x L_{\gamma_0}\big(s,\xi(s),\dot\xi(s)\big)-\mathfrak{R}^\xi)(s)\Big)\,ds\\ &+ \partial_v L_{\gamma_0}\big(t,\xi(t),\dot\xi(t)\big)-\bigg(\int_0^1\partial_v L_{\gamma_0}\big(s,\xi(s),\dot\xi(s)\big)ds\bigg)\times\\ &\quad\times\bigg[\frac{d}{dt}\bigg(\oplus_{l\le p}\frac{\sin\theta_l}{2-2\cos\theta_l}\begin{pmatrix}0&-1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}-\frac{1}{2}I_{2p}\bigg)\\ &\qquad\oplus\operatorname{diag}(\dot a_{p+1}(t),\cdots,\dot a_\sigma(t))\bigg]\end{aligned}$$

provided $2=\operatorname{ord}(S_p)>\operatorname{ord}(S_{p+1})$ for some $p\in\{0,\cdots,\sigma\}$, where $\mathfrak{R}^\xi$ is given by (3.11). Let $\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0}$ be the restriction of the gradient $\nabla\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}$ to U_X. Then $\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0}(\xi)\in X_{\gamma_0}$ for $\xi\in U_{X,k}^{\rm reg}\cup\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})\subset U_X$, and $U_X\supseteq U_{X,k}^{\rm reg}\cup\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})\ni\xi\mapsto\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0}(\xi)\in X_{\gamma_0}$ is continuous with respect to the C^1-topology. Corresponding to Lemma 2.3 we have

Lemma 3.1 *The map $U_X\supseteq U_{X,k}^{\rm reg}\cup\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})\ni\xi\mapsto\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0}(\xi)\in X_{\gamma_0}$ is Frechét differentiable, and $U_X\supseteq U_{X,k}^{\rm reg}\cup\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})\ni\xi\mapsto d\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0}(\xi)\in\mathscr{L}(X_{\gamma_0})$ is continuous. In particular, $\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0}$ restricts to a C^{k-3} map from $\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})$ to X_{γ_0}.*

Hence the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}$ to U_X, denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}^X$, has second Frechét derivative at each $\zeta\in U_{X,k}^{\rm reg}\cup\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}d^2\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}^X(\zeta)[\xi,\eta]=\int_0^1\Big(&\partial_{vv}L_{\gamma_0}\big(t,\zeta(t),\dot\zeta(t)\big)\big[\dot\xi(t),\dot\eta(t)\big]+\partial_{qv}L_{\gamma_0}\big(t,\zeta(t),\dot\zeta(t)\big)\big[\xi(t),\dot\eta(t)\big]\\ &+\partial_{vq}L_{\gamma_0}\big(t,\zeta(t),\dot\zeta(t)\big)\big[\dot\xi(t),\eta(t)\big]\\ &+\partial_{qq}L_{\gamma_0}\big(t,\zeta(t),\dot\zeta(t)\big)\big[\xi(t),\eta(t)\big]\Big)\,dt\end{aligned}$$

for any $\xi,\eta\in X_{\gamma_0}$. From the last expression and (**LL1**)-(**LL2**) below (3.12), it easily follows that for any $\zeta\in U_{X,k}^{\rm reg}\cup\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})$ there exists $C(\zeta)>0$ such that

$$\big|d^2\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}^X(\zeta)[\xi,\eta]\big|\le C(\zeta)\|\xi\|_{1,2}\cdot\|\eta\|_{1,2}\quad\forall\xi,\eta\in X_{\gamma_0}.\tag{3.15}$$

It follows that there exists a map $\mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}:U_{X,k}^{\rm reg}\cup\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})\to\mathscr{L}_s(H_{\gamma_0})$ such that

$$\langle d\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0}(\zeta)[\xi],\eta\rangle_{1,2}=d^2\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}^X(\zeta)[\xi,\eta]=\langle\mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}(\zeta)\xi,\eta\rangle_{1,2}\quad\forall\xi,\eta\in X_{\gamma_0}.\tag{3.16}$$

Moreover, by [35] $\mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}(0)\in\mathscr{L}(H_{\gamma_0})$ is a self-adjoint Fredholm operator, and the map $\mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}$ has a decomposition $\mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}(\zeta)=P_{\gamma_0}(\zeta)+Q_{\gamma_0}(\zeta)\ \forall\zeta\in U_{X,k}^{\rm reg}\cup\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})$, where $P_{\gamma_0}(\zeta)\in\mathscr{L}_s(H_{\gamma_0})$ is a positive definitive linear operator defined by

$$\langle P_{\gamma_0}(\zeta)\xi,\eta\rangle_{1,2}=\int_0^1\Big(\partial_{vv}L_{\gamma_0}\big(t,\zeta(t),\dot\zeta(t)\big)[\dot\xi(t),\dot\eta(t)]+\big(\xi(t),\eta(t)\big)_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big)\,dt,\tag{3.17}$$

and $Q_{\gamma_0}(\zeta)\in\mathscr{L}_s(H_{\gamma_0})$ is a compact linear operator. As in the proof of Lemma 2.4, from this and (**LL1**)-(**LL2**) we may deduce

Lemma 3.2 *The operators P_{γ_0} and Q_{γ_0} have the following properties:*

(**$\mathfrak{P}$1**) *For any sequence $(\xi_j)\subset U_{X,k}^{\rm reg}\cup\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})$ with $\|\xi_j\|_{1,2}\to0$ it holds that $\|P_{\gamma_0}(\xi_j)\eta-P_{\gamma_0}(0)\eta\|_{1,2}\to0$ for any $\eta\in H_{\gamma_0}$;*

($\mathfrak{P}2$) *There exist constants* ε_{γ_0} *and* $C_{\gamma_0} > 0$ *such that*

$$\langle P_{\gamma_0}(\xi)\eta, \eta\rangle_{1,2} \geq C_{\gamma_0}\|\eta\|_{1,2}^2 \quad \forall \eta \in H_{\gamma_0}$$

for any $\xi \in U_{X,k}^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})$ *with* $\|\xi\|_{1,2} \leq \varepsilon_{\gamma_0}$*;*

($\mathfrak{Q}$) $\|Q_{\gamma_0}(\xi_j) - Q_{\gamma_0}(0)\|_{\mathscr{L}_s(H_{\gamma_0})} \to 0$ *for any sequence* $(\xi_j) \subset U_{X,k}^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})$ *with* $\|\xi_j\|_{1,2} \to 0$.

Let $H_{\gamma_0}^+$, $H_{\gamma_0}^-$ and $H_{\gamma_0}^0$ denote the positive definite, negative definite and null spaces of $\mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}(0)$. Note that $H_{\gamma_0}^- \subset X_{\gamma_0}$ and $H_{\gamma_0}^0 \subset X_{\gamma_0}$ as Banach subspaces of X_{γ_0}, they are denoted by $X_{\gamma_0}^-$ and $X_{\gamma_0}^0$. Set $X_{\gamma_0}^+ := X_{\gamma_0} \cap H_{\gamma_0}^+$. We arrive at a direct sum decomposition of Banach spaces, $X_{\gamma_0} = X_{\gamma_0}^0 \dot{+} X_{\gamma_0}^+ \dot{+} X_{\gamma_0}^-$. (From (3.26) it follows that the Morse index $m^-(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O})$ and nullity $m^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O})$ of $\mathcal{O}$ are equal to $\dim X_{\gamma_0}^-$ and $\dim X_{\gamma_0}^0 - 1$, respectively). As in (2.17) we have a positive number $a_{\gamma_0} > 0$ such that the set $[-2a_{\gamma_0}, 2a_{\gamma_0}] \setminus \{0\}$ is disjoint with the spectrum of $\mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}(0)$, which implies

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} \langle \mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}(0)\xi, \xi\rangle_{1,2} \geq 2a_{\gamma_0}\|\xi\|_{1,2}^2 \quad \forall \xi \in H_{\gamma_0}^+, \\ \langle \mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}(0)\xi, \xi\rangle_{1,2} \leq -2a_{\gamma_0}\|\xi\|_{1,2}^2 \quad \forall \xi \in H_{\gamma_0}^-. \end{array}\right\} \tag{3.18}$$

Let $\mathbb{P}_{\gamma_0}^*$ be the orthogonal projections from $H_{\gamma_0} = H_{\gamma_0}^- \oplus H_{\gamma_0}^0 \oplus H_{\gamma_0}^+$ onto $H_{\gamma_0}^*$, $* = -, 0, +$. Choose a basis of $H_{\gamma_0}^- \oplus H_{\gamma_0}^0$, $\mathfrak{e}_i$, $i = 1, \cdots, 1 + m^-(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}) + m^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O})$. As in the proofs of [36, Lemmas 3.3,3.4] we may use (3.18), Lemma 3.2 to derive the following results corresponding to Lemmas 2.5, 2.6.

Lemma 3.3 *There exists a function* $\omega : U_{X,k}^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0}) \to [0, \infty)$ *such that* $\omega(\zeta)$ *uniformly converges to* 0 *as* $\zeta \in U_{X,k}^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})$ *and* $\|\zeta\|_{1,2} \to 0$*, and that*

$$|\langle \mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}(\zeta)\xi, \eta\rangle_{1,2} - \langle \mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}(0)\xi, \eta\rangle_{1,2}| \leq \omega(\zeta)\|\xi\|_{1,2} \cdot \|\eta\|_{1,2}$$

for any $\zeta \in U_{X,k}^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})$, $\xi \in H_{\gamma_0}^0 \oplus H_{\gamma_0}^-$ *and* $\eta \in H_{\gamma_0}$.

Lemma 3.4 *By shrinking* $U = \mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_{\gamma_0})$ *(or equivalently* $r > 0$*), we may obtain a number* $a'_{\gamma_0} \in (0, 2a_{\gamma_0}]$ *such that for any* $\zeta \in U_{X,k}^{\rm reg} \cup \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})$ *we have* $\omega(\zeta) < \min\{a'_{\gamma_0}, a_{\gamma_0}\}/2$ *and*

(i) $\langle \mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}(\zeta)\xi, \xi\rangle_{1,2} \geq a'_{\gamma_0}\|\xi\|_{1,2}^2 \ \forall \xi \in H_{\gamma_0}^+$,

(ii) $|\langle \mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}(\zeta)\xi, \eta\rangle_{1,2}| \leq \omega(\zeta)\|\xi\|_{1,2} \cdot \|\eta\|_{1,2} \ \forall \xi \in H_{\gamma_0}^+, \forall \eta \in H_{\gamma_0}^- \oplus H_{\gamma_0}^0$,

(iii) $\langle \mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}(\zeta)\xi, \xi\rangle_{1,2} \leq -a_{\gamma_0}\|\xi\|_{1,2}^2 \ \forall \xi \in H_{\gamma_0}^-$.

Note that $\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0}$ is C^{k-3} in $\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0}) \subset U_X$ and that H_{γ_0} and X_{γ_0} induce equivalent norms on $X_{\gamma_0}^0 = \mathrm{Ker}(d\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0}(0))$. It follows from the implicit function theorem that there exist $\tau \in (0, r/2)$ and a C^{k-3}-map $h : \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_{\gamma_0}^0)} \to X_{\gamma_0}^- \oplus X_{\gamma_0}^+$ with $h(0) = 0$ and $dh(0) = 0$, such that for all $\xi \in \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_{\gamma_0}^0)}$,

$$\xi + h(\xi) \in \mathbf{B}_r(X_{\gamma_0}) \quad \text{and} \quad (I - \mathbb{P}_{\gamma_0}^0)\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0}(\xi + h(\xi)) = 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Define $\mathbf{J} : \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_{\gamma_0}^0)} \times \big(\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_{\gamma_0}^-) \oplus \mathbf{B}_\tau(H_{\gamma_0}^+)\big) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathbf{J}(\xi, \zeta) = \mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}(\xi + h(\xi) + \zeta) - \mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}(\xi + h(\xi)) \tag{3.20}$$

for $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}_\tau(H_{\gamma_0}^-) \oplus \mathbf{B}_\tau(H_{\gamma_0}^+)$ and $\xi \in \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_{\gamma_0}^0)}$. It is C^{2-0}, and satisfies

$$D_2\mathbf{J}(\xi, \zeta)[\eta] = \langle (I - \mathbb{P}_{\gamma_0}^0)\nabla\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}(\xi + h(\xi) + \zeta), \eta\rangle_{1,2}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\mathbf{J}(\xi, 0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_2\mathbf{J}(\xi, 0)[\eta] = 0 \tag{3.22}$$

for every $(\xi, \zeta) \in \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_{\gamma_0}^0)} \times \big(\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_{\gamma_0}^-) \oplus \mathbf{B}_\tau(H_{\gamma_0}^+)\big)$ and $\eta \in H_{\gamma_0}^+ + H_{\gamma_0}^-$. Moreover, by the last claim of Lemma 3.1 the functional

$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}^\circ : \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H_{\gamma_0}^0)} \to \mathbb{R}, \ \xi \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}(\xi + h(\xi)) \tag{3.23}$$

is C^{k-3}, and $d\mathcal{L}^\circ_{\gamma_0}(\xi)[\eta]=\langle \mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0}(\xi+h(\xi)),\eta\rangle_{1,2}\ \forall \eta\in H^0_{\gamma_0}$ and $d^2\mathcal{L}^\circ_{\gamma_0}(0)=0$.

Set $U_{X,k}:=\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_{\gamma_0})\cap C^{k-1}_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $2r<\rho$, and consider the C^{k-2} evaluation map

$$\mathfrak{E}:\mathbb{R}\times U_{X,k}\to\mathbb{R}\times\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\mathbb{R}^n,\quad (t,\xi)\mapsto(t,\xi(t),\dot\xi(t)). \tag{3.24}$$

Let $\mathfrak{E}_\xi:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}\times\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ be defined by $\mathfrak{E}_\xi(t)=\mathfrak{E}(t,\xi)$ for $\xi\in U_{X,k}$. Note that $U^{\rm reg}_{X,k}=U_{X,k}\cap(\Phi_{\gamma_0}|_{\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_{\gamma_0})})^{-1}\big(C^{k-1}(\mathbb{R},M,\mathbb{I}_g)_{\rm reg}\big)$ by (3.14). By the same proof as that of Lemma 2.2 we may obtain the corresponding result.

Lemma 3.5 *There exists a residual subset $C^{k-1}_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)^\circ_{\rm reg}$ in $C^{k-1}_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_{\gamma_0})\cap C^{k-1}_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)^\circ_{\rm reg}\supseteq U^{\rm reg}_{X,k}$ with equality in case $n>1$, and that for every $\xi\in C^{k-1}_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)^\circ_{\rm reg}$ the set $(\mathfrak{E}_\xi)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma_0})$ is a C^{k-2} submanifold of dimension $1-n$, and so empty (in case $n>1$) and at most a finite set (in case $n=1$). Moreover, if $\xi_i\in C^{k-1}_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)^\circ_{\rm reg}$, $i=1,2$, then for a generic C^{k-1} path $\mathfrak{p}:[0,1]\to C^{k-1}_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)$ connecting ξ_0 to ξ_1 the set $\{(s,t)\in[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}\,|\,\big(\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{p}(s)\big)(t)\in\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma_0}\}$ is a C^{k-2} submanifold of $[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}$ of dimension $2-n$, and so empty for $n>2$, and at most a finite set for $n=2$.*

Since the C^{k-3}-map $h:\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0})}\to X^-_{\gamma_0}\oplus X^+_{\gamma_0}$ satisfies $h(0)=0$ and $dh(0)=0$, shrinking $\tau>0$ we can guarantee that the map

$$\mathfrak{M}:\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0})}\oplus\big(H^-_{\gamma_0}\oplus H^+_{\gamma_0}\big)\to H^-_{\gamma_0}\oplus H^+_{\gamma_0}$$

given by $\mathfrak{M}(\xi+\zeta)=\xi+h(\xi)+\zeta$ for $\xi\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0})}$ and $\zeta\in H^-_{\gamma_0}\oplus H^+_{\gamma_0}$, is a C^{k-3} diffeomorphism onto a neighborhood of 0 in $U=\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_{\gamma_0})$. So $W^{\rm reg}_{X,k}:=(\mathfrak{M})^{-1}(U^{\rm reg}_{X,k})$ is a residual subset in $\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0})}\oplus\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^+_{\gamma_0})\oplus\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0})$. As in the proof of Proposition 2.7 we can use this and Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 to prove

Proposition 3.6 **(i)** *For any $(\xi,\zeta)\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0})}\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^+_{\gamma_0})$, $\eta_1,\eta_2\in\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^-_{\gamma_0})$,*

$$(D_2\mathbf{J}(\xi,\zeta+\eta_2)-D_2\mathbf{J}(\xi,\zeta+\eta_1))[\eta_2-\eta_1]\le-\frac{a_{\gamma_0}}{2}\|\eta_2-\eta_1\|_{1,2};$$

(ii) *For any $(\xi,\zeta,\eta)\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0})}\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^+_{\gamma_0})\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^-_{\gamma_0})$ we have*

$$D_2\mathbf{J}(\xi,\zeta+\eta)[\zeta-\eta]\ge\frac{a'_{\gamma_0}}{2}\|\zeta\|^2_{1,2}+\frac{a_{\gamma_0}}{2}\|\eta\|^2_{1,2};$$

in particular $D_2\mathbf{J}(\xi,\zeta)[\zeta]\ge\frac{a'_{\gamma_0}}{2}\|\zeta\|^2_{1,2}$ for any $(\xi,\zeta)\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0})}\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^+_{\gamma_0})$.

If $\dim H^0_{\gamma_0}=0$ this result also holds with $\mathbf{J}(0,\zeta)=\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}(\zeta)$ for $\zeta\in\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^-_{\gamma_0})\oplus\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^+_{\gamma_0})$.

Step 2. Observe that the critical set of $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}$ is an one-dimensional C^{k-1} critical manifold $S:=\Phi^{-1}_{\gamma_0}\big(\mathcal{O}\cap{\rm Im}(\Phi_{\gamma_0})\big)$ containing 0 as an interior point, and that $T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{O}=\dot\gamma_0\mathbb{R}\subset T_{\gamma_0}\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$. Since $d\Phi_{\gamma_0}(0)=\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0}$ is an isomorphism there exists a unique $\zeta_0\in H_{\gamma_0}$ satisfying $\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0}(\zeta_0)=\dot\gamma_0$, that is, $\dot\gamma_0(t)=\sum_{j=1}^n\zeta_{0j}(t)e_j(t),\ \forall t\in\mathbb{R}$. Hence $\zeta_{0j}(t)=g(\dot\gamma_0(t),e_j(t))\ \forall t\in\mathbb{R}$ and $j=1,\cdots,n$. Clearly, $\zeta_0\in C^{k-1}_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)\subset X_{\gamma_0}$ and $T_0S=\mathbb{R}\zeta_0$. The normal space of S at $0\in S$ is the orthogonal complementary of $\mathbb{R}\zeta_0$ in the Hilbert space H_{γ_0}, denoted by $H_{\gamma_0,0}$. Set $X_{\gamma_0,0}=H_{\gamma_0,0}\cap X_{\gamma_0}$ and

$$\mathscr{U}=\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_{\gamma_0,0})=\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_{\gamma_0})\cap H_{\gamma_0,0},$$

moreover, define the open subset of $X_{\gamma_0,0}$

$$\mathscr{U}_X:=\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_{\gamma_0})\cap X_{\gamma_0,0}.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0,0}$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}$ to $\mathscr{U}$. Then

$$\nabla\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0,0}(\xi) = \nabla\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}(\xi) - \frac{\langle\nabla\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}(\xi),\zeta_0\rangle_{1,2}}{\|\zeta_0\|_{1,2}^2}\zeta_0, \quad \forall\xi\in\mathscr{U},$$

and so the restriction of $\nabla\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0,0}$ to $\mathscr{U}_X$, denoted by $\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0,0}$, is given by

$$\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0,0}(\xi) = \mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0}(\xi) - \frac{\langle\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0}(\xi),\zeta_0\rangle_{1,2}}{\|\zeta_0\|_{1,2}^2}\zeta_0, \quad \forall\xi\in\mathscr{U}_X. \tag{3.25}$$

By Lemma 3.1, $\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0,0}$ restricts to a C^{k-3} map from $\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0,0})\subset\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0})\cap\mathscr{U}_X$ to $X_{\gamma_0,0}$. Let $\mathcal{L}^X_{\gamma_0,0}$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{L}^X_{\gamma_0}$ to $\mathscr{U}_X$. It restricts to a C^{k-2} functional on $\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0,0})$. For each $\xi\in\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0,0})$, let $\mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0,0}(\xi)$ denote both the continuous symmetric bilinear extension form of $d^2(\mathcal{L}^X_{\gamma_0,0})(\xi)$ on $H_{\gamma_0,0}$ and the corresponding self-adjoint operator. Then

$$\mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0,0}(\xi)\eta = \mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}(\xi)\eta - \frac{\langle\mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}(\xi)\eta,\zeta_0\rangle_{1,2}}{\|\zeta_0\|_{1,2}^2}\zeta_0 \quad \forall\eta\in H_{\gamma_0,0}.$$

From this, (3.16) and (3.25) it follows that for $\xi\in\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0,0})$ and $\zeta,\eta\in X_{\gamma_0,0}$,

$$\langle d\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0,0}(\xi)[\zeta],\eta\rangle_{1,2} = d^2(\mathcal{L}^X_{\gamma_0,0})(\xi)[\zeta,\eta] = \langle\mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0,0}(\xi)\zeta,\eta\rangle_{1,2}.$$

Let $\Pi_{\gamma_0}: H_{\gamma_0}\to H_{\gamma_0,0}$ be the orthogonal projection given by

$$\Pi_{\gamma_0}\eta = \eta - \frac{\langle\eta,\zeta_0\rangle_{1,2}}{\|\zeta_0\|_{1,2}^2}\zeta_0.$$

Then $\mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0,0}(\xi) = \Pi_{\gamma_0}\circ\mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0}(\xi)|_{H_{\gamma_0,0}}$, $\forall\xi\in\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_{\gamma_0,0})$. Let $H^+_{\gamma_0,0}$, $H^-_{\gamma_0,0}$ and $H^0_{\gamma_0,0}$ be the positive definite, negative definite and null spaces of $\mathbb{B}_{\gamma_0,0}(0)$. We have

$$H^+_{\gamma_0} = H^+_{\gamma_0,0}, \quad H^-_{\gamma_0} = H^-_{\gamma_0,0} \quad\text{and}\quad H^0_{\gamma_0} = H^0_{\gamma_0,0}\oplus\mathbb{R}\zeta_0.$$

Note that $H^-_{\gamma_0,0}\subset X_{\gamma_0,0}$ and $H^0_{\gamma_0,0}\subset X_{\gamma_0,0}$. They are denoted by $X^-_{\gamma_0,0}$ and $X^0_{\gamma_0,0}$ as Banach subspaces of $X_{\gamma_0,0}$. Set $X^+_{\gamma_0,0} := X_{\gamma_0}\cap H^+_{\gamma_0,0} = X_{\gamma_0,0}\cap H^+_{\gamma_0,0}$. We obtain a direct sum decomposition of Banach spaces, $X_{\gamma_0,0} = X^0_{\gamma_0,0}\dot{+}X^+_{\gamma_0,0}\dot{+}X^-_{\gamma_0,0}$. Moreover, (3.36) and (3.37) imply

$$m^-(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O}) = \dim X^-_{\gamma_0,0} \quad\text{and}\quad m^0(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O}) = \dim X^0_{\gamma_0,0}. \tag{3.26}$$

Let h_0 be the restriction to $\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0,0})}\subset\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0})}$ of the map h in (3.19). It is a C^{k-3} map to $X^-_{\gamma_0,0}\oplus X^+_{\gamma_0,0} = X^-_{\gamma_0}\oplus X^+_{\gamma_0}$ and satisfies $h_0(0)=0$, $dh_0(0)=0$ and

$$\xi+h_0(\xi)\in\mathbf{B}_r(X_{\gamma_0,0}) \quad\text{and}\quad (I-\mathbb{P}^0_{\gamma_0,0})\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0,0}(\xi+h_0(\xi)) = 0 \tag{3.27}$$

for each $\xi\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0,0})}$ by (3.19), where $\mathbb{P}^*_{\gamma_0,0}: H_{\gamma_0,0}\to H^*_{\gamma_0,0}$, $*=0,-,+$, are the orthogonal projections. Functional $\mathbf{J}$ in (3.20) restricts to

$$\mathbf{J}_0 : \overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0,0})}\times\big(\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^-_{\gamma_0,0})\oplus\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^+_{\gamma_0,0})\big)\to\mathbb{R}, \tag{3.28}$$

that is, $\mathbf{J}_0(\xi,\zeta) = \mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0,0}(\xi+h_0(\xi)+\zeta) - \mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0,0}(\xi+h_0(\xi))$ for $\xi\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0,0})}$ and $\zeta\in\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^-_{\gamma_0,0})\oplus\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^+_{\gamma_0,0})$. It is C^{2-0}, and (3.21)-(3.21) imply

$$D_2\mathbf{J}_0(\xi,\zeta)[\eta] = \langle(I-\mathbb{P}^0_{\gamma_0,0})\nabla\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0,0}(\xi+h_0(\xi)+\zeta),\eta\rangle_{1,2}, \tag{3.29}$$

$$\mathbf{J}_0(\xi,0) = 0 \quad\text{and}\quad D_2\mathbf{J}_0(\xi,0)[\eta] = 0 \tag{3.30}$$

for every $(\xi,\zeta)\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0,0})}\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^-_{\gamma_0,0})\oplus\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^+_{\gamma_0,0})$ and $\eta\in H^+_{\gamma_0,0}+H^-_{\gamma_0,0}$. Moreover the functional $\mathcal{L}^\circ_{\gamma_0}$ in (3.23) restricts to a C^{k-2} one

$$\mathcal{L}^\circ_{\gamma_0,0}:\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0,0})}\to\mathbb{R},\ \xi\mapsto\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0}(\xi+h_0(\xi)),\tag{3.31}$$

which satisfies $d\mathcal{L}^\circ_{\gamma_0,0}(\xi)[\eta]=\langle\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0,0}(\xi+h_0(\xi)),\eta\rangle_{1,2}\ \forall\eta\in H^0_{\gamma_0,0}$, and $d^2\mathcal{L}^\circ_{\gamma_0,0}(0)=0$. From Proposition 3.6 we immediately obtain

Proposition 3.7 **(i)** *For any* $(\xi,\zeta)\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0,0})}\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^+_{\gamma_0,0})$, $\eta_1,\eta_2\in\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^-_{\gamma_0,0})$,

$$(D_2\mathbf{J}_0(\xi,\zeta+\eta_2)-D_2\mathbf{J}_0(\xi,\zeta+\eta_1))[\eta_2-\eta_1]\le-\frac{a_{\gamma_0}}{2}\|\eta_2-\eta_1\|_{1,2};$$

(ii) *For any* $(\xi,\zeta,\eta)\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0,0})}\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^+_{\gamma_0,0})\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^-_{\gamma_0,0})$ *we have*

$$D_2\mathbf{J}_0(\xi,\zeta+\eta)[\zeta-\eta]\ge\frac{a'_{\gamma_0}}{2}\|\zeta\|^2_{1,2}+\frac{a_{\gamma_0}}{2}\|\eta\|^2_{1,2};$$

in particular $D_2\mathbf{J}_0(\xi,\zeta)[\zeta]\ge\frac{a'_{\gamma_0}}{2}\|\zeta\|^2_{1,2}$ *for any* $(\xi,\zeta)\in\overline{\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^0_{\gamma_0,0})}\times\mathbf{B}_\tau(H^+_{\gamma_0,0})$.

Step 3. For $\gamma\in\mathcal{O}$ let $\mathcal{F}_\gamma=\mathcal{F}|_{N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)_\gamma}$. Note that $d\Phi_{\gamma_0}(0)=\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0}$ restricts to a Hilbert space isomorphism $\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0,0}:H_{\gamma_0,0}\to N\mathcal{O}_{\gamma_0}$. Take a positive number $\epsilon<\min\{\tau,\delta/4\}$ such that the map $\mathfrak{h}$ in (1.24) satisfies

$$u+\mathfrak{h}_{\gamma_0}(u)\in XN\mathcal{O}(\delta/2)_{\gamma_0},\quad\forall u\in\mathbf{H}^0(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0}.\tag{3.32}$$

As in Section 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{h}_{\gamma_0}(u)=\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0,0}\circ h_0(\mathfrak{I}^{-1}_{\gamma_0,0}u)\quad\forall u\in\mathbf{H}^0(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0},\\&\mathcal{L}^\circ_{\triangle\gamma_0}(u)=\mathcal{L}^\circ_{\gamma_0,0}(\mathfrak{I}^{-1}_{\gamma_0,0}u)\quad\forall u\in\mathbf{H}^0(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.33}$$

For each $\gamma\in\mathcal{O}$ define the map $\mathscr{J}_\gamma:\overline{\mathbf{H}^0(B)(\epsilon)_\gamma}\times(\mathbf{H}^-(B)(\epsilon)_\gamma\oplus\mathbf{H}^+(B)(\epsilon)_\gamma)\to\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathscr{J}_\gamma(u,v)=\mathcal{F}_\gamma(u+\mathfrak{h}_\gamma(u)+v)-\mathcal{F}_\gamma(u+\mathfrak{h}_\gamma(u)).\tag{3.34}$$

As in (2.28)-(2.31) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{F}_{\gamma_0}\circ\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0,0}=\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_0,0}\quad\text{on }\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_{\gamma_0,0}),\\&A_{\gamma_0}(\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0,0}\xi)=\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0,0}\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0,0}(\xi),\quad\forall\xi\in\mathbf{B}_{2r}(H_{\gamma_0,0})\cap X_{\gamma_0,0},\qquad(3.35)\\&dA_{\gamma_0}(0)\circ\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0,0}=\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0,0}\circ d\mathbb{A}_{\gamma_0,0}(0),\qquad(3.36)\\&\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0,0}\circ\mathbb{P}^\star_{\gamma_0,0}=\mathbf{P}^\star_{\gamma_0}\circ\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0,0},\quad\star=-,0,+.\qquad(3.37)\end{aligned}$$

It follows from these, (3.28) and (3.33) that $\mathscr{J}_{\gamma_0}(u,v)=\mathbf{J}_0(\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0,0}u,\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_0,0}v)$. Hence $\mathscr{J}_{\gamma_0}$ is C^{2-0} and (3.29)-(3.30) imply

$$\begin{aligned}&D_2\mathscr{J}_{\gamma_0}(u,v)[w]=\langle(\mathbf{P}^+_{\gamma_0}+\mathbf{P}^-_{\gamma_0})\nabla\mathcal{F}_{\gamma_0}(u+\mathfrak{h}_{\gamma_0}(u)+v),w\rangle_1,\\&\mathscr{J}_{\gamma_0}(u,0)=0\quad\text{and}\quad D_2\mathscr{J}_{\gamma_0}(u,0)[w]=0\end{aligned}$$

for every $(u,v)\in\overline{\mathbf{H}^0(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0}}\times(\mathbf{H}^-(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0}\oplus\mathbf{H}^+(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0})$ and $w\in\mathbf{H}^-(B)_{\gamma_0}+\mathbf{H}^+(B)_{\gamma_0}$. These and Proposition 3.7 immediately lead to

Proposition 3.8 **(i)** *For any* $(u,v,w_i)\in\overline{\mathbf{H}^0(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0}}\times\mathbf{H}^+(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0}\times\mathbf{H}^-(B)_{\gamma_0}$, $i=1,2$,

$$(D_2\mathscr{J}_{\gamma_0}(u,v+w_2)-D_2\mathscr{J}_{\gamma_0}(u,v+w_1))[w_2-w_1]\le-\frac{a_{\gamma_0}}{2}\|w_2-w_1\|_1.$$

(ii) *For any* $(u,v,w) \in \overline{\mathbf{H}^0(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0}} \times \mathbf{H}^+(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0} \times \mathbf{H}^-(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0}$,

$$D_2\mathscr{J}_{\gamma_0}(u,v+w)[v-w] \geq \frac{a'_{\gamma_0}}{2}\|v\|_1^2 + \frac{a_{\gamma_0}}{2}\|w\|_1^2;$$

in particular $D_2\mathscr{J}_{\gamma_0}(u,v)[v] \geq \frac{a'_{\gamma_0}}{2}\|v\|_1^2$ *for any* $(u,v) \in \overline{\mathbf{H}^0(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0}} \times \mathbf{H}^+(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0}$.

By Proposition 3.8 the functional $\mathscr{J}_{\gamma_0}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem A.1 in [36]. Then as in the proof of [36, Lemma 3.6] we obtain a $\mathbb{R}_{\gamma_0}$-invariant origin-preserving homeomorphism Υ_{γ_0} from $N\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0}$ onto a neighborhood of $0_{\gamma_0} \in N\mathcal{O}_{\gamma_0}$ and satisfies

$$\mathcal{F}_{\gamma_0} \circ \Upsilon_{\gamma_0}(u) = \|\mathbf{P}^+_{\gamma_0}u\|_1^2 - \|\mathbf{P}^-_{\gamma_0}u\|_1^2 + \mathcal{L}^\circ_{\triangle\gamma_0}(\mathbf{P}^0_{\gamma_0}u), \quad \forall u \in N\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0}.$$

Since each $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}$ may be written as $\gamma = s\cdot\gamma_0$ with some $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$((-s)\cdot u, (-s)\cdot v) \in \overline{\mathbf{H}^0(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0}} \times \big(\mathbf{H}^-(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0} \oplus \mathbf{H}^+(B)(\epsilon)_{\gamma_0}\big)$$

for $(u,v) \in \overline{\mathbf{H}^0(B)(\epsilon)_\gamma} \times (\mathbf{H}^-(B)(\epsilon)_\gamma \oplus \mathbf{H}^+(B)(\epsilon)_\gamma)$. From (3.34) we derive $\mathscr{J}_\gamma(u,v) = \mathscr{J}_{\gamma_0}((-s)\cdot u, (-s)\cdot v)$ because the map $\mathfrak{h}$ in (1.24) is $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant. Define $\Upsilon : N\mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \to N\mathcal{O}$ by $\Upsilon_{s\cdot\gamma_0}(u) = s\cdot\Upsilon_{\gamma_0}((-s)\cdot u)$ for any $u \in N\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)_{s\cdot\gamma_0}$ and any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. It is $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant fiber-preserving homeomorphism onto an open neighborhood of $\mathcal{O}$ in $N\mathcal{O}$ and satisfies $\mathcal{F}\circ\Upsilon(u) = \|\mathbf{P}^+u\|_1^2 - \|\mathbf{P}^-u\|_1^2 + \mathcal{L}^\circ_\triangle(\mathbf{P}^0u)$ for all $u \in N\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$. □

4 Proofs of Corollary 1.11 and Theorem 1.12

Proof of Corollary 1.11. We only need to check that the conditions (i)-(iv) of Theorem 1.9 are satisfied with $\tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda(S,\mathbb{I}_g)$ and $m = 1$.

Observe that $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} := \mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g) \cap \tilde{\Lambda} = \mathcal{X}(S,\mathbb{I}_g)$. So it is a Banach submanifold of $\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$. Since S is a totally geodesic submanifold of (M,g) it is easily seen that the condition (ii) holds.

Let us prove that the condition (iii) is satisfied, i.e.,

$$\nabla(\mathcal{L}\circ\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}_\gamma)(\xi) = \nabla\mathcal{F}_\gamma(\xi) \quad \forall(\gamma,\xi) \in \tilde{N}\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon). \tag{4.1}$$

Define a map $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g : \Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g) \to \Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$ by $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g(\beta)(t) = \mathbb{I}_g(\beta(t)),\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, for $\beta \in \Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$. We claim that it is a Riemannian isometry on $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$, that is,

$$\langle d\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g(\beta)[\xi], d\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g(\beta)[\eta]\rangle_1 = \langle\xi,\eta\rangle_1, \quad \forall\beta \in \Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g),\ \forall\xi,\eta \in T_\beta\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}). \tag{4.2}$$

Let $\alpha = \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g(\beta)$. Note that $d\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g(\beta)[\xi] \in T_\alpha\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$ is given by

$$d\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g(\beta)[\xi](t) = d\mathbb{I}_g(\beta(t))[\xi(t)]\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $\mathbb{I}_g$ is an isometry on (M,g) we have $d\mathbb{I}_g(x)\big(\nabla^g_v X\big) = \nabla^g_{d\mathbb{I}_g(x)[v]}\big(\mathbb{I}_{g*}X\big)\big)$ for any $v \in T_xM$ and any differentiable vector field X near x. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle d\mathbb{I}_g(\beta(t))[\xi(t)], d\mathbb{I}_g(\beta(t))[\eta(t)]\rangle = \langle\xi(t),\eta(t)\rangle \quad \forall t\in\mathbb{R},\\
&\langle(\nabla^g_\alpha\mathbb{I}_{g*}\xi)(t)), (\nabla^g_\alpha\mathbb{I}_{g*}\eta)(t))\rangle = \langle(\nabla^g_\beta\xi)(t), (\nabla^g_\beta\xi)(t)\rangle \quad \forall t\in\mathbb{R},
\end{aligned}$$

which imply (4.2).

Let G be the subgroup of $I(M,g)$ generated by $\mathbb{I}_g^k$, i.e., $G = \{\mathbb{I}_g^{lk} \mid \pm l \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}\}$ and let $\tilde{G} = \{\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g^{lk} \mid \pm l \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}\}$. The latter is a subgroup of the isometry group of $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$ and $\mathrm{Fix}(\tilde{\mathbb{I}}^k)$ is exactly the set of points fixed under the action of $\tilde{G}$.

Observe that $\Lambda(S,\mathbb{I}_g)$ is a connected component of $\mathrm{Fix}(\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g^k)$ containing γ_0. (In fact, it is a totally geodesic submanifold of $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$ by [51, Cor.5.4.2]). For any $\gamma\in\Lambda(S,\mathbb{I}_g)$ we have

$$T_\gamma\Lambda(S,\mathbb{I}_g)=\{\xi\in T_\gamma\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)\,|\,d\tilde{\mathbb{I}}^k(\gamma)[\xi]=\xi\}.$$

By (4.2) $d\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g^k(\gamma)$ restricts to a Hilbert space isometry from $N\mathcal{O}_\gamma$ onto itself, denoted by $d\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g^k(\gamma)'$, whose fixed point set is $\tilde{N}\mathcal{O}_\gamma$. Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{EXP}(\gamma,d\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g^k(\gamma)'[\xi])(t) &= \exp_{\gamma(t)}\Big(d\mathbb{I}_g^k(\gamma(t))[\xi(t)]\Big)\\ &= \exp_{\mathbb{I}_g^k\gamma(t)}\Big(d\mathbb{I}_g^k(\gamma(t))[\xi(t)]\Big)=\mathbb{I}_g^k\Big(\exp_{\gamma(t)}\xi(t)\Big)\ \forall t\in\mathbb{R}\end{aligned}$$

for any $\xi\in N\mathcal{O}_\gamma$, we deduce that $\mathcal{F}_\gamma$ is $d\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g^k(\gamma)'$-invariant. By the argument of [50, Section 2], for any $\xi\in N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)_\gamma$ and $l\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ we have

$$(d\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g^k(\gamma)')^l\big(\nabla\mathcal{F}_\gamma(\xi)\big)=\nabla\mathcal{F}_\gamma((d\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g^k(\gamma)')^l\xi).$$

In particular, for any $\xi\in\tilde{N}\mathcal{O}_\gamma$ we have $d\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g^k(\gamma)'\big(\nabla\mathcal{F}_\gamma(\xi)\big)=\nabla\mathcal{F}_\gamma(\xi)$ and hence $\nabla\mathcal{F}_\gamma(\xi)\in\tilde{N}\mathcal{O}_\gamma$. This implies (4.1), because $\mathcal{L}\circ\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}_\gamma(\xi)=\mathcal{F}_\gamma(\xi),\ \forall(\gamma,\xi)\in\tilde{N}\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$.

Finally, we check that condition (iv) is satisfied. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g)})(\gamma_0)\big)=\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2\big(\mathcal{L}\circ\mathrm{EXP}|_{T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g)(\varepsilon)}\big)(0)\big)\\ (\text{resp.}\quad &\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}(S,\mathbb{I}_g)})(\gamma_0)\big)=\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2\big(\mathcal{L}\circ\mathrm{EXP}|_{T_{\gamma_0}\mathcal{X}(S,\mathbb{I}_g)(\varepsilon)}\big)(0)\big)\ )\end{aligned}$$

consists of $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant Jacobi fields on (M,F) (resp. $(S,F|_S)$) along γ_0. For $\xi\in\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}(S,\mathbb{I}_g)})(\gamma_0)\big)$ there is a geodesic variation H of γ_0 on $(S,F|_S)$ whose variation field is equal to ξ. Since $\mathbb{I}_g\in I(M,F)$ implies that S is also a totally geodesic submanifold of (M,F), H is also a geodesic variation of γ_0 on (M,F) and hence ξ is a Jacobi field on (M,F) along γ_0, that is, $\xi\in\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g)})(\gamma_0)\big)$. It follows that $\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}(S,\mathbb{I}_g)})(\gamma_0)\big)\subset\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g)})(\gamma_0)\big)$ and so $\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}(S,\mathbb{I}_g)})(\gamma_0)\big)=\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g)})(\gamma_0)\big)$ because $m^0(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O})=m^0(\mathcal{L}|_{\Lambda(S,\mathbb{I}_g)},\mathcal{O})$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.12. Note that the iteration map φ_m gives not only Riemannian isometry (up to the factor m^2) embedding from $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$ into $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)$, but also a Banach manifold embedding from $\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$ into $\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)$. So $\tilde{\Lambda}:=\varphi_m\big(\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)\big)$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}=\varphi_m\big(\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g)\big)$) is a Hilbert-Riemannian (resp. Banach) submanifold of $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)$ (resp. $\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)$). Moreover $\mathcal{L}\circ\varphi_m=m^2\mathcal{L}$ because F is $\mathbb{I}_g$-invariant as we assumed below Theorem 1.5. It follows that the diffeomorphism $\varphi_m:\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)\to\tilde{\Lambda}$ induces isomorphisms

$$(\varphi_m)_*:\mathscr{H}_*^0(\mathcal{L},\gamma;\mathbb{K})\to\hat{\mathscr{H}}_*^0(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\Lambda}},\gamma^m;\mathbb{K}),\quad\forall\gamma\in\mathcal{O},\tag{4.3}$$

$$(\varphi_m)_*:C_*(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O};\mathbb{K})\to C_*(\mathcal{L}|_{\tilde{\Lambda}},\mathcal{O}^m;\mathbb{K}).\tag{4.4}$$

It remains to check that the conditions (ii)-(iv) in Theorem 1.9 are satisfied. From the definition of EXP^m in (1.34) it is easily seen that (ii) holds. The other two ones can be proved in similar way to that of (6.13) and (6.15) in [37]. Let us see them in detail.

Since $D\varphi_m(x):T_x\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)\to T_{x^m}\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)$ is given by $D\varphi_m(x)[v]=v^m$, where $v^m(t)=v(mt)\ \forall t\in\mathbb{R}$, we have $(D\varphi_m(x)[\xi],D\varphi_m(x)[\eta])_m=m^2\langle\xi,\eta\rangle_1$ for any $\xi,\eta\in T_x\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g)$, and so $D\varphi_m(x)\big(N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon/m)_x\big)=\tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon)_{x^m}\ \forall x\in\mathcal{O}$. Moreover $\mathcal{L}\circ\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}_{x^m}(v^m)=m^2\mathcal{F}_x(v)\ \forall v\in N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)_x$. We can deduce that

$$\hat{\nabla}(\mathcal{L}\circ\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}_{x^m})(v^m)=m\nabla\mathcal{F}_x(v)\quad\forall(x,v)\in N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon/m).\tag{4.5}$$

Consider the isometric action on $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon)_{x^m}$ of group $\mathbb{Z}_m=\{e^{2\pi ip/m}\,|\,p=0,\cdots,m-1\}$ given by $(e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot u)(t):=d\mathbb{I}_g^{-p}\big(x^m(t+p/m)\big)[u(t+p/m)]$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$ and $p=0,\cdots,m-1$.

Indeed, for $u \in \hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon)_{x^m}$ we have $u(t) \in T_{x^m(t)}M = T_{x(mt)}M$. Since $\mathbb{I}_g(x(t)) = x(t+1)\ \forall t$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathbb{I}_g^{-p}\big(x^m(t+p/m)\big)[u(t+p/m)] &= d\mathbb{I}_g^{-p}\big(x(mt+p)\big)[u(t+p/m)] \\ &= d\mathbb{I}_g^{-p}\big(\mathbb{I}_g^p(x(mt))\big)[u(t+p/m)] \in T_{x^m(t)}M. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover a straightforward computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_g^m(e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot u)(t) := d\mathbb{I}_g^m(x^m(t))[(e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot u)(t)] \\ &= d\mathbb{I}_g^m(x^m(t))\big[d\mathbb{I}_g^{-p}\big(x^m(t+p/m)\big)[u(t+p/m)]\big] \\ &= d\mathbb{I}_g^m(x^m(t))\circ d\mathbb{I}_g^{-p}\big(x^m(t+p/m)\big)[u(t+p/m)] \\ &= d\mathbb{I}_g^{-p}(x^m(t+1+p/m))\circ d\mathbb{I}_g^m\big(x^m(t+p/m)\big)[u(t+p/m)] \\ &= d\mathbb{I}_g^{-p}(x^m(t+1+p/m))[u(t+1+p/m)] \\ &= (e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot u)(t+1)\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot u \in \hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon)_{x^m}$. If $e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot u = u$, $p = 0, \cdots, m-1$, then

$$d\mathbb{I}_g^{-p}\big(x^m(t+p/m)\big)[u(t+p/m)] = u(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},\ p = 0, \cdots, m-1,$$

and in particular we have $d\mathbb{I}_g\big(x^m(t)\big)[u(t)] = u(t+1/m)$, which implies $v(t) := u(t/m)$ to give an element of $N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon/m)_x$ with $v^m = u$. Hence $\tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m(\varepsilon)_{x^m}$ is exactly the fixed point set of the above $\mathbb{Z}_m$-action. It is not hard to see that the functional $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{x^m}$ is invariant under this $\mathbb{Z}_m$-action. By the argument on the page 23 of [50] we obtain

$$e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot\hat{\nabla}\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{x^m}(v^m) = \hat{\nabla}\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{x^m}(v^m) \quad \forall (x,v) \in N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon/m),\ p = 0, \cdots, m-1.$$

This implies $\hat{\nabla}\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{x^m}(v^m) \in \tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{x^m}$, and so

$$\hat{\nabla}\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{x^m}(v^m) = \hat{\nabla}(\mathcal{L}\circ\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}_{x^m})(v^m), \quad \forall (x,v) \in N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon/m). \tag{4.6}$$

because of (4.5) and $\mathcal{L}\circ\widetilde{\mathrm{EXP}}_{x^m} = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{x^m}|_{\tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{x^m}}$. The condition (iii) is satisfied.

Finally, we prove (iv) under the assumption $m^0(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O}^m) = m^0(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O})$. Note that

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}^X_{x^m}(se^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot v) = \hat{\mathcal{F}}^X_{x^m}(sv)$$

for a given $v \in X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{x^m}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|s|$ small enough. Taking the second derivative of both sides at $s = 0$ we obtain

$$d^2\hat{\mathcal{F}}^X_{x^m}(0)[e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot v, e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot v] = d^2\hat{\mathcal{F}}^X_{x^m}(0)[v,v]$$

and hence $d^2\hat{\mathcal{F}}^X_{x^m}(0)[e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot u, e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot v] = d^2\hat{\mathcal{F}}^X_{x^m}(0)[u,v]\ \forall u,v \in X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{x^m}$, which leads, by the density of $X\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{x^m}$ in $\hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{x^m}$, to

$$(\hat{B}_{x^m}e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot u, e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot v)_m = (\hat{B}_{x^m}u, v)_m \quad \forall u,v \in \hat{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{x^m}.$$

It follows that $\hat{B}_{x^m}e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot u = e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot(\hat{B}_{x^m}u)$, $p = 0,1,\cdots,m$, and in particular,

$$\hat{B}_{x^m}u = e^{2\pi ip/m}\cdot(\hat{B}_{x^m}u) \quad \forall u \in \tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{x^m},\ p = 0,1,\cdots,m.$$

These show that $\hat{B}_{x^m}u \in \tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{x^m}$, that is,

$$\hat{B}_{x^m}(\tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{x^m}) \subset \tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{x^m}. \tag{4.7}$$

On the other hand, (4.5) and (4.6) imply $\hat{A}_{x^m}(D\varphi_m(x)[v]) = D\varphi_m(x)[A_x(v)]$ for any $(x,v) \in N\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon/m) \cap XN\mathcal{O}$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}(\hat{A}_{x^m}(D\varphi_m(x)[v+su]), D\varphi_m(x)u)_m &= (D\varphi_m(x)[A_x(v+su)], D\varphi_m(x)u)_m \\ &= m^2\langle A_x(v+su), u\rangle_1\end{aligned}$$

for a given $u \in XN\mathcal{O}_x$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|s|$ small enough. Taking derivatives at $s=0$ and $v=0$ we obtain $d^2\hat{\mathcal{F}}^X_{x^m}(0)[v^m,u^m] = m^2 d^2\mathcal{F}^X_x(0)[v,u]$, and then as above arrive at

$$(\hat{B}_{x^m}v^m, u^m)_m = m^2\langle B_x v, u\rangle_1 \quad \forall u, v \in N\mathcal{O}_x.$$

This and (4.7) imply that $D\varphi_m(x)(\mathrm{Ker}(B_x)) \subset \mathrm{Ker}(\hat{B}_{x^m})\ \forall x \in \mathcal{O}$, and thus

$$D\varphi_m(\gamma_0)\big(\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2\hat{\mathcal{F}}^X_{\gamma_0}(0)\big)\big) \subset \mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2\hat{\mathcal{F}}^X_{\gamma_0^m}(0)\big). \tag{4.8}$$

Since $\dim \mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2\hat{\mathcal{F}}^X_{\gamma_0^m}(0)\big) = m^0(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O}^m)+1 = m^0(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{O})+1 = \dim \mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2\hat{\mathcal{F}}^X_{\gamma_0}(0)\big)$ is finite and $D\varphi_m(\gamma_0)$ is a linear injection, from (4.8) we derive

$$\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2\hat{\mathcal{F}}^X_{\gamma_0^m}(0)\big) = D\varphi_m(\gamma_0)\big(\mathbf{H}^0\big(d^2\hat{\mathcal{F}}^X_{\gamma_0}(0)\big)\big) \subset \tilde{N}\mathcal{O}^m_{\gamma_0^m} \subset T_{\gamma_0^m}\tilde{\Lambda}.$$

The condition (iv) is satisfied.

Observe that $\varphi_m : \Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g) \to \Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)$ is the composition of the diffeomorphism $\varphi_m : \Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g) \to \tilde{\Lambda}$ and the inclusion $\tilde{\Lambda} \hookrightarrow \Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}_g^m)$. The expected conclusions follow from (4.3)-(4.4) and Theorem 1.9 immediately. □

5 Propositions and lemmas

Proposition 5.1 *For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k>1$, let $(\mathcal{H}, ((\cdot,\cdot)))$ be a C^k Hilbert-Riemannian manifold modeled on H, and let $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}})$ be a C^k Banach-Finsler manifold modeled on X. Suppose also that $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and the inclusion $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is C^k-smooth. Then for a compact C^k submanifold $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathcal{H}$, which is also contained in $\mathcal{X}$, we have*
(i) *$\mathcal{O}$ is also a C^k-smooth submanifold of $\mathcal{X}$, and $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ induce an equivalent C^k-smooth manifold structure (including topology) on $\mathcal{O}$;*
(ii) *For the orthogonal normal bundle $N\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to the metric $((\cdot,\cdot))$, $XN\mathcal{O} := (T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X}) \cap N\mathcal{O}$ is a C^{k-1} subbundle of $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X}$;*
(iii) *If $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{O}$ is a C^{k-1} subbundle of $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{H}$ contained in $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X}$ then it is also a C^{k-1} subbundle of $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X}$; furthermore for the orthogonal complementary bundle $\mathcal{F}^\perp$ of $\mathcal{F}$ in $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{H}$ the bundle $X\mathcal{F}^\perp := \mathcal{F}^\perp \cap T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X}$ is also a C^1 subbundle of $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X}$*

Proof. (i) Since the inclusion $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is C^k-smooth, the identity map $\mathcal{X} \supset \mathcal{O} \ni x \mapsto x \in \mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is an injective immersion with respect to the induced submanifold structures from $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ respectively. So it is a diffeomorphism by the compactness of $\mathcal{O}$ and Proposition 3.3.4 in [39, Page 149]. The first claim is proved.

(ii) Let Π be the orthogonal bundle projection from $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{H}$ onto $T\mathcal{O}$ with respect to $((\cdot,\cdot))$. It is a C^{k-1} $\mathcal{O}$-bundle morphism. By the assumption the inclusion $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{H}$ is a C^{k-1}-smooth $\mathcal{O}$-bundle morphism. This and (i) assure that the composition $\tilde{\Pi}$ of $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{H}$ and $\Pi : T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{H} \to T\mathcal{O}$ is a C^{k-1} $\mathcal{O}$-vector bundle morphism with kernel $XN\mathcal{O}$. For any $x \in \mathcal{O}$ assume that the sequence $(v_n) \subset XN\mathcal{O}_x = T_x\mathcal{X} \cap N\mathcal{O}_x$ converges to $v \in T_x\mathcal{X}$ in $T_x\mathcal{X}$, i.e., $\|v_n - v\|_{\mathcal{X},x} \to 0$. Then $v \in N\mathcal{O}_x$ because the inclusion $T_x\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow T_x\mathcal{H}$ is continuous and

$$|((v,u))_x| = |((v_n,u)) - ((v,u))_x| \le \|v_n - v\|_x \cdot \|u\|_x \to 0 \quad \forall u \in T_x\mathcal{O}.$$

Thus $T_x\mathcal{X}\cap N\mathcal{O}_x$ is a closed subspace of $T_x\mathcal{X}$. Observe that it also splits $T_x\mathcal{X}$ because of the topological direct sum decomposition $T_x\mathcal{X}=T_x\mathcal{O}\oplus(T_x\mathcal{X}\cap N\mathcal{O}_x)$. That is, for each $x\in\mathcal{O}$ the continuous linear map $\tilde{\Pi}_x: T_x\mathcal{X}\to T_x\mathcal{O}$ is surjective and has a kernel that splits. From Proposition 6 of [34, Page 52] we derive that the sequence $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X}\stackrel{\tilde{\Pi}}{\to}T\mathcal{O}\to 0$ is exact. Then we obtain that $XN\mathcal{O}$ is a C^{k-1} subbundle of $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X}$ by the argument above Proposition 5 in [34, Page 51].

(iii) The first claim may be obtained as in the proof of (ii) since $\mathcal{F}$ (as a subset of $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X}$) is the kernel of the composition of C^1 bundle morphisms $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X}\hookrightarrow T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{H}$ and the orthogonal bundle projection $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$. As to the second one note that $X\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ is the kernel of the restriction to $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{X}$ of the orthogonal bundle projection $T_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{F}$. □

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathbf{E}$ be as in (2.7). Since $d\mathbf{E}(t,\xi)[s,\eta]=(s,\eta(t),\dot{\eta}(t))$ for $s\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\eta\in C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbb{R}^n)=T_\xi C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, it is easily checked that $\mathbf{E}$ is a submersion. In particular, it is transversal to the submanifold $\mathcal{Z}\subset I\times\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\mathbb{R}^n$, and hence for each $(t,\xi)\in\mathbf{E}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})$ the tangent space

$$T_{(t,\xi)}\mathbf{E}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})=(d\mathbf{E}(t,\xi))^{-1}(T_{\check{\xi}(t)}\mathcal{Z})$$

has codimension n in $\mathbb{R}\times T_\xi C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. By Lemma 8.5.2 on the page 409 of [39] the restriction $\mathbf{P}$ of the natural projection $\mathrm{P}_2: I\times C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))\to C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ to $\mathbf{E}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})$ is a C^k Fredholm operator with $\mathrm{ind}(\mathbf{P})=1-n$. Since $k\geq 2$ the Sard-Smale theorem concludes that all regular values of $\mathbf{P}$ forms a residual subset $C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{\circ}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ in $C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Note that $\xi\in C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ sits in $C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{\circ}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ if and only if the map $\mathbf{E}_\xi$ is transversal to the submanifold $\mathcal{Z}$, and in this case $\mathbf{E}_\xi^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})=\mathbf{P}^{-1}(\xi)$ is a C^k submanifold of dimension $1-n$. If $n>1$ we obtain that $\xi\in C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ belongs to $C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{\circ}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ if and only if $\mathbf{E}_\xi^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})=\mathbf{P}^{-1}(\xi)=\emptyset$, and so $C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{\circ}_{\mathrm{reg}}=C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\mathrm{reg}}$. The first two conclusions follow immediately.

Let $\xi_i\in C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{\circ}_{\mathrm{reg}}$, $i=1,2$. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{k+1}(\xi_0,\xi_1)$ the set of all C^{k+1} path $\mathbb{p}:[0,1]\to C^{k+1}_V(I,\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ connecting ξ_0 to ξ_1. As above we may prove that the C^k map

$$[0,1]\times I\times\mathcal{P}_{k+1}(\xi_0,\xi_1)\to I\times\mathbf{B}_{2\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\mathbb{R}^n,\ (s,t,\mathbb{p})\mapsto\mathbf{E}(t,\mathbb{p}(s))$$

is a submersion, and in particular transversal to the submanifold $\mathcal{Z}$. Hence we get a residual subset $\mathcal{P}_{k+1}(\xi_0,\xi_1)_{\mathrm{reg}}\subset\mathcal{P}_{k+1}(\xi_0,\xi_1)$ such that for every $\mathbb{p}\in\mathcal{P}_{k+1}(\xi_0,\xi_1)_{\mathrm{reg}}$ the set $\{(s,t)\in[0,1]\times I\,|\,\mathbf{E}(t,\mathbb{p}(s))\in\mathcal{Z}\}$ is a C^k submanifold of $[0,1]\times I$ of dimension $2-n$, and so empty for $n>2$, and at most a finite set for $n=2$. These lead to the third conclusion. □

We need the following special version of the omega lemma by Piccione and Tausk [53, Theorem 4.3].

Lemma 5.2 *Let N_1 and N_2 be two manifolds of class C^{m+l} ($m\geq 2$ and $l\geq 1$) and let $T:N_1\to N_2$ be a C^{m+l} map. Then for $I=[0,1]$ the maps*

(i) *$H^1(I,N_1)\to H^1(I,N_1)$, $\gamma\mapsto T\circ\gamma$, is C^{m-1} with $l=2$,*

(ii) *$C^1(I,N_1)\to C^1(I,N_1)$, $\gamma\mapsto T\circ\gamma$, is C^{m-1} with $l=2$,*

(iii) *$C^0(I,N_1)\to C^0(I,N_1)$, $\gamma\mapsto T\circ\gamma$, is C^{m-1} with $l=1$.*

Proof of Lemma 2.3. The first two claims and C^1 smoothness of $\tilde{A}$ can be proved as in the proof of [35, Lemma 3.2]. The last one will be proved as follows. Consider the smooth maps

$$\mathfrak{V}:\mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)\to C^0(I,I\times B_{2r}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\mathbb{R}^n),\ \xi\mapsto\check{\xi},$$
$$\mathfrak{K}_j:C^0(I,\mathbb{R}^n)\to C^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n),\ \xi\mapsto\mathfrak{K}(\xi),\ j=1,2,3$$

where $\mathfrak{K}_1(\xi)(t) = \int_0^t \xi(s)ds$, $\mathfrak{K}_2(\xi)(t) = \int_0^t e^s\xi(s)ds$, and $\mathfrak{K}_3(\xi)(t) = \int_0^t e^{-2s}\xi(s)ds$. By Lemma 5.2(iii) we have also the C^{k-3} maps

$$\mathfrak{L}_q : C^0(I, I \times B_{2r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n) \to C^0(I, \mathbb{R}^n),\ \eta \mapsto \partial_q \tilde{L} \circ \eta,$$
$$\mathfrak{L}_v : C^0(I, I \times B_{2r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n) \to C^0(I, \mathbb{R}^n),\ \eta \mapsto \partial_v \tilde{L} \circ \eta$$

induced by the C^{k-1} maps $\partial_q\tilde{L}, \partial_v\tilde{L} : I \times B_{2r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, respectively. Then from (2.11) and (2.12) we deduce for any $\xi \in \mathbf{B}_{2r}(X_V)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}(\xi)(t) &= e^t\big(-\mathfrak{K}_3 \circ \mathfrak{K}_2 \circ \mathfrak{L}_q \circ \mathfrak{V}(\xi) + \mathfrak{K}_3 \circ \mathfrak{K}_2 \circ \mathfrak{K}_1 \circ \mathfrak{L}_v \circ \mathfrak{V}(\xi)\big)(t) \\ &\quad + \big(\mathfrak{K}_1 \circ \mathfrak{L}_v \circ \mathfrak{V}(\xi)\big)(t) + c_1e^t + c_2e^{-t}, \quad \forall t \in I.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tilde{A}$ is of class C^{k-3}. □

6 Proof of Theorem 1.15

6.1 Basic formulas

In this section we first derive the second variation of the energy under our boundary condition from recent work [29, 30, 31] by Javaloyes and Soares. Let us begin with a briefly review about the Chern connection and covariant derivative. Let (x^i, y^i) be the canonical coordinates on TM associated to local coordinates (x^i) on M. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{ij}(x,y) = g^F(x,y)[\partial_{x^i}, \partial_{x^j}]$ and matrices $(\mathfrak{g}^{ij}(x,y)) = (\mathfrak{g}_{ij}(x,y))^{-1}$. Following [4, Chapter 2] the Cartan tensor $\mathcal{C}^F$ of F has components given by

$$\mathcal{C}_{ijm}(x,y) = \mathcal{C}^F_y\left(\partial_{x^i}, \partial_{x^j}, \partial_{x^m}\right) = \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^i \partial y^j \partial y^m} F^2\left(x, y^l\partial_{x^l}|_x\right). \tag{6.1}$$

Set $\mathcal{C}^i_{jm} := \mathfrak{g}^{il}\mathcal{C}_{ljm}$, $\gamma^i_{jm}(x,y) := \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{g}^{is}\left(\partial_{x^m}\mathfrak{g}_{sj} - \partial_{x^s}\mathfrak{g}_{jm} + \partial_{x^j}\mathfrak{g}_{ms}\right)$ and

$$N^i_j(x,y) := \gamma^i_{jm}(x,y)y^m - \mathcal{C}^i_{jm}(x,y)\gamma^m_{rs}(x,y)y^r y^s.$$

The Chern connection is a linear connection ∇ on the pulled-back bundle $\pi^*(TM)$ over $TM \setminus 0_{TM}$ with (C^{k-3}) Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma^i_{jm}(x,y) = \gamma^i_{jm} - \mathfrak{g}^{il}\left(\mathcal{C}_{ljs}N^s_m - \mathcal{C}_{jms}N^s_i + \mathcal{C}_{mls}N^s_j\right), \tag{6.2}$$

that is, $\nabla_{\partial_{x^i}}\partial_{x^j}(x,y) = \Gamma^i_{jm}(x,y)\partial_{x^m}$. Let $R_j{}^i{}_{ml}(x,y)$ be defined by [4, (3.3.2)], and

$$P_j{}^i{}_{ml}(x,y) = -\partial_{\partial y^l}\Gamma^i{}_{jm}(x,y).$$

For $y \in T_xM \setminus \{0\}$ the trilinear map R_y (resp. P_y) from $T_xM \times T_xM \times T_xM$ to T_xM given by $R_y(v,u)w = v^m u^l w^j R_j{}^i{}_{ml}(x,y)\partial_{x^i}|_x$ (resp. $P_y(v,u,w) = v^j u^m w^l P_j{}^i{}_{ml}(x,y)\partial_{x^i}|_x$) define the Chern-Riemannian curvature tensor (or hh-curvature tensor [4, Exercise 3.9.6]) R_Y and the Chern-Nonriemannian curvature tensor (or the Chern curvature [56, Page 112]) P_Y with every nowhere vanishing vector field Y on an open subset of M. According to [40, §2] (see [56, §5.2] and [55] for details), for each nowhere vanishing C^{k-2} vector field V on an open subset $\Omega \subset M$ the Chern connection of (M,F) at V in $\Omega \subset M$ is the unique affine connection ∇^V on $T\Omega$ which is torsion-free and almost g_V-compatible, where $g_V(x) = \mathfrak{g}^F(x, V(x))\ \forall x \in \Omega$. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}^l_{ij}$ be the formal Christoffel symbols of ∇^V in the coordinates (x^i), i.e. $\nabla^V_{\partial_{x^i}}\partial_{x^j}(x) = \tilde{\Gamma}^l_{ij}(x)\partial_{x^l}\ \forall i,j$. Then $\tilde{\Gamma}^i_{jl}(x) = \Gamma^i_{jl}(x, V(x))$. The curvature tensor R^V of ∇^V is defined by $R^V(X,Y)Z = \nabla^V_X\nabla^V_Y Z - \nabla^V_Y\nabla^V_X Z - \nabla^V_{[X,Y]}Z$ for vector fields X, Y, Z in Ω. It and R_V, P_V are related by [30, Theorem 2.1].

For a $W^{1,2}_{\rm loc}$ curve c from I or $\mathbb{R}$ to M, and $l \in \{0,1\}$ let $W^{l,2}_{\rm loc}(c^*TM)$ denote the space of all $W^{l,2}_{\rm loc}$ vector fields along c. Then $\dot c \in L^2_{\rm loc}(c^*TM) := W^{0,2}_{\rm loc}(c^*TM)$. Let (x^i, y^i) be the canonical coordinates around $\dot c(t) \in TM$. Write $\dot c(t) = \dot c^i(t)\partial_{x^i}|_{c(t)}$ and $\zeta(t) = \zeta^i(t)\partial_{x^i}|_{c(t)}$ for $\zeta \in W^{1,2}_{\rm loc}(c^*TM)$. Given a nowhere vanishing $\xi \in C^0(c^*TM)$ the covariant derivative of ζ along c (with ξ as reference vector) is defined by

$$D^\xi_{\dot c}\zeta(t) := \big(\dot\zeta^m(t) + \zeta^i(t)\dot c^j(t)\Gamma^m_{ij}(c(t), \xi(t))\big)\partial_{x^m}|_{c(t)}. \tag{6.3}$$

$D^\xi_{\dot c}\zeta$ belongs to $L^2_{\rm loc}$, and sits in $C^{\min\{k-3,r\}}(c^*TM)$ provided c is of class C^{r+1}, $\zeta \in C^{r+1}(c^*TM)$ and $\xi \in C^r(c^*TM)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0,\infty\}$. $D^\xi_{\dot c}\zeta(t)$ depends only on $\xi(t)$, $\dot c(t)$ and behavior of ζ near t; and $D^\xi_{\dot c}\zeta(t) = \nabla^{\tilde\xi}_{\dot c}\tilde\zeta(c(t))$ if $\dot c(t) \neq 0$ and $\tilde\xi$ and $\tilde\zeta$ are any extensions of ξ and ζ near $c(t)$. When the above ξ belongs to $W^{1,2}_{\rm loc}(c^*TM)$, $D^\xi_{\dot c}$ is almost g_ξ-compatible, that is, for any $\eta, \zeta \in W^{1,2}_{\rm loc}(c^*TM)$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}g_\xi(\zeta,\eta) = g_\xi\big(D^\xi_{\dot c}\zeta, \eta\big) + g_\xi\big(\zeta, D^\xi_{\dot c}\eta\big) + 2\mathcal{C}^F_\xi\big(D^\xi_{\dot c}\xi, \zeta, \eta\big) \quad \text{a.e.} \tag{6.4}$$

(cf. [29, (4)]). If c is C^2 and regular, then c is a constant speed geodesic if and only if $D^{\dot c}_{\dot c}\dot c(t) \equiv 0$. For $\gamma \in \Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})$ and a nowhere vanishing $\xi \in T_\gamma\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})$ we have also

$$D^\xi_{\dot\gamma}(\mathbb{I}_*\eta)(t) = \mathbb{I}_*\big(D^\xi_{\dot\gamma}\eta(t)\big) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R},\ \forall \eta \in W^{1,2}_{\rm loc}(\gamma^*TM), \tag{6.5}$$

which implies $D^\xi_{\dot\gamma}\zeta(t+1) = \mathbb{I}_*\big(D^\xi_{\dot\gamma}\zeta(t)\big)$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for every $\zeta \in T_\gamma\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})$.

Recall that F is of class C^k, $k \geq 5$. $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}}$ is C^{k-2} by Lemma 2.3. Each nontrivial critical point γ of $\mathcal{L}$ in $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})$ is a nonconstant C^k smooth $\mathbb{I}$-invariant geodesic of constant speed. For $u, w \in T_{\gamma(t)}M$ let V be an extension of $\dot\gamma(t)$, and let U and W be extensions of u and w onto an open neighborhood of $\gamma(t)$, respectively; and define $R^{\dot\gamma(t)}(\dot\gamma(t), u)w := (R^V(V,U)W)(\gamma(t))$. The right side is independent of the chosen extensions.

Proposition 6.1 *For a nontrivial critical point γ of $\mathcal{L}$ and any $\xi, \eta \in T_\gamma\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}})(\gamma)[\xi,\eta] &= \int_0^1 \big(g_{\dot\gamma}\big(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi(t), D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\eta(t)\big) - g_{\dot\gamma}\big(R^{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma,\xi)\eta, \dot\gamma\big)\big)dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \big(g_{\dot\gamma}\big(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi(t), D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\eta(t)\big) - g_{\dot\gamma}\big(R^{\dot\gamma}(\xi,\dot\gamma)\dot\gamma, \eta\big)\big)dt. \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

This formula can also be seen on the pages 35-36 of [40].

Proof of Proposition 6.1. Firstly, we assume that $\xi \in T_\gamma\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})$ is C^3 and has no zeroes. Taking a C^3 variation $\Gamma : \mathbb{R}\times(-\epsilon,\epsilon) \to M$, $\Gamma = \Gamma(t,s)$ of the curve $\gamma = \Gamma_0 = \Gamma(\cdot,0)$ such that $U(\cdot,s) := \partial_s\Gamma(\cdot,s) \in T_{\Gamma_s}\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}) \cap C^2(\Gamma_s^*TM)$ and $U(t,0) = \xi(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $s \in (-\epsilon,\epsilon)$, where $\Gamma_s(t) := \Gamma(t,s)$. We can assume that each Γ_s is regular by shrinking $\epsilon > 0$ and that each $\Gamma^t : (-\epsilon,\epsilon) \to M$ given by $\Gamma^t(s) = \Gamma(t,s)$ is regular. Then $T := \partial_t\Gamma$ and $U = \partial_s\Gamma$ are C^2 vector fields along Γ without any zeros. For every fixed t or s we have covariant derivatives along Γ^t or Γ_s:

$$D^T_{U(t,\cdot)}U(t,s) \quad \text{or} \quad D^T_{T(\cdot,s)}U(t,s) \tag{6.7}$$

From the proof of [29, Proposition 3.2] we have (in our notations)

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2}\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_s) = \int_0^1 \left(g_{\dot\Gamma_s}\left(D^{\dot\Gamma_s}_{\dot\Gamma^t}D^{\dot\Gamma_s}_{\dot\Gamma_s}\dot\Gamma^t, \dot\Gamma_s\right) + g_{\dot\Gamma_s}\left(D^{\dot\Gamma_s}_{\dot\Gamma_s}\dot\Gamma^t, D^{\dot\Gamma_s}_{\dot\Gamma^t}\dot\Gamma_s\right)\right)dt$$

But according to [30, Theorem 1.1] we have

$$D^{\dot\Gamma_s}_{\dot\Gamma_s}D^{\dot\Gamma_s}_{\dot\Gamma^t}\dot\Gamma^t - D^{\dot\Gamma_s}_{\dot\Gamma^t}D^{\dot\Gamma_s}_{\dot\Gamma_s}\dot\Gamma^t = R^\Gamma(\dot\Gamma^t) = R_{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma,\xi)\dot\Gamma^t - P_{\dot\gamma}(\xi,\dot\Gamma^t, D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\dot\gamma) - P_{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma,\dot\Gamma^t, D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi)$$

and hence using $D^{\dot\Gamma_s}_{\dot\Gamma^t}\dot\Gamma_s = D^{\dot\Gamma_s}_{\dot\Gamma_s}\dot\Gamma^t$ by [29, Proposition 3.2] we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2}{ds^2}\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_s)|_{s=0} &= \int_0^1 \left(g_{\dot\Gamma_s}\left(D^{\dot\Gamma_s}_{\dot\Gamma_s}\dot\Gamma^t, D^{\dot\Gamma_s}_{\dot\Gamma_s}\dot\Gamma^t\right)+g_{\dot\Gamma_s}\left(D^{\dot\Gamma_s}_{\dot\Gamma^t}D^{\dot\Gamma_s}_{\dot\Gamma_s}\dot\Gamma^t, \dot\Gamma_s\right)\right)\Big|_{s=0} dt\\
&= \int_0^1 g_{\dot\gamma}\big(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi, D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi\big)dt + \int_0^1 g_{\dot\gamma}\big(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}D^{\dot\gamma}_{\xi}\xi, \dot\gamma\big)dt - \int_0^1 g_{\dot\gamma}\big(R_{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma,\xi)\xi, \dot\gamma\big)dt\\
&+ \int_0^1 g_{\dot\gamma}\big(P_{\dot\gamma}(\xi,\xi,D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\dot\gamma), \dot\gamma\big)dt + \int_0^1 g_{\dot\gamma}\big(P_{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma,\xi,D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi), \dot\gamma\big)dt. \qquad (6.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover $g_{\dot\gamma}\big(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}D^{\dot\gamma}_{\xi}\xi, \dot\gamma\big) = \frac{d}{dt}g_{\dot\gamma}\big(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\xi}\xi, \dot\gamma\big)$, $D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi = D^{\dot\gamma}_{\xi}\dot\gamma$ and

$$R^{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma,\xi)\xi = R_{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma,\xi)\xi + P_{\dot\gamma}(\xi,\xi,D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\dot\gamma) - P_{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma,\xi,D^{\dot\gamma}_{\xi}\dot\gamma)$$

by [30, Theorem 2.1]. These and (6.8) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2}{ds^2}\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_s)\,|_{s=0} &= \int_0^1 \big(g_{\dot\gamma}\big(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi(t), D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi(t)\big) - g_{\dot\gamma}(R^{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma,\xi)\xi,\dot\gamma)\big)dt\\
&\quad + g_{\dot\gamma(t)}\big(D^{T}_{U(t,\cdot)}U(t,s)|_{s=0}, \dot\gamma(t)\big)\,\Big|^{t=1}_{t=0}. \qquad (6.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Claim 6.2 $g_{\dot\gamma(1)}\big(D^{T(1,\cdot)}_{U(1,\cdot)}U(1,s)|_{s=0}, \dot\gamma(1)\big) = g_{\dot\gamma(0)}\big(D^{T(0,\cdot)}_{U(0,\cdot)}U(0,s)|_{s=0}, \dot\gamma(0)\big)$.

In fact, let us choose a system of coordinates (x^i) on an open neighborhood Ω of $\gamma(0)$. Then $(\tilde x^i) = (x^i \circ \mathbb{I}^{-1})$ forms a system of coordinates on that of $\gamma(1)$, $\tilde\Omega := \mathbb{I}(\Omega)$, and $\mathbb{I}_*(\partial_{x^i}|_p) = \partial_{\tilde x^i}|_{\tilde p}$ for $p \in \Omega$ and $\tilde p = \mathbb{I}(p)$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$. For $v = y^i\partial_{x^i}|_p$ we have $\tilde v := \mathbb{I}_*(v) = \partial_{\tilde x^i}|_{\tilde p}$, i.e., $(\tilde y^i(\mathbb{I}_* v)) = (y^i(v))$. Hence $\tilde x^i(\tilde p, \tilde v) = x^i(p,v)$ and $\tilde y^i(\tilde p, \tilde v) = y^i(p,v)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Since

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{ij}(\tilde x, \tilde y) = g^F(\tilde x, \tilde y)\left[\partial_{\tilde x^i}, \partial_{\tilde x^j}\right] = g^F(\mathbb{I}x, \mathbb{I}_* y)\left[\mathbb{I}_*\left(\partial_{x^i}\right), \mathbb{I}_*\left(\partial_{x^j}\right)\right] = \mathfrak{g}_{ij}(x,y),$$

we get $\partial_{\tilde x^m}\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{ij}(\tilde x, \tilde y) = \partial_{x^m}\mathfrak{g}_{ij}(x,y)$ and thus $\gamma^i_{jm}(x,y) = \tilde\gamma^i_{jm}(\tilde x, \tilde y)$. Using (6.1) it easily proved that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{ijm}(\tilde x, \tilde y) = \mathcal{C}_{ijm}(x,y)$ and hence $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^i_{jm}(\tilde x, \tilde y) = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{il}(\tilde x, \tilde y)\mathcal{C}_{ljm}(\tilde x, \tilde y) = \mathfrak{g}^{il}(x,y)\mathcal{C}_{ljm}(x,y) = \mathcal{C}^i_{jm}(x,y)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde N^i_j(\tilde x, \tilde y) &= \tilde\gamma^i_{jm}(\tilde x, \tilde y)\tilde y^m - \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^i_{jm}(\tilde x, \tilde y)\tilde\gamma^m_{rs}(\tilde x, \tilde y)y^r\tilde y^s\\
&= \gamma^i_{jm}(x,y)y^m - \mathcal{C}^i_{jm}(x,y)\gamma^m_{rs}(x,y)y^r y^s = N^i_j(x,y).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde\Gamma^i_{jl}(\tilde x, \tilde y)$ be the Christoffel symbols in the local coordinates $(\tilde x^i, \tilde y^i)$. We obtain

$$\tilde\Gamma^i_{jl}(\tilde x, \tilde y) = \Gamma^i_{jl}(x,y). \qquad (6.10)$$

Let $|t|+|s|$ be small enough. We may write $T(t,s) = T^i(t,s)\partial_{x^i}|_{\Gamma(t,s)}$, $U(t,s) = U^i(t,s)\partial_{x^i}|_{\Gamma(t,s)}$, $\gamma^i(t) = x^i \circ \gamma(t)$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$, $T(t,0) = \dot\gamma(t) = \gamma^i(t)\partial_{x^i}|_{\gamma(t)}$. Since $D^{\dot\gamma(0)}_{\partial_{x^i}}\partial_{x^j}(\gamma(0)) = \Gamma^k_{ij}(\dot\gamma(0))\partial_{x^k}|_{\gamma(0)}$ for $i,j = 1, \ldots, n$, by (6.7) we have

$$D^{T(0,\cdot)}_{U(0,\cdot)}U(0,s)|_{s=0} = \frac{\partial U^i}{\partial s}(0,0)\partial_{x^i}|_{\gamma(0)} + U^i(0,0)\frac{\partial U^j}{\partial s}(0,0)\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(\dot\gamma(0))\partial_{x^k}|_{\gamma(0)},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_{\dot\gamma(0)}\big(D^{T(0,\cdot)}_{U(0,\cdot)}U(0,s)|_{s=0}, \dot\gamma(0)\big) = \frac{\partial U^i}{\partial s}(0,0)T^l(0,0)g_{\dot\gamma(0)}\left(\partial_{x^i}|_{\gamma(0)}, \partial_{x^l}|_{\gamma(0)}\right)\\
&+U^i(0,0)\frac{\partial U^j}{\partial s}(0,0)\Gamma^m_{ij}(\dot\gamma(0))T^l(0,0)g_{\dot\gamma(0)}\left(\partial_{x^m}|_{\gamma(0)}, \partial_{x^l}|_{\gamma(0)}\right). \qquad (6.11)
\end{aligned}$$

From $\Gamma(t+1,s)=\mathbb{I}(\Gamma(t,s))\ \forall(t,s)$ it follows that $T(t+1,s)=\mathbb{I}_*(T(t,s))$ and $U(t+1,s)=\mathbb{I}_*(U(t,s))$ for all (t,s). If $|t-1|+|s|$ is small enough we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&T(t+1,s)=T^i(t,s)\partial_{\tilde{x}^i}|_{\Gamma(t+1,s)},\quad U(t+1,s)=U^i(t,s)\partial_{\tilde{x}^i}|_{\Gamma(t+1,s)},\\
&\tilde{\gamma}^i(t+1):=\tilde{x}^i\circ\gamma(t+1)=\tilde{x}^i(\mathbb{I}(\gamma(t)))=x^i(\gamma(t))=\gamma^i(t),\ i=1,\cdots,n,\\
&T(t+1,0)=\dot{\gamma}(t+1)=\gamma^i(t)\partial_{\tilde{x}^i}|_{\gamma(t+1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

These and $D^{\dot{\gamma}(1)}_{\partial_{\tilde{x}^i}}\partial_{\tilde{x}^j}(\gamma(1))=\tilde{\Gamma}^m_{ij}(\dot{\gamma}(1))\partial_{\tilde{x}^m}|_{\gamma(1)}$ for $i,j=1,\ldots,n$, lead to

$$D^{T(1,\cdot)}_{U(1,\cdot)}U(1,s)|_{s=0}=\frac{\partial U^i}{\partial s}(0,0)\partial_{\tilde{x}^i}|_{\gamma(1)}+U^i(0,0)\frac{\partial U^j}{\partial s}(0,0)\tilde{\Gamma}^m_{ij}(\dot{\gamma}(1))\partial_{\tilde{x}^m}|_{\gamma(1)}.$$

By (6.10) $\tilde{\Gamma}^m_{ij}(\dot{\gamma}(1))=\tilde{\Gamma}^m_{ij}(\mathbb{I}_*\dot{\gamma}(0))=\Gamma^m_{ij}(\dot{\gamma}(0))$ for any $i,j,m=1,\cdots,n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_{\dot{\gamma}(1)}\big(D^{T(1,\cdot)}_{U(1,\cdot)}U(1,s)|_{s=0},\dot{\gamma}(1)\big)=\frac{\partial U^i}{\partial s}(0,0)T^l(0,0)g_{\dot{\gamma}(1)}\left(\partial_{\tilde{x}^i}|_{\gamma(1)},\partial_{\tilde{x}^l}|_{\gamma(1)}\right)\\
&+U^i(0,0)\frac{\partial U^j}{\partial s}(0,0)\Gamma^m_{ij}(\dot{\gamma}(0))T^l(0,0)g_{\dot{\gamma}(1)}\left(\partial_{\tilde{x}^m}|_{\gamma(1)},\partial_{\tilde{x}^l}|_{\gamma(1)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $g_{\dot{\gamma}(0)}\left(\partial_{x^m}|_{\gamma(0)},\partial_{x^l}|_{\gamma(0)}\right)=g_{\dot{\gamma}(1)}\left(\partial_{\tilde{x}^m}|_{\gamma(1)},\partial_{\tilde{x}^l}|_{\gamma(1)}\right)$, Claim 6.2 follows from this and (6.11) immediately.

Now (6.9) and Claim 6.2 yield

$$d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}})(\gamma)[\xi,\xi]=\int_0^1\big(g_{\dot{\gamma}}\big(D^{\dot{\gamma}}_{\dot{\gamma}}\xi,D^{\dot{\gamma}}_{\dot{\gamma}}\xi\big)-g_{\dot{\gamma}}(R^{\dot{\gamma}}(\dot{\gamma},\xi)\xi,\dot{\gamma})\big)dt. \tag{6.12}$$

This also holds for any $\xi\in T_\gamma\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})\cap C^3(\gamma^*TM)$ because of the density of the set

$$\{\xi\in T_\gamma\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})\cap C^3(\gamma^*TM)\,|\,\xi(t)\neq 0\ \forall t\}$$

in $T_\gamma\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})\cap C^3(\gamma^*TM)$ with respect to C^3-topology. It follows for any $\xi,\eta\in T_\gamma\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})\cap C^3(\gamma^*TM)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}})(\gamma)[\xi,\eta] &= \frac{1}{2}\big(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}})(\gamma)[\xi+\eta,\xi+\eta]-d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}})(\gamma)[\xi,\xi]-d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}})(\gamma)[\eta,\eta]\big)\\
&= \int_0^1 g_{\dot{\gamma}}\left(D^{\dot{\gamma}}_{\dot{\gamma}}\xi(t),D^{\dot{\gamma}}_{\dot{\gamma}}\eta(t)\right)dt-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 g_{\dot{\gamma}}(R^{\dot{\gamma}}(\dot{\gamma},\xi)\eta,\dot{\gamma})dt\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 g_{\dot{\gamma}}(R^{\dot{\gamma}}(\dot{\gamma},\eta)\xi,\dot{\gamma})dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

Observe that [29, (11)] and [31, Lemma 3.10] imply

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\dot{\gamma}}(R^{\dot{\gamma}}(\dot{\gamma},\eta)\xi,\dot{\gamma})-g_{\dot{\gamma}}(R^{\dot{\gamma}}(\xi,\dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma},\eta)=B^{\dot{\gamma}}(\xi,\eta,\dot{\gamma},\dot{\gamma})+B^{\dot{\gamma}}(\dot{\gamma},\xi,\eta,\dot{\gamma})+B^{\dot{\gamma}}(\dot{\gamma},\dot{\gamma},\xi,\eta)\\
+B^{\dot{\gamma}}(\eta,\dot{\gamma},\xi,\dot{\gamma})+B^{\dot{\gamma}}(\dot{\gamma},\xi,\dot{\gamma},\eta)+B^{\dot{\gamma}}(\dot{\gamma},\eta,\xi,\dot{\gamma})=0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $g_{\dot{\gamma}}(R^{\dot{\gamma}}(\dot{\gamma},\eta)\xi,\dot{\gamma})=g_{\dot{\gamma}}(R^{\dot{\gamma}}(\xi,\dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma},\eta)=-g_{\dot{\gamma}}(R^{\dot{\gamma}}(\dot{\gamma},\xi)\dot{\gamma},\eta)=g_{\dot{\gamma}}(R^{\dot{\gamma}}(\dot{\gamma},\xi)\eta,\dot{\gamma})$ by [30, (14)]. This and (6.13) show that the expected equalities hold for any $\xi,\eta\in T_\gamma\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})\cap C^3(\gamma^*TM)$. Finally, by the density of $T_\gamma\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})\cap C^3(\gamma^*TM)$ in $T_\gamma\mathcal{X}(M,\mathbb{I})$ we complete the proof. □

Since γ is a nonconstant geodesic (and so regular), for each fixed t we choose a C^3 vector field V near $\gamma(t)$ such that $V(\gamma(s))=\dot{\gamma}(s)$ for $|s-t|\ll 1$ and that it is also a geodesic vector field (i.e. $\nabla^V_V V=0$). Then R^V is the Riemannian curvature tensor of the metric g_V near $\gamma(t)$. It follows that

$$|g_{\dot{\gamma}}(R^{\dot{\gamma}}(\xi(t),\dot{\gamma}(t))\dot{\gamma}(t),\eta(t))|\leq 3\max|K(\gamma(t))||v|^2_{g_{\dot{\gamma}(t)}}|\xi(t)|_{g_{\dot{\gamma}(t)}}|\eta(t)|_{g_{\dot{\gamma}(t)}}, \tag{6.14}$$

where $|v|_{g_{\dot\gamma(t)}} = (g_{\dot\gamma(t)}(v,v))^{1/2}$ and $\max|K(\gamma(t))|$ is the maximum of the sectional curvatures of g_V at $\gamma(t)$. This implies that the right side of (6.6) can be extended into a continuous symmetric bilinear form on $T_\gamma\Lambda(\mathbb{I},M)$, still denoted by $d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}})(\gamma)$ unless otherwise stated.

Introduce another inner-product $\langle\!\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\!\rangle_{1,\gamma}$ on $T_\gamma\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})$ given by

$$\langle\!\langle\xi,\eta\rangle\!\rangle_{1,\gamma} := \int_0^1 \big(g_{\dot\gamma}(\xi,\eta) + g_{\dot\gamma}\big(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi(t), D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\eta(t)\big)\big)dt.$$

It and the inner-product in (1.1) induce equivalent norms. Similarly, we have also an equivalent inner-product $\langle\!\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\!\rangle_{0,\gamma}$ to $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{0,\gamma}$. Since γ is a geodesic, (6.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}g_{\dot\gamma}\big(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi,\eta\big) &= g_{\dot\gamma}\big((D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma})^2\xi,\eta\big) + g_{\dot\gamma}\big(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi, D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\eta\big) + 2\mathcal{C}^F_{\dot\gamma}\big(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\dot\gamma, D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi,\eta\big)\\ &= g_{\dot\gamma}\big((D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma})^2\xi,\eta\big) + g_{\dot\gamma}\big(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi, D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\eta\big)\end{aligned}$$

for any $\xi,\eta\in T_\gamma\Lambda(\mathbb{I},M)\cap C^{k-2}(\gamma^*TM)$. From this and (6.5)-(6.6) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}})(\gamma)[\xi,\eta] &= \int_0^1 \big(g_{\dot\gamma}\big(-R^{\dot\gamma}(\xi,\dot\gamma)\dot\gamma,\eta\big) - g_{\dot\gamma}\big((D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma})^2\xi(t),\eta(t)\big)\big)dt\\ &\qquad + g_{\dot\gamma(1)}\big(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi(1),\eta(1)\big) - g_{\dot\gamma(0)}\big(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma}\xi(0),\eta(0)\big)\\ &= \int_0^1 \big(g_{\dot\gamma}\big(-R^{\dot\gamma}(\xi,\dot\gamma)\dot\gamma,\eta\big) - g_{\dot\gamma}\big((D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma})^2\xi(t),\eta(t)\big)\big)dt\\ &= \int_0^1 g_{\dot\gamma}(\mathfrak{L}_\gamma\xi,\eta)dt = \langle\!\langle\mathfrak{L}_\gamma\xi,\eta\rangle\!\rangle_{0,\gamma}. \qquad (6.15)\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathfrak{L}_\gamma : T_\gamma\Lambda(\mathbb{I},M)\cap W^{2,2}((\gamma|_I)^*TM)\to L^2((\gamma|_I)^*TM)$ with $I=[0,1]$ is defined by $\mathfrak{L}_\gamma\xi = -(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma})^2\xi - R^{\dot\gamma}(\xi,\dot\gamma)\dot\gamma$. which maps $T_\gamma\Lambda(\mathbb{I},M)\cap C^{k-2}(\gamma^*TM)$ into $T_\gamma\Lambda(\mathbb{I},M)\cap C^{k-4}(\gamma^*TM)$ by (6.5). Observe that (6.6) and (6.14) imply

$$d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}})(\gamma)[\xi,\xi] \ge \langle\!\langle\xi,\xi\rangle\!\rangle_{1,\gamma} - \mathrm{const}\langle\!\langle\xi,\xi\rangle\!\rangle_{0,\gamma} \quad \forall\xi\in T_\gamma\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}).$$

Hence $\mathfrak{L}_\gamma$ is an essentially self-adjoint elliptic operator. By Theorem 22.G in [60, §22.16b] each spectrum point of $\mathfrak{L}_\gamma$ is a real eigenvalue of finite multiplicity and all eigenvalues of it (counted according to their multiplicity) are

$$-\mathrm{const} \le \mu_1 = \min\{d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}})(\gamma)[\xi,\xi]\,|\,\xi\in T_\gamma\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}),\ \langle\!\langle\xi,\xi\rangle\!\rangle_{0,\gamma}=1\} \le \mu_2 \le \cdots,$$

and $\mu_m\to\infty$ as $m\to\infty$. All eigenvectors are in $T_\gamma\Lambda(\mathbb{I},M)\cap C^{k-2}(\gamma^*TM)$, any two eigenvectors corresponding with two distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal with respect to the inner-product $\langle\!\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\!\rangle_{0,\gamma}$, and there exists a complete orthogonal system of eigenvectors in the completion of $T_\gamma\Lambda(\mathbb{I},M)\cap C^{k-2}(\gamma^*TM)$ with respect to $\langle\!\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\!\rangle_{0,\gamma}$.

Call $\xi\in C^{k-2}(\gamma^*TM)$ a C^{k-2} Jacobi field along γ if it satisfies the Jacobi equation: $(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma})^2\xi(t) + R^{\dot\gamma}(\xi,\dot\gamma)\dot\gamma = 0$. Such a field has the same properties as in Riemannian case, see [31, Lemmas 3.16, 3.17 and Proposition 3.18]. Let $\mathscr{J}_\gamma$ be the set of C^{k-2} Jacobi fields along γ. It is a vector space isomorphic to $T_{\gamma(0)}M\times T_{\gamma(0)}M$. By (6.15)

$$\mathbf{H}^0(d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}})(\gamma)) = \{\xi\in\mathscr{J}_\gamma\,|\,\mathbb{I}_*\xi(t)=\xi(t+1),\ \forall t\in\mathbb{R}\} = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathfrak{L}_\gamma).$$

Since $\mathfrak{L}_\gamma\dot\gamma = 0$, each eigenvector ξ corresponding to a nonzero eigenvalue sits in

$$T_\gamma\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})\cap\mathcal{V}_\gamma = \{\xi\in C^{k-2}(\gamma^*TM)\,|\,\langle\!\langle\xi,\dot\gamma\rangle\!\rangle_{0,\gamma}=0,\ \mathbb{I}_*\xi = \mathbf{T}_1\xi\}$$

where $\mathbf{T}_1\xi$ is defined as in (1.15) and $\mathcal{V}_\gamma = \{\xi\in C^{k-2}(\gamma^*TM)\,|\,\langle\!\langle\xi,\dot\gamma\rangle\!\rangle_{0,\gamma}=0\}$. For $\xi\in\mathscr{J}_\gamma$, since $g_{\dot\gamma(t)}(\xi(t),\dot\gamma(t)) = at+b$, $\forall t\in\mathbb{R}$, by the proof of [31, Lemma 3.17], where a and b are

real constants, we obtain that $\langle\!\langle \xi, \dot\gamma \rangle\!\rangle_{0,\gamma} = 0$ if and only if $g_{\dot\gamma(t)}(\xi(t), \dot\gamma(t)) = 0\ \forall t$. It follows that $\dim \mathscr{J}_\gamma \cap \mathcal{V}_\gamma = \dim \mathscr{J}_\gamma - 2 = 2\dim M - 2$. Clearly, every $\xi \in \mathscr{J}_\gamma$ has a decomposition $(\langle\!\langle \xi, \dot\gamma \rangle\!\rangle_{0,\gamma} / \langle\!\langle \dot\gamma, \dot\gamma \rangle\!\rangle_{0,\gamma})\dot\gamma + \xi^\perp$, where $\xi^\perp \in \mathscr{J}_\gamma \cap \mathcal{V}_\gamma$. Define

$$\mathbb{L}_\gamma : \mathcal{V}_\gamma \to \mathcal{V}_\gamma \cap C^{k-4}(\gamma^*TM), \quad \xi \mapsto -(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma})^2\xi - R^{\dot\gamma}(\xi, \dot\gamma)\dot\gamma, \tag{6.16}$$

which coincides with $\mathfrak{L}_\gamma$ on $T_\gamma\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}) \cap \mathcal{V}_\gamma$. Define the index $\lambda(\gamma, \mathbb{I})$ (resp. the nullity $\nu(\gamma, \mathbb{I})$) of the geodesic γ as the index of the quadratic form $d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}})(\gamma)$ on $T_\gamma\Lambda(\mathbb{I}, M)$ (resp. the nullity of $d^2(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}})(\gamma)$ on $T_\gamma\Lambda(\mathbb{I}, M)$ minus one). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(\gamma, \mathbb{I}) &= \sum_{\mu<0} \dim\big\{\xi \in T_\gamma\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}) \cap C^{k-2}(\gamma^*TM) \,|\, \mathfrak{L}_\gamma\xi = \mu\xi\big\} \\
&= \sum_{\mu<0} \dim\big\{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma \,|\, \mathbb{I}_*\xi = \mathbf{T}_1\xi,\ \mathbb{L}_\gamma\xi = \mu\xi\big\}, \qquad (6.17) \\
\nu(\gamma, \mathbb{I}) &= \dim\big\{\xi \in T_\gamma\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I}) \cap C^{k-2}(\gamma^*TM) \,|\, \mathfrak{L}_\gamma\xi = 0\big\} - 1 \\
&= \dim\big\{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma \,|\, \mathbb{I}_*\xi = \mathbf{T}_1\xi,\ \mathbb{L}_\gamma\xi = 0\big\}. \qquad (6.18)
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if the $\mathbb{R}$-orbit of γ in $\Lambda(\mathbb{I}, M)$ is an embedded S^1, by (1.20) we have

$$\lambda(\gamma, \mathbb{I}) = m^-(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{R}\cdot\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(\gamma, \mathbb{I}) = m^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{R}\cdot\gamma). \tag{6.19}$$

From now on we assume that γ is a closed $\mathbb{I}$-invariant F-geodesic of constant speed and with least period $\alpha > 0$. Then for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\gamma^{m\alpha+1}(t+1) = \mathbb{I}(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}(t))$ and $(\mathbf{T}_{m\alpha+1}\gamma)(t) = \mathbb{I}(\gamma(t)) = \mathbb{I}\circ\gamma(t) = \gamma(t+1) = (\mathbf{T}_1\gamma)(t)$. It follows that $\mathbf{T}_\tau\gamma^{m\alpha+1}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, are critical points of $\mathcal{L}$ in $\Lambda(M, \mathbb{I})$. Moreover both $\mathbb{I}_*$ and $\mathbf{T}_\tau$ induce bijective linear maps, still denoted by $\mathbb{I}_*$ and $\mathbf{T}_\tau$, $\mathbb{I}_* : \mathcal{V}_\gamma \to \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{T}_1(\gamma)}$ and $\mathbf{T}_\tau : \mathcal{V}_\gamma \to \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{T}_\tau(\gamma)}$. For each $\mu \le 0$ and each integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{V}_{\gamma^{m\alpha+1}}(\mu, 1, \mathbb{I}) := \big\{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma^{m\alpha+1}} \,|\, \mathbb{L}_{\gamma^{m\alpha+1}}\xi = \mu\xi,\ \mathbb{I}_*\xi = \mathbf{T}_1\xi\big\}, \\
&\mathcal{V}_\gamma(\mu, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I}) := \big\{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma \,|\, \mathbb{L}_\gamma\xi = \mu\xi,\ \mathbb{I}_*\xi = \mathbf{T}_{m\alpha+1}\xi\big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

Here $\mathbb{L}_{\gamma^{m\alpha+1}}$ is defined as in (6.16). By (6.17) and (6.18) we obtain

$$\lambda(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}, \mathbb{I}) = \sum_{\mu<0} \dim \mathcal{V}_{\gamma^{m\alpha+1}}(\mu, 1, \mathbb{I}) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}, \mathbb{I}) = \dim \mathcal{V}_{\gamma^{m\alpha+1}}(0, 1, \mathbb{I}).$$

They imply that the spaces in (6.20) are finite dimensional. For $\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma$ define $\xi^{m\alpha+1}(t) = \xi((m\alpha+1)t)$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\iota_m(\xi) = \xi^{m\alpha+1}/(m\alpha+1)^2$. It is easily checked that $\iota_m\big(\mathcal{V}_\gamma(\mu, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I})\big) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\gamma^{m\alpha+1}}(\mu, 1, \mathbb{I})$ and that $\iota_m : \mathcal{V}_\gamma \to \mathcal{V}_{\gamma^{m\alpha+1}}$ is a linear injection. For $\eta \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma^{m\alpha+1}}(\mu, 1, \mathbb{I})$ set $\xi(t) := (m\alpha+1)^2\eta(t/(m\alpha+1)^2)$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. it is easy to prove that $\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma(\mu, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I})$ and $\iota_m(\xi) = \eta$. These show that ι_m restricts to an isomorphism from $\mathcal{V}_\gamma(\mu, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I})$ onto $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma^{m\alpha+1}}(\mu, 1, \mathbb{I})$. Thus $\dim \mathcal{V}_\gamma(\mu, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I}) = \dim \mathcal{V}_{\gamma^{m\alpha+1}}(\mu, 1, \mathbb{I})$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}, \mathbb{I}) &= \textstyle\sum_{\mu<0} \dim \mathcal{V}_\gamma(\mu, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I}) \\
&= \textstyle\sum_{\mu<0} \dim\big\{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma \,|\, \mathbb{L}_\gamma\xi = \mu\xi,\ \mathbb{I}_*\xi = \mathbf{T}_{m\alpha+1}\xi\big\} \\
\nu(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}, \mathbb{I}) &= \dim \mathcal{V}_\gamma(0, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I}) \\
&= \dim\big\{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma \,|\, \mathbb{L}_\gamma\xi = 0,\ \mathbb{I}_*\xi = \mathbf{T}_{m\alpha+1}\xi\big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

Set $J_\gamma(\mu) := \big\{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma \,|\, \mathbb{L}_\gamma\xi = \mu\xi\big\}$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Its dimension is finite because $J_\gamma(\mu) \cap T_\gamma\Lambda(\mathbb{I}, M) = \big\{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma \,|\, \mathbb{L}_\gamma\xi = \mu\xi \ \&\ \mathbb{I}_*\xi = \mathbf{T}_1\xi\big\}$ is contained in the finite dimension vector

space $\{\xi \in T_\gamma\Lambda(\mathbb{I}, M) \cap C^{k-2}(\gamma^*TM) \,|\, \mathfrak{L}_\gamma\xi = \mu\xi\}$ which vanishes if $\mu \notin \{\mu_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ by the argument below (6.15). Moreover, $J_\gamma(0) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbb{L}_\gamma) = \mathscr{J}_\gamma \cap \mathcal{V}_\gamma$ and so $\dim J_\gamma(0) = 2\dim M - 2$. It is clear that $\mathcal{V}_\gamma(\mu, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I}) = \{\xi \in J_\gamma(\mu) \,|\, \mathbb{I}_*\xi = \mathbf{T}_{m\alpha+1}\xi\}$. Define a positive definite bilinear form ω_m on $\mathcal{V}_\gamma$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{T}_1(\gamma)}$) by

$$\omega_m^\gamma(\xi,\eta) = \int_0^{m\alpha+1} g_{\dot\gamma}(\xi(t),\eta(t))dt \quad (\text{resp. } \omega_m^{\mathbf{T}_1\gamma}(\xi,\eta) = \int_0^{m\alpha+1} g_{\mathbf{T}_1\dot\gamma}(\xi(t),\eta(t))dt\ )$$

Then $\mathbb{I}_* : \mathcal{V}_\gamma \to \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{T}_1(\gamma)}$ preserves the inner-product, and the restriction of $\mathbf{T}_1$ to $J_\gamma(\mu)$ also preserves inner-products. It follows that $\mathbf{T}_1^{-1}\circ\mathbb{I}_*$ is an orthogonal transformation on the inner product space $\big(\mathcal{V}_\gamma, \omega_m\big)$ and hence on $\big(\mathcal{V}_\gamma(\mu, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I}), \omega_m\big)$ because the latter is an invariant subspace of $\mathbf{T}_1^{-1}\circ\mathbb{I}_*$. Consider the complexifications of $\mathcal{V}_\gamma$ and $J_\gamma(\mu)$, $\mathcal{V}_\gamma\otimes\mathbb{C}$ and $J_\gamma(\mu)\otimes\mathbb{C}$, and also use $\mathbb{L}_\gamma$, $\mathbb{I}_*$ and $\mathbf{T}_\tau$ to denote the $\mathbb{C}$-linear extensions of $\mathbb{L}_\gamma$, $\mathbb{I}_*$ and $\mathbf{T}_\tau$, respectively. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ consider the complex linear space

$$S[\mu, m\alpha+1, z\mathbb{I}] := \{\xi \in J_\gamma(\mu)\otimes\mathbb{C} \,|\, z\mathbb{I}_*\xi = \mathbf{T}_{m\alpha+1}\xi\}$$

with Hermitian extension inner product $\tilde\omega_m^\gamma$ of ω_m^γ to $J_\gamma(\mu)\otimes\mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\tilde\omega_m^\gamma(\alpha+i\beta, a+ib) := \omega_m(\alpha,a) + \omega_m(\beta,b) + i\omega_m(\beta,a) - i\omega_m(\alpha,b)$$

for any $\alpha+i\beta, a+ib \in J(\mu)\otimes\mathbb{C}$. Note that $S[\mu, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I}] = \mathcal{V}_\gamma(\mu, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I})\otimes\mathbb{C}$. It is easy to check that $\mathbf{T}_1^{-1}\circ\mathbb{I}_*$ is a unitary transformation on the Hermitian inner product space $\big(S[\mu, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I}], \tilde\omega_m^\gamma\big)$. As in the proof of [58, Lemma 1.2] we have a direct sum decomposition of finitely many of its subspaces:

$$S[\mu, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I}] = \bigoplus_{|z|=1}\bigoplus_{\rho^m=z} \{\xi \in S[\mu, 1, z^{-1}\mathbb{I}] \mid \mathbf{T}_\alpha\xi = \rho\xi\}, \tag{6.22}$$

where z takes over all eigenvalues of $\mathbf{T}_1^{-1}\circ\mathbb{I}_*$ and $\oplus_{\rho^m=z}\{\xi \in S[\mu,1,z^{-1}\mathbb{I}] \mid \mathbf{T}_\alpha\xi = \rho\xi\}$ is the corresponding eigenspace with z; moreover $|z|$ is the modulus of z. For each $z \in \mathbf{U} := \{\rho \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |\rho| = 1\}$ define functions

$$\Lambda^z(\rho) = \sum_{\mu<0} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \{\xi \in S[\mu,1,z^{-1}\mathbb{I}] \,|\, \mathbf{T}_\alpha\xi = \rho\xi\} \quad \forall \rho \in \mathbf{U},$$
$$N^z(\rho) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \{\xi \in S[0,1,z^{-1}\mathbb{I}] \,|\, \mathbf{T}_\alpha\xi = \rho\xi\} \quad \forall \rho \in \mathbf{U}.$$

Let $(\mathcal{V}_\gamma\otimes\mathbb{C})_z = \{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma\otimes\mathbb{C} \,|\, z\mathbb{I}_*\xi = \mathbf{T}_1\xi\}$ and denote by $\mathbb{L}_\gamma^z$ the restriction of $\mathbb{L}_\gamma$ to $(\mathcal{V}_\gamma\otimes\mathbb{C})_z$. Then $S[0,1,z^{-1}\mathbb{I}] = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbb{L}_\gamma^z) \subset \{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma\otimes\mathbb{C} \,|\, \mathbb{L}_\gamma\xi = 0\} = J_\gamma(0)\otimes\mathbb{C}$ and so $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S[0,1,z^{-1}\mathbb{I}] \le 2\dim M - 2$. As in [22, 57, 58] we can obtain

Lemma 6.3 **(i)** *Λ^z and N^z are identically zero except for a finite number of z's,*

(ii) *For each z, $N^z(\rho) = 0$ except for at most $2(\dim M - 1)$ points ρ, which will be called $\mathbb{L}_\gamma^z$ Poincare points.*

(iii) *For each z, Λ^z is locally constant except possibly at the $\mathbb{L}_\gamma^z$ Poincaré points.*

(iv) *For each z, the inequality $\lim_{\rho\to\rho_0}\Lambda^z(\rho) \ge \Lambda^z(\rho_0)$ holds for any ρ_0.*

(v) *(6.21) and (6.22) imply*

$$\lambda(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}, \mathbb{I}) = \sum_{|z|=1}\sum_{\rho^m=z} \Lambda^z(\rho) \quad \textit{and} \quad \nu(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}, \mathbb{I}) = \sum_{|z|=1}\sum_{\rho^m=z} N^z(\rho).$$

As in [22] Lemma 3.1(iii)-(v) lead to:

Lemma 6.4 *Either $\lambda(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}, \mathbb{I}) = 0$ for all nonnegative integers m or there exist positive numbers ε and a such that for all integers $m_1 \ge m_2 \ge 0$,*

$$\lambda(\gamma^{m_1\alpha+1}, \mathbb{I}) - \lambda(\gamma^{m_2\alpha+1}, \mathbb{I}) \ge (m_1 - m_2)\varepsilon - a.$$

6.2 Proof of Theorem 1.15

Let $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})$ be equipped with the Riemannian-Hilbert structure given by (1.1) with the $\mathbb{I}$-invariant Riemannian metric g on M. Since $\mathbb{I}$ has only finitely many $\mathbb{I}$-invariant F-geodesics by assumption, all of them must be closed and thus there exist finitely many $\mathbb{I}$-invariant closed F-geodesics, $\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_r$, such that any $\mathbb{I}$-invariant F-geodesic lies in one of critical orbits $\mathbb{R}\cdot\gamma_i^{m\alpha_i+1}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ in $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})$, $i=1,\cdots,r$, $m=0,1,2,\cdots$, where α_i denotes the least period of γ_i. Of course these critical orbits are all isolated.

Proposition 6.5 *There exists a constant B such that $\dim\mathscr{H}_k^0(\mathcal{L},\gamma_i^{m\alpha_i+1};\mathbb{K})\le B$ for all integers $k,m\ge 0$ and $i=1,\cdots,r$.*

We postpone the proof of it until next subsection. This and (1.30) lead to $\dim C_k(\mathcal{L};\mathbb{R}\cdot\gamma_i^{m\alpha_i+1};\mathbb{K})\le 4B$ for any $k,m\ge 0$ and $i=1,\cdots,r$. Moreover, by (1.29) we have for all $q\ge 2\dim M-1$, all $m\ge 0$ and $i=1,\cdots,r$,

$$C_{q+\nu(\gamma_i^{m\alpha_i+1},\mathbb{I})}(\mathcal{L};\mathbb{R}\cdot\gamma_i^{m\alpha_i+1};\mathbb{K})=\mathscr{H}_q^0(\mathcal{L},\gamma_i^{m\alpha+1};\mathbb{K})=0.$$

As in [22] it follows from this and Lemma 6.4 that

$$\mathfrak{C}:=\sup\Big\{\sum_m\dim C_k(\mathcal{L},\mathbb{R}\cdot\gamma_i^{m\alpha_i+1};\mathbb{K})\ \Big|\ k\ge 2\dim M,\ i=1,\cdots,r\Big\}<\infty,$$

which, as in [17, 22], leads to $\dim H_k\left(\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})^{a_2},\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})^{a_1}\right)\le 4B\mathfrak{C}\ \forall k\ge 2\dim M$ for all regular values $0<a_1<a_2$. Since $\mathrm{Crit}(\mathcal{L})\cap\mathcal{L}^{-1}(0,a]=\emptyset$ for $0<a<\min_i\mathcal{L}(\gamma_i)$, $\mathrm{Fix}(\mathbb{I})=\{\mathcal{L}\le 0\}$ is a strong deformation retract of $\mathcal{L}^{-1}([0,a])=\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})^a$ by [11, Page 21, Lemma 3.2] or [41, Page 181, Lemma 8.3]. These and $\dim\mathrm{Fix}(\mathbb{I})\le\dim M$ yield

$$\sup\{\dim H_k(\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I}))\,|\,k\ge 2\dim M\}\le 4B\mathfrak{C}$$

as in the proof of [22, Theorem 4.1]. The desired conclusion follows from the fact that the inclusion $\Lambda(M,\mathbb{I})\subset C^0(I,M)^{\mathbb{I}}$ is a homotopy equivalence [18, Theorem 1.3]. □

6.3 Proof of Proposition 6.5

Let (γ,α) be one of (γ_i,α_i), $i=1,\cdots,\gamma_r$. We proceed in two cases as in [58].

6.3.1 α is rational

There exist unique relatively prime positive integers m_0 and s_0 with $\alpha=s_0/m_0$. (s_0 is actually the smallest positive integer with the property $\gamma(\mathbb{R})\subset\mathrm{Fix}(\mathbb{I}^{s_0})$.) Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}J_\gamma(0)\otimes\mathbb{C}=2(\dim M-1)$ and $\mathbb{I}_*\circ\mathbb{L}_\gamma=\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{I}(\gamma)}\circ\mathbb{I}_*$ the unitary transformation $\mathbb{I}_*^{s_0}:J_\gamma(0)\otimes\mathbb{C}\to J_\gamma(0)\otimes\mathbb{C}$ has at most $2(\dim M-1)$ eigenvalues, $\exp(2\pi i\theta_1),\cdots,\exp(2\pi i\theta_k)$, where $0\le\theta_1<\theta_2<\cdots<\theta_k<1$. If $\theta_i>0$ is rational, choose positive integers p_i and q_i which are relatively prime and satisfies $p_i/q_i=\theta_i$. Define $s=s_0$ if all θ_i's are irrational, and s to be the product of s_0 and the least common multiple of the $q_i's$ with θ_i rational otherwise. Then $\mathbb{I}^s(\gamma(t))=\gamma(t)\ \forall t$ because $\mathbb{I}^{s_0}(\gamma(t))=\gamma(t),\ \forall t$. Let $\mathbb{J}$ be the restriction of $\mathbb{I}$ to $\mathrm{Fix}(\mathbb{I}^s)$. Observe that $\mathrm{Fix}(\mathbb{I}^s)$ is a collection of closed totally geodesic submanifolds of (M,g) and that γ may be viewed as a critical point of $\mathcal{L}$ on the Hilbert manifold $\Lambda(\mathrm{Fix}(\mathbb{I}^s),\mathbb{J})$. Actually, any critical point of $\mathcal{L}|_{\Lambda(\mathrm{Fix}(\mathbb{I}^s),\mathbb{J})}$ is one of $\mathcal{L}$.

Lemma 6.6 *$\exists\,k_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\nu(\gamma^{m\alpha+1},\mathbb{I})=\nu(\gamma^{m\alpha+1},\mathbb{J})\ \forall m\ge k_0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.3(i) there exist only finitely many $z_j \in \mathbf{U}$, $j = 1, \cdots, l$, such that $N^{z_j} \neq 0$ for $j = 1, \cdots, l$. Hence Lemma 6.3(v) leads to

$$\nu(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}, \mathbb{I}) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{\rho^m = z_j} N^{z_j}(\rho). \tag{6.23}$$

Write $z_j = \exp(i\arg(z_j))$ with $\arg(z_j) \in [0, 2\pi)$. It is not hard to prove that there are at most finitely many integers m with $N^{z_j}(\rho) \neq 0$ and $\rho^m = z_j$ provided $\beta_j := \arg(z_j)/(2\pi)$ is irrational. This implies that there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m \geq k_0$ and every j with irrational β_j we have $N^{z_j}(\rho) = 0$ for every ρ with $\rho^m = z_j$. Thus (6.23) gives rise to

$$\nu(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}, \mathbb{I}) = \sum_{\text{rational } \beta_j} \sum_{\rho^m = z_j} N^{z_j}(\rho), \quad \forall m \geq k_0. \tag{6.24}$$

For the finite set $\mathcal{Q} := \{\beta_j \,|\, \beta_j = \arg(z_j)/(2\pi) \text{ is rational}\}$ we can find a positive integer r such that $r\beta_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ $\forall \beta_j \in \mathcal{Q}$ and so $(z_j)^r = \exp(ir\arg(z_j)) = \exp(2\pi i \beta_j r) = 1$ for every $\beta_j \in \mathcal{Q}$. This and (6.24) yield

$$\nu(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}, \mathbb{I}) = \sum_{z^r = 1} \sum_{\rho^m = z} N^z(\rho), \quad \forall m \geq k_0. \tag{6.25}$$

Suppose that $N^z(\rho)$ in the right side is positive for some z and ρ. Then

$$\{\xi \in S[0, 1, z^{-1}\mathbb{I}] \,|\, \mathbf{T}_\alpha \xi = \rho\xi\} = S[0, 1, z^{-1}\mathbb{I}] \cap S[0, \alpha, \rho \mathrm{id}_M] \neq \emptyset$$

and so $\rho^{m_0} z^{s_0}$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathbb{I}_*^{s_0}$ on $\{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma \otimes \mathbb{C} \,|\, \mathbb{L}_\gamma \xi = 0\}$. These lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{z^r=1} \bigoplus_{\rho^m = z} S[0, 1, z^{-1}\mathbb{I}] \cap S[0, \alpha, \rho \mathrm{id}_M] &\subset \{\xi \in S[0, m\alpha + 1, \mathbb{I}] \,|\, \mathbb{I}_*^{s_0} \xi = \xi\} \\ &\subset \{\xi \in S[0, m\alpha + 1, \mathbb{I}] \,|\, \mathbb{I}_*^{s} \xi = \xi\} \end{aligned}$$

because $s = s_0\tau$ with $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence $\mathbb{I}_*^{s_0}\xi = \xi$ gives $\mathbb{I}_*^{s}\xi = \xi$. By (6.22)

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\xi \in S[0, m\alpha + 1, \mathbb{I}] \,|\, \mathbb{I}_*^{s} \xi = \xi\} = S[0, m\alpha + 1, \mathbb{I}] \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbb{I}_*^s - id) \\ = & \bigoplus_{|z|=1} \bigoplus_{\rho^m = z} \left\{X \in S[0, 1, z^{-1}\mathbb{I}] \mid \mathbf{T}_\alpha \xi = \rho\xi\right\} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbb{I}_*^s - id). \end{aligned}$$

From these and (6.25) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}, \mathbb{I}) &= \bigoplus_{z^r=1} \bigoplus_{\rho^m = z} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} S[0, 1, z^{-1}\mathbb{I}] \cap S[0, \alpha, \rho \mathrm{id}_M] \\ &\leq \bigoplus_{|z|=1} \bigoplus_{\rho^m = z} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{\xi \in S[0, 1, z^{-1}\mathbb{I}] \mid \mathbf{T}_\alpha \xi = \rho\xi\right\} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbb{I}_*^s - id) \\ &= \nu(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}, \mathbb{J}) \quad \text{for all } m \geq k_0. \end{aligned}$$

The definition of nullities yields the converse inequality $\nu(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}, \mathbb{J}) \leq \nu(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}, \mathbb{I})$. □

By Lemma 6.6 and Corollary 1.11 we obtain

$$\mathscr{H}_*^0(\mathcal{L}, \gamma^{m\alpha+1}; \mathbb{K}) = \mathscr{H}_*^0\left(\mathcal{L}\,\big|_{\Lambda(\mathrm{Fix}(\mathbb{I}^s), \mathbb{J})}, \gamma^{m\alpha+1}; \mathbb{K}\right), \quad \forall m \geq k_0. \tag{6.26}$$

Put $\widehat{M} = \Lambda(\mathrm{Fix}(\mathbb{I}^s), \mathbb{J})$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}\,\big|_{\Lambda(\mathrm{Fix}(\mathbb{I}^s), \mathbb{J})}$. Then $\mathbb{J} \in I(\widehat{M}, F|_{\hat{M}}) \cap I(\widehat{M}, g|_{\widehat{M}})$ is of order s. As in the proof of [22, Lemma 2.9] we can show

Lemma 6.7 *There exist distinct positive integers $k_1, \cdots, k_q$, where q has an upper bound only depending on $\dim \widehat{M}$ and s/s_0, and for each integer $j \in [1,q]$ a strictly increasing infinite sequence $\{m_j^i | j = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ of positive integers such that*

(i) *the sets $N_j := \{m_j^i k_j | i = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ form a partition of $\{ms_0 + m_0 \,|\, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\} = \{m_0(m\alpha + 1) \,|\, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$;*

(ii) *for the maximal integer s_j^i relatively prime to m_j^i and dividing s/s_0,*

$$\nu\left(\bar{\gamma}^{m_j^i k_j}, \mathbb{J}\right) = \nu\left(\bar{\gamma}^{m_j^i k_j}, \mathbb{J} \mid \mathrm{Fix}\left(\mathbb{J}^{s_0 s_j^i}\right)\right) = \nu\left(\bar{\gamma}^{k_j}, \mathbb{J}^r \mid \mathrm{Fix}\left(\mathbb{J}^{s_0 s_j^i}\right)\right),$$

where $\bar{\gamma} = \gamma^{1/m_0}$ and r is an integer with the property $rm_j^i \equiv 1 \bmod s_0 s_j^i$.

By the first equality in Lemma 6.7(ii) and Corollary 1.11 we get

$$\mathscr{H}_*^0(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}, \bar{\gamma}^{m_j^i k_j}) \cong \mathscr{H}_*^0\big(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}|\Lambda(S, \mathbb{J}), \bar{\gamma}^{m_j^i k_j}\big) \quad \text{with} \quad S = \mathrm{Fix}\big(\mathbb{J}^{s_0 s_j^i}\big)$$

Moreover the second equality in Lemma 6.7(ii) and Theorem 1.12. lead to

$$\mathscr{H}_*^0\big(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}|\Lambda(S, \mathbb{J}^r|_S), \bar{\gamma}^{k_j}\big) \cong \mathscr{H}_*^0\big(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}|\Lambda\big(S, \mathbb{J}\big), \bar{\gamma}^{m_j^i k_j}\big) \quad \text{with} \quad S = \mathrm{Fix}\big(\mathbb{J}^{s_0 s_j^i}\big)$$

since $(\mathbb{J}^r|_S)^{m_j^i} = \mathbb{J}^{rm_j^i}|_S = \mathbb{J}|_S$ by the condition $rm_j^i \equiv 1 \bmod s_0 s_j^i$. Hence

$$\mathscr{H}_*^0(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}, \bar{\gamma}^{m_j^i k_j}) \cong \mathscr{H}_*^0\big(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}|\Lambda(S, \mathbb{J}^r|_S), \bar{\gamma}^{k_j}\big) \quad \text{with} \quad S = \mathrm{Fix}\big(\mathbb{J}^{s_0 s_j^i}\big). \tag{6.27}$$

Observe that $1 \le s_0 s_j^i \le s$, $\mathbb{J}^s = id_{\widehat{M}}$ and $\mathscr{H}_l^0\big(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}|\Lambda(S, \mathbb{J}^r|_S), \bar{\gamma}^{k_j}\big) = 0$ for $l \ge 2\dim S - 1$ by the shifting theorem. We deduce that

$$\Big\{\dim \mathscr{H}_*^0\big(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}|\Lambda(S, \mathbb{J}^r|_S), \bar{\gamma}^{k_j}\big) \;\Big|\; rm_j^i \equiv 1 \bmod s_0 s_j^i,\ i \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, \cdots, q\Big\}$$

is a finite set, and so by (6.27) the set $\big\{\dim \mathscr{H}_*^0(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}, \bar{\gamma}^{m_j^i k_j}) \;\big|\; i \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, \cdots, q\big\}$ is finite. Since $\bar{\gamma}^{m_0} = \gamma$ and $\{m_j^i k_j \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, \cdots, q\} = \{m_0(m\alpha + 1) \,|\, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ by Lemma 3.5(i) we obtain $\sharp\big\{\dim \mathscr{H}_*^0(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}, \gamma^{m\alpha+1}) \;\big|\; m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\big\} < \infty$. This and (6.26) imply that $\dim \mathscr{H}_k^0(\mathcal{L}, \gamma^{m\alpha+1}; \mathbb{K}) \le B$ for some constant $B > 0$ and all integers $k, m \ge 0$.

6.3.2 α is irrational

As in the proof of (6.25) we can derive from (i),(ii) and (v) in Lemma 6.3 that there exist positive integers $\mathfrak{m}_0$ and $\mathfrak{s}$ such that

$$\nu(\gamma^{m\alpha+1}, \mathbb{I}) = \sum_{z^{\mathfrak{s}}=1} \sum_{\rho^m = z} N^z(\rho) \quad \forall m \ge \mathfrak{m}_0.$$

Let $J(\rho, z) := S[0, 1, z^{-1}\mathbb{I}] \cap S[0, \alpha, \rho \mathrm{id}_M]$. By (6.22) this means

$$\begin{aligned} S[0, m\alpha + 1, \mathbb{I}] &= \bigoplus_{|z|=1} \bigoplus_{\rho^m = z} \big\{\xi \in S[0, 1, z^{-1}\mathbb{I}] \mid \mathbf{T}_\alpha \xi = \rho\xi\big\} \\ &= \bigoplus_{z^{\mathfrak{s}}=1} \bigoplus_{\rho^m = z} J(\rho, z) \quad \forall m \ge \mathfrak{m}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Carefully checking the proof of [58, Lemma 3.1] it is not difficult to see that the corresponding result also holds in our case. Namely we have

Lemma 6.8 *There exist distinct positive integers $k_1, \cdots, k_q$, where q has an upper bound only depending on $\dim M$ and $\mathfrak{s}$, and for each integer $j \in [1,q]$ a strictly increasing infinite sequence $\{m_j^i | j = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ of positive integers such that*

(i) *the sets* $N_j := \{m_j^i k_j | i = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ *form a partition of* $\mathbb{N}$*;*

(ii) *for the maximal integer* s_j^i *relatively prime* m_j^i *dividing* $\mathfrak{s}$*,*

$$\bigoplus_{z^{\mathfrak{s}}=1} \bigoplus_{\rho^{m_j^i k_j}=z} J(\rho, z) = \bigoplus_{z^{\mathfrak{s}_j^i}=1} \bigoplus_{\rho^{k_j}=z} J(\rho, z).$$

For each $z = \exp(2\pi i u/v)$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $(u, v) = 1$ and $u \le v$, let

$$Q^z := \left\{ a \in \mathbb{N} \;\middle|\; \exists b \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } (b, av) = 1, N^z\left(\exp\Big(\frac{2\pi i b}{av}\Big)\right) > 0 \right\}.$$

Then the set $Q = \bigcup_{z^{\mathfrak{s}}=1} Q^z \cup \{1\}$ is finite, and that $a \in Q$ and $a | m_j^i k_j$ imply $a | k_j$. (See the proof of [58, Lemma 3.1]).

Lemma 6.9 $m^0\big({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{R}\cdot\gamma\big) = m^0\big({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}, \mathbb{R}\cdot\gamma\big)$ *for every integer* $m = m_j^i k_j \ge m_0$ *and* $\mathfrak{X}(m) := s_j^i k_j$*.*

Proof. Let $H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L})$ and $H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha})$ be the continuous bilinear extensions of

$$d^2\big({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I})}\big)(\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad d^2\big({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}|_{\mathcal{X}^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I})\cap\mathcal{X}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M)}\big)(\gamma)$$

onto $T_\gamma\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I})$ and $T_\gamma(\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I}) \cap \Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M))$, respectively. Since ${}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}$ is the restriction of ${}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}$ to $\Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M) \cap \Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I})$, $H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha})$ is that of $H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L})$ to $T_\gamma(\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I}) \cap \Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M))$. Let $\mathscr{A}_\gamma$ be the bounded linear operator defined by

$$H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L})[\xi, \eta] = \langle\!\langle \mathscr{A}_\gamma \xi, \eta \rangle\!\rangle_{m\alpha+1} \quad \text{for all } \xi, \eta \in T_\gamma\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I}).$$

Because $\mathscr{A}_{\mathbf{T}_u(\gamma)} \circ \mathbf{T}_u = \mathbf{T}_u \circ \mathscr{A}_\gamma \ \forall u \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathscr{A}_\gamma$ maps $\mathbf{T}_\gamma(\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I}) \cap \Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M))$ into itself, i.e., $T_\gamma(\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I}) \cap \Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M))$ is an invariant subspace of $\mathscr{A}_\gamma$. Hence $\mathrm{Ker}\big(H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha})\big)$ defined by

$$\left\{ \xi \in T_\gamma\left(\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I}) \cap \Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M)\right) \;\middle|\; H_\gamma\left({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}\right)[\xi, \eta] = 0 \ \forall \eta \in T_\gamma\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I}) \right\}$$

is equal to $T_\gamma\Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\left(H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L})\right)$. It is clear that

$$\mathcal{V}_\gamma \cap \mathrm{Ker}\left(H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L})\right) = \{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma \,|\, \mathbb{L}_\gamma\xi = 0,\ \mathbb{I}_*\xi = \mathbf{T}_{m\alpha+1}\xi\} = \mathcal{V}_\gamma(0, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I})$$

and has the complexified space $S[0, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I}]$ by the definition above (6.22). Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_\gamma(0, \mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha, \mathrm{id}_M): &= \left\{\xi \in J_\gamma(0) \,|\, \xi = \mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}\xi\right\} \\ &= \left\{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma \,|\, \xi = \mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}X,\ \mathbb{L}_\gamma\xi = 0\right\} \\ &= \left\{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma \,|\, \mathbb{L}_\gamma\xi = 0\right\} \cap T_\gamma\Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M) \end{aligned}$$

and this and $\mathcal{V}_\gamma(0, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I}) = \left\{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma \,|\, \mathbb{L}_\gamma\xi = 0,\ \mathbb{I}_*\xi = \mathbf{T}_{m\alpha+1}\xi\right\}$ lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{V}_\gamma \cap T_\gamma\Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\left(H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L})\right) \\ = \ & \mathcal{V}_\gamma \cap \mathrm{Ker}\left(H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L})\right) \cap T_\gamma\Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M) \\ = \ & \mathcal{V}_\gamma(0, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I}) \cap \left\{\xi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma \,|\, \mathbb{L}_\gamma\xi = 0\right\} \cap T_\gamma\Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M) \\ = \ & \mathcal{V}_\gamma(0, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I}) \cap \mathcal{V}_\gamma(0, \mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha, \mathrm{id}_M). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\mathcal{V}_\gamma \cap T_\gamma\Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\left(H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L})\right)$ is the orthogonal complementary space of $\dot\gamma$ in $\mathrm{Ker}\big(H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha})\big) = T_\gamma\Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\left(H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L})\right)$. We obtain

$$\Big(\mathcal{V}_\gamma \cap T_\gamma\Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\left(H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L})\right)\Big) \otimes \mathbb{C} = S[0, m\alpha+1, \mathbb{I}] \cap S[0, \mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha, \mathrm{id}_M].$$

Here $S[0,\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha,\mathrm{id}_M] = \mathcal{V}_\gamma(0,\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha,\mathrm{id}_M)\otimes\mathbb{C} = \{\xi \in J_\gamma(0)\otimes\mathbb{C}\,|\,\xi = \mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}\xi\}$ by the definition of $S[\mu, m\alpha+1, z\mathbb{I}]$ above (6.22).

On the other hand, for $\xi \in S[0,m\alpha+1,\mathbb{I}]\setminus\{0\}$ (3.8) and (3.9) imply that

$$\xi \in \bigoplus_{z^{s_j^i}=1}\ \bigoplus_{\rho^{k_j}=z} J(\rho,z).$$

It follows that $T_{\xi(m)\alpha}\xi = \rho^{s_j^i k_j}\xi = \xi$, i.e., $\xi \in S[0,\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha,\mathrm{id}_M]$. Hence $S[0,m\alpha+1,\mathbb{I}] \subset S[0,\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha,\mathrm{id}_M]$ and thus $S[0,m\alpha+1,\mathbb{I}]\cap S[0,\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha,\mathrm{id}_M] = S[0,m\alpha+1,\mathbb{I}]$. Note that $S[0,m\alpha+1,\mathbb{I}]$ is the complexified orthogonal space of $\dot\gamma$ in $\mathrm{Ker}\big(H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L})\big)$. We arrive at $\mathrm{Ker}\big(H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L})\big) = \mathrm{Ker}\big(H_\gamma({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha})\big)$ and hence the desired result. □

Lemma 6.9 and (1.45) lead to $m^0\big(\mathcal{L},\mathbb{R}\cdot\gamma^{m\alpha+1}\big) = m^0\big({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha},\mathbb{R}\cdot\gamma\big)$ for $m \geq \mathfrak{m}_0$, and so by Theorem 1.13 we arrive at

Proposition 6.10 *For $m = m_j^i k_j \geq \mathfrak{m}_0$ and $\mathfrak{X}(m) = s_j^i k_j$ it holds that*

$$\mathscr{H}^0_*({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L},\gamma;\mathbb{K}) \cong \mathscr{H}^0_*({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha},\gamma;\mathbb{K}).$$

Replacing $g(\dot x(t),\dot x(t))$ by $F(\dot x(t),\dot x(t))$ in the proof of [58, Lemma 3.3] we get

Lemma 6.11 *For $m = m_j^i k_j \geq \mathfrak{m}_0$ and $\mathfrak{X}(m) = s_j^i k_j$ as defined by Lemma 6.8,*

$$\mathscr{H}^0_*\left({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha},\gamma;\mathbb{K}\right) \cong \mathscr{H}^0_*\left({}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}\mathcal{L}^{m\alpha+1},\gamma;\mathbb{K}\right).$$

For each fixed integer $m = m_j^i k_j \geq \mathfrak{m}_0$ and $\mathfrak{X}(m) := s_j^i k_j \leq \mathfrak{s}\cdot\max_j k_j$ we have

$$\mathscr{H}^0_*(\mathcal{L},\gamma^{m\alpha+1};\mathbb{K}) \cong \mathscr{H}^0_*({}^{m\alpha+1}\mathcal{L},\gamma;\mathbb{K}) \cong \mathscr{H}^0_*\left({}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}\mathcal{L}^{m\alpha+1},\gamma;\mathbb{K}\right) \tag{6.28}$$

by (1.46), Proposition 6.10 and Lemma 6.11. Let us write $m = l_m\mathfrak{X}(m)+\eta(m)$ with $l_m\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $0\leq\eta(m)\leq\mathfrak{X}(m)\leq\mathfrak{s}\max_j k_j$. Since $x\in\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I})\cap\Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M)$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{I}(x(t)) = x(t+m\alpha+1) = x(t+l_m\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha+\eta(m)\alpha+1) = x(t+\eta(m)\alpha+1) \text{ for any } t\in\mathbb{R},$$

$\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I})\cap\Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M) = \Lambda^{\eta(m)\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I})\cap\Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M)$ as Hilbert manifolds and

$${}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}\mathcal{L}^{m\alpha+1} = {}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}\mathcal{L}|_{\Lambda^{m\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I})\cap\Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M)} = {}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}\mathcal{L}|_{\Lambda^{\eta(m)\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I})\cap\Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M)}.$$

Then $\eta(m)\leq\mathfrak{X}(m)\leq\mathfrak{s}\max_j k_j$ implies that the set

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\{\mathscr{H}^0\left({}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}\mathcal{L}^{m\alpha+1},\gamma;\mathbb{K}\right)\ \middle|\ m\geq\mathfrak{m}_0\right\}\\ =\ &\left\{\mathscr{H}^0\big({}^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}\mathcal{L}|_{\Lambda^{\eta(m)\alpha+1}(M,\mathbb{I})\cap\Lambda^{\mathfrak{X}(m)\alpha}(M)},\gamma;\mathbb{K}\big)\ \middle|\ m\geq\mathfrak{m}_0\right\}\end{aligned}$$

is finite, and hence $\{\dim\mathscr{H}^0_k(\mathcal{L},\gamma^{m\alpha+1};\mathbb{K})\,|\,k\geq0,\ m\geq0\}$ is bounded by (6.28).

References

[1] A. Abbondandolo, A. Figalli, High action orbits for Tonelli Lagrangians and superlinear Hamiltonians on compact configuration spaces. *J. Differential Equations*, **234**(2007), no. 2, 626–653.

[2] B. Aradi, D. CS. Kertesz, Isometries, submetries and distance coordinates on Finsler manifolds. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, DOI: 10.1007/s10474-013-0381-1.

[3] V. Bangert, W. Klingenberg, Homology generated by iterated closed geodesics. *Topology*, **22**(1983), 379-388.

[4] D. Bao, S. S. Chern, Z. Shen, *An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry*. Springer, Berlin (2000).

[5] H. Brezis, *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*. Springer 2011.

[6] F. Brickell, On the differentiability of affine and projective transformations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **16**(1965), 567-574.

[7] E. Caponio, The index of a geodesic in a randers space and some remarks about the lack of regularity of the energy functional of a Finsler metric. *Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyíregyháziensis*, **26** (2010), 265-274.

[8] E. Caponio, M. A. Javaloyes and A. Masiello, Morse theory of causal geodesics in a stationary spacetime via Morse theory of geodesics of a Finsler metric. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincar Anal. Non Linéaire*, **27**(2010), no. 3, pp. 857-876.

[9] E. Caponio, M. A. Javaloyes and A. Masiello, Addendum to "Morse theory of causal geodesics in a stationary spacetime via Morse theory of geodesics of a Finsler metric". *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **30**(2013) 961-968.

[10] E. Caponio, M. A. Javaloyes and A. Masiello, On the energy functional on Finsler manifolds and applications to stationary spacetimes. *Math.Ann.*, **351**(2011), no.2, 365-392.

[11] K. C. Chang, *Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solution Problem*. Birkhäuser, 1993.

[12] S. Deng, Z. Hou, The group of isometries of a Finsler space, *Pacific J. Math.*, **207**(2002), 149-155.

[13] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, and J.-C. Thomas, *Rational Homotopy Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 205. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[14] Y. Félix, J. Oprea, D. Tanré, *Algebraic models in geometry*. Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 17. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.

[15] T. Frankel, On theorems of Hurwitz and Bochner. *J. Math. Mech.*, **15**(1966), 373-377.

[16] D. Gromoll, W. Meyer, On differentiable functions with isolated critical points, *Topology*, **8**(1969), 361–369.

[17] D. Gromoll, W. Meyer, Periodic geodesics on compact Riemannian manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom.*, **3**(1969), 493-510.

[18] K. Grove, Condition (C) for the energy integral on certain path spaces and applications to the theory of geodesics. *J. Diff. Geom.*, **8**(1973), 207-223.

[19] K. Grove, Isometry-invariant geodesics, *Topology*, **13**(1974), 281-292.

[20] K. Grove, The isometry-invariant geodesics problem: closed and open. Geometry and topology (College Park, Md., 1983/84), 125-140, Lecture Notes in Math., 1167, Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[21] K. Grove, S. Halperin; M. Vigué-Poirrier, The rational homotopy theory of certain path spaces with applications to geodesics. *Acta Math.* **140** (1978), no. 3-4, 277-303.

[22] K. Grove, M, Tanaka, On the number of invariant closed geodesics. *Acta Math.* **140** (1978), no. 1-2, 33-48.

[23] K. Grove, S. Halperin, Contributions of rational homotopy theory to global problems in geometry. *Inst. Hautes études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. **56** (1982), 171-177 (1983).

[24] K. Grove, S. Halperin, Elliptic isometrics, condition (C) and proper maps. *Arch. Math.*, **56**(1991), 288-299.

[25] N. Hingston, Isometry-invariant geodesics on spheres, *Duke Math. J.*, **57**(1988), no. 3, 761–768.

[26] M. Hirsch, *Differential Topology*. Springer-Verlag, 1976.

[27] U. Hryniewicz, L. Macarini, Local contact homology and applications. arXiv:1202.3122.

[28] J.D.S. Jones, J. McCleary, String homology, and closed geodesics on manifolds which are elliptic spaces. arXiv:1409.8643.

[29] M. Á. Javaloyes, Chern connection of a pseudo-Finsler metric as a family of affine connections. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **84**(2014), pp. 29-43.

[30] M. Á. Javaloyes, Corrigendum to "Chern connection of a pseudo-Finsler metric as a family of affine connections". *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **84**(2014), pp. 481-487.

[31] M. Á. Javaloyes, B. L. Soares, Geodesics and Jacobi fields of pseudo-Finsler manifolds. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **87**(2015), pp. 57-78.

[32] J. Kern, Lagrange Geometry. *Arch. Math.*, **25**(1974), 438-443.

[33] L. Kozma, A. Kristály, C. Varga, Critical point theorems on Finsler manifolds. *Beiträge zur Algebra und* (*Geometrie Contributions to Algebra and Geometry*), **45**(2004), no.1, 47-59.

[34] S. Lang, *Differential Manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[35] G. Lu, Corrigendum: The Conley conjecture for Hamiltonian systems on the cotangent bundle and its analogue for Lagrangian systems. *J. Funct. Anal.* **261**(2011), 542-589.

[36] G. Lu, The splitting lemmas for nonsmooth functionals on Hilbert spaces I. *Discrete and continuous dynamical systems*, **33**(2013), no.7, 2939-2990. arXiv:1211.2127.

[37] G. Lu, Methods of infinite dimensional Morse theory for geodesics on Finsler manifolds. *Nonlinear Analysis*, **113**(2015), 230-282, DOI:10.1016/j.na.2014.09.016. arXiv:1212.2078v7.

[38] G. Lu, Nonsmooth Morse theory and applications to higher elliptic equations, harmonic maps and minimal surfaces. A book in preparation.

[39] J. Margalef-Roig, E. Outerelo Dominguez, *Differential Topology*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies **173**, North-Holland-Amsterdam.

[40] H. H. Matthias, *Zwei Verallgemeinerungen eines Satzes von Gromoll und Meyer*. Bonn Mathematical Publications, Universität Bonn Mathematisches Institut, 1980.

[41] J. Mawhin, M. Willen, *Critical point theory and Hamiltonian systems*. Applied Mathematical Science, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

[42] M. Mazzucchelli, On the multiplicity of isometry-invariant geodesics on product manifolds. *Algebraic & Geometry Topology*, **14**(2014), 135-156.

[43] M. Mazzucchelli, Isometry-invariant geodesics and the fundamental group. *Math. Ann.*, **362**(2015), 265C280.

[44] J. McCleary and W. Ziller, On the free loop space of homogeneous spaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, **109**(1987), 765-782. Corrections to: "On the free loop space of homogeneous spaces" *Amer. J. Math.*, **113**(1991), 375-377.

[45] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[46] M. Mclean, Local Floer homology and infinitely many simple Reeb orbits. *Geom. Topl.*, **12**(2012), no.4, 1901-1923.

[47] F. Mercuri, The critical points theory for the closed geodesics problem. *Math. Z.*, **156**(1977), 231-245.

[48] R. Miron, M. Anastasiei, *The Geometry of Lagrange Spaces: Theory and Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.

[49] R. S. Palais, Homotopy theory of infinite dimensional manifolds. *Topology*, **5**(1966), 1-66.

[50] R. S. Palais, The Principle of Symmetric Criticality. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **69**(1979), 19-30.

[51] R. S. Palais, Chuu-lian Terng, *Critical Point Theory and Submanifold Geometry*. Lecture Notes in Math., 1353, Springer, Berlin, 1988.

[52] S. Papadima; L. Paunescu, Isometry-invariant geodesics and nonpositive derivations of the cohomology. *J. Differential Geom.* **71**(2005), no. 1, 159-176.

[53] P. Piccione, D. Tausk, On the Banach differential structure for sets of maps on non-compact domains. *Nonlinear Analysis*, **46**(2001), 245-265.

[54] H. B. Rademacher, Metrics with only finitely many isometry invariant geodesics, *Math. Ann.*, **284**(1989), no. 3, 391–407.

[55] H. B. Rademacher, *Nonreversible Finsler metrics of positive flag curvature*, in A sampler of Riemann-Finsler geometry, vol. 50 of Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004, pp. 261-302.

[56] Z. Shen, *Lectures on Finsler Geometry*. World Scientific Publishing Co., New Jersey (2001).

[57] M. Tanaka, On invariant closed geodesics under isometries. *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.* **28** (1976/77), no. 2-3, 262-277.

[58] M. Tanaka, On the existence of infinitely many isometry-invariant geodesics. *J. Diff. Geom.* **17**(1982), no.2, 171-184.

[59] M. Vigué-Poirrier, D. Sullivan, The homology theory of closed geodesic problem. *J. Diff. Geom.*, **11**(1976), 633-644.

[60] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications. II/A. Nonlinear monotone operators.* Translated from the German by the author and Leo F. Boron. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.